This is a pre-print version of an article published in European journal of agronomy. The final authenticated version is available online at: <u>10.1016/j.eja.2018.02.008</u>

©2018 Licensed under the Creative Commons CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0

licence

# Trait identification of faba bean ideotypes for Northern European

# environments

G. Bodner<sup>a</sup>\*, A. Kronberga<sup>b</sup>, L. Lepse<sup>c</sup>, M. Olle<sup>d</sup>, I.M. Vågen, L. Rabante<sup>b</sup>, J. Fernandez<sup>f</sup>, G. Ntatsi<sup>g</sup>, A. Balliu<sup>h</sup>, B. Rewald<sup>i</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Department of Crop Sciences, Konrad
Lorenz Strasse 24, 3430 Tulln, Austria. gernot.bodner@boku.ac.at
<sup>b</sup>Institute of Agricultural Resources and Economics, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics,
Zinatnes Str. 2, Priekuli, LV-4126, Latvia. Arta.Kronberga@arei.lv
<sup>c</sup>Pūre Horticultural Research Centre, Abavas iela 2, Pūre, Tukuma nov., LV 3124, Latvia and Institute
of Horticulture, Latvia University of Agriculture, Graudu iela 1, Dobele, LV 3701, Latvia.
liga.lepse@llu.lv
<sup>d</sup>Estonian Crop Research Institute, J. Aamissepa 1, Jogeva alevik, 48309, Estonia. margit.olle@etki.ee
<sup>e</sup>Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), Division Food Production and Society,
Department of Horticulture, Reddalsveien 215, N-4886 Grimstad, Norway. ingunn.vaagen@nibio.no
<sup>f</sup>Technical University of Cartagena. Department of Horticulture, Paseo Alfonso XIII, 48, 30203
Cartagena, Spain. juan.fernandez@upct.es

<sup>g</sup>Agricultural University of Athens, Department of Crop Science, Iera odos 75, 11855, Athens Greece, ntatsi@aua.gr

<sup>h</sup>Agricultural University of Tirana, Department of Horticultural & Landscape Architecture, Koder

Kamez 1029, Tirana, Albania, aballiu@ubt.edu.al

<sup>i</sup>University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Department of Forest- and Soil Sciences,

Peter Jordan Strasse 82, 1190 Vienna, Austria. boris.rewald@boku.ac.at

\*Corresponding author: gernot.bodner@boku.ac.at (G. Bodner)

# 1 Highlights

- 2 Trait-based classification reveals distinctiveness of *Vicia faba minor* and *major*.
- Flowering duration and plant height are key traits of high yielding genotypes.
- High temperature at flowering is the main yield limitation in North Europe.
- 5 Latvian landraces are North-Baltic region ideotypes with high yield potential and stability.
- 6
- 7
- 8

### 9 Abstract

10 European pulse production faces a continued loss of cultivated area along with decreasing or stagnant 11 yields. Vicia faba is a traditional legume with high genetic diversity cultivated in a wide range of 12 European climates. Therefore V. faba is promising to identify stable and high yielding genotypes for 13 specific target environments. The Nordic-Baltic region is challenging for legume growing due to short 14 vegetation period and heat/drought stress in continental climates. Within the pan-European 15 Eurolegume project a set of 18 V. faba accessions containing var. minor and major local landraces and 16 modern cultivars of different geographical origin was evaluated in multi-environmental trials. The aim of this study was to identify ideotypes for Northern Europe and reveal key phenotypic traits conferring 17 18 high yield potential and stability. Four target environmental clusters represented the range of Nordic growing conditions with yield levels from 128 g m<sup>-2</sup> to 380 g m<sup>-2</sup>. Multivariate classification 19 20 differentiated distinctive groups of var. minor and var. major accessions with few overlapping 21 genotypes, the former having higher average yield, taller structure, more pods per node and longer 22 flowering duration. Late sowing under long-day conditions above 55° N latitudes resulted in early flowering due to short 23 24 vegetative development (650 °Cd). Extended flowering duration and tall stature were the most 25 important traits conferring high yields. A negative trade-off between yield potential and yield stability 26 was detected, with yield advantages of stress resistant genotypes only in a limited range of low yielding 27 target environments (<180 g m<sup>-2</sup>). The highest yielding accessions (Latvian var. *minor* landrace Bauska 28 and var. major landrace Ceres) represented a favourable combination of yield potential and stability.

High temperatures at flowering, within a range of average maximum July temperatures between 20.524.5 °C, were identified as most critical environmental variable depressing yield levels between 38.5
(var. *major*) and 56.2 (var. *minor*) g m<sup>-2</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup>.

It was concluded that Baltic landraces are promising ideotypes, with adapted flowering phenology and
 morphological structure, for increased *V. faba* yields in Nordic target environments.

- **Keywords**: adaptation breeding, *Vicia faba* phenology, temperature stress, yield stability, Nordic-Baltic
- 36 environments

### 39 1. Introduction

40 The steady decrease of legume production area in Europe by more than 67 % between 1961 and 2014 41 with the concomitant increase in soybean imports (> 6 fold in the same period) urged European policy 42 makers implement measures to promote cultivation of native legumes. Beside market price 43 considerations, stagnant or decreasing yield levels are a major reason of farmers to abandon 44 traditional legume species from cropping systems. For dry peas, for example, covering 44 % of the area cultivated with pulses in Europe, FAO data reveal a trend towards lower yields (-54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>) from 45 46 1999 onwards (FAOStat, 2017). For winter wheat, Brisson et al. (2010) related decreasing yield trends 47 to more frequent abiotic stresses. Legumes are even considered more sensitive than cereals to a wide 48 range of abiotic stresses (Sultana et al., 2014; Latef and Ahmand, 2015). Ensuring high yield potential 49 and stability under variable environmental conditions should therefore be a main target of legume breeding and management to regain a more prominent role in prevailing crop rotations. 50

51 Within the FAO strategy of sustainable intensification (FAO, 2011) conservation and use of genetic 52 resources (e.g. wild relatives, landraces, old varieties) is encouraged to broaden the genetic basis of 53 current breeding programs. In faba bean (Vicia faba L.) the number of available germplasm worldwide 54 is estimated around 38.000 accessions (Duc et al., 2010). Advances in genotyping methods enable 55 efficient assessment of diversity within existing collections (e.g. Varshney et al., 2009; Link et al., 1995 56 for European and Mediterranean faba bean). Targeted use of novel germplasm for improved varieties 57 however requires linking genetic with phenotypic information (Furbank and Tester, 2011). In spite of 58 advances in high-throughput genotyping and phenotyping, performance of (pre-selected) novel 59 germplasm in multi-environment field testing is still the key criterion for entering the commercial 60 breeding pipeline.

In Central to Northern European cropping systems, faba bean is a spring crop sown between March
and May and harvested between mid of August to end of September (Jensen et al., 2010); at lower
latitudes, frost tolerant varieties also allow faba bean cropping over winter (Sallam et al., 2015).
Yield sensitive phenological stages of faba bean to abiotic stresses are between flowering (BBCH scale

65 60; Lancashire et al., 1991) and pod development (BBCH 75) with yield losses up to 50 % during early

66 pod-setting (Duc, 1997; Mwanamwenge et al., 1999). Taking into account that these growing stages 67 coincide with summer months under European cropping conditions, both drought and heat are key 68 stressors influencing faba bean performance. Optimum flowering temperatures are between 20-25°C 69 (Patrick and Stoddard, 2010) with high temperatures, particularly when combined with drought, 70 leading to flower abortion, lower number of pods and reduced seed set (McDonald and Paulsen, 1997). 71 While breeder strive to release cultivars for a broad range of target environments and potential markets (e.g. Braun et al., 1996), this might insufficiently address the adaptation requirements to 72 73 specific edaphoclimatic conditions (Rannali and Cubero, 1997; Annicchiarico, 2002; Annicchiarico et 74 al., 2005). For such conditions local germplasm (e.g. landraces) can be of particular advantage due to 75 better resistance to biotic and/or abiotic stresses prevailing in the given environment.

Phenology is a key factor to align crop development with available growing factors (Blum, 1996; Cleland
et al., 2007; Bussel et al., 2015). This is particularly relevant considering the wide latitudinal range of
faba bean cultivation in Europe (Jensen et al., 2010) covering dry, temperate and continental climates
(Gerstengarbe and Werner, 2009). Northern European environments are characterized by a short
growing season with marked seasonality of photo-thermal conditions regulating plant development
(Saarikko and Carter, 1996; Zhang et al., 2004) but also prone to temporal heat and drought waves
(Teixeira et al., 2013; Spinoni et al., 2015).

83

84 Multi-environment field studies investigating key phenotypic patterns characterizing faba bean 85 germplasm adapted to Northern European growing conditions are virtually absent. Within a pan-86 European project, Vicia faba accessions including minor and major types, local landraces and modern 87 varieties of different European origins, including northern regions, have been evaluated in field trials 88 at four different Northern European locations. The overall objective was to define high-yielding V. faba 89 ideotypes for Nordic-Baltic environments. In particular, this study aimed to identify prevailing 90 environmental constraints and corresponding key phenotypic traits conferring superior crop 91 performance. We hypothesized that local V. faba landraces outperform modern varieties, released for 92 broad adaptation, within the specificity of growing condition at above 55°N latitudes. Therefore, they

93 can elucidate yield-determining factors to be selected for faba bean cultivar improvement for Nordic94 environments.

95

# 96 2. Material and Methods

Field experiments for studying the performance of 18 *Vicia faba* genotypes were performed within the
EU FP7 EUROLEGUME (<u>www.eurolegume.eu</u>) project over two growing seasons at four Northern
European testing sites.

100

## 101 2.1 Vicia faba germplasm

The 18 investigated Vicia faba genotypes were composed of nine V. faba var. minor and nine var. major 102 103 accessions (Table 1). The genotypes cover Northern, Central and Southern European origins as well as 104 distinct levels of breeding intensity/history (modern and old varieties, landraces). Throughout the 105 manuscript the following terms are used: accession is the plant material collected in a gene-bank, 106 germplasm describes a set of genetic resources from a species, genotype refers to the member of a 107 species with distinctive genetic constitution (FAO, 2014), landrace defines farmer' selected and locally 108 adapted ecotypes (Zeven, 1998), variety denotes the taxonomic rank between species and form, while 109 cultivar refers to an intentionally human-selected genotype distinctive to others, uniform and stable 110 (Spooner et a., 2003); ideotype defines a hypothetic genotype with optimum trait combinations for 111 adaptation to a specific target environment (Donald, 1968).

112

**Table 1.** *Vicia faba* var. *minor* and var. *major* genotypes investigated at four field sites and in two years
(EUROLEGUME genotype code, type of accession, local genotype name, country of origin and donor
institution).

**Country of** Genotype Type **Genotype Name** Donor origin institutions\* Vicia faba var. minor Modern cultivar RISE, Sweden FB01 Fuego Germany FB07 Landrace Bauska Latvia AREI, Latvia Landrace Priekulu 32 PHRC, Latvia FB08 Latvia

FB12	Old cultivar	Lielplatones	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB15	Landrace	Priekuļu vietejas	Latvia	AREI, Latvia
FB16	Landrace	Valmiera	Latvia	AREI, Latvia
FB21	Modern cultivar	Favel	Portugal	INIAV, Portugal
FB22	Old cultivar	Jõgeva	Estonia	ECRI, Estonia
FB39	Modern cultivar	Gloria	Germany	RISE, Sweden
Vicia faba	var. <i>major</i>			
FB02	Landrace	Džūkstes	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB03	Landrace	Zaigas	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB05	Landrace	Puntuļa tumšās	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB06	Landrace	Cēres	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB09	Landrace	Puntuļa gaišās	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB10	Landrace	Iras	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia
FB37	Landrace	Gubbestad	Sweden	RISE, Sweden
FB242	Modern cultivar	Aguadulce	Spain	AUA, Greece
FB226	Landrace	Kučānes Sarmītes	Latvia	PHRC, Latvia

\* AUA Agricultural University of Athens; AREI Institute of Agricultural Resources and Economics; PHRC Pure
 Horticultural Research Centre; ECRI Estonian Crop Research Institute; INIAV National Institute for Agricultural

118 and Veterinarian Research; RISE Research Institute of Sweden.

119

# 120 2.2 Field environments

121 The genotypes were tested over two growing seasons at four Northern European field sites (Table 2).

122 The Baltic (Lativa, Estonia) testing sites are characterized by a cool temperate climate with increasing

123 continental character from NEM2 to BOR7, while the Norwegian location near the sea has a temperate

124 Atlantic climate.

125

- 126 **Table 2.** Location, altitude, Köppen-Geiger climate and Metzger et al. (2005) environmental
- 127 classification of the four experimental sites in 2015 and 2016. See Table 1 for site abbreviations.

Site	Country	Coordinates	Altitude	Koeppen	Metzger
			a.s.l. (m)	climate class	environmental class
AREI	Latvia	57°18'57" N, 25°20'19" E	123	Dfb	NEM2
PHRC	Latvia	57°37'43'' N, 22°21'36'' E	57	Dfb	NEM2
ECRI	Estonia	58°46'15'' N, 26°24'18'' E	76	Dfb	BOR7
NIBIO	Norway	58°20'24" N, 8°31'22" E	10	Cfb	ATN2

128

Soil properties of the experimental fields are given in Table 3. The soils are typical for the Nordic-Baltic

region formed on Quaternary sediments with comparatively high sand content, partially occurrence of

131 horizons with stagnic or gleyic properties, and moderately to slightly acidic pH-values predominantly

132 in the silicate buffer range (Jones et al., 2005).

- 133
- 134 **Table 3.** Soil properties of experimental fields, including the texture class and particle size distribution,
- pH (KCl), soil organic matter (SOM) and total Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) contents. See Table 2
- 136 for site abbreviations.

Site	Soil type WRB	Texture class	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	pΗ <sub>KCI</sub>	SOM %	<b>P</b> mg kg⁻¹	<b>K</b> mg kg⁻¹
AREI PHRC ECRI NIBIO	Stagnic Podzol Haplic Podsol Stagnic Luvisol Gleyic Dystric Cambisol	Loamy sand Sandy loam Sandy clay Loamy sand	67 55 53 91	3 25 12 7	30 20 35 3	5.7 6.3 6.3 6.0	3.1 2.9 3.1 4.1	68.5 86.2 135.9 295.2	123.7 102.5 114.2 41.9

1	2	7
	5	'

# 138 2.3 Experimental setup

The experimental design in all trials was a randomized complete block design with four (n = 4) replications and a plot size of 3 m<sup>2</sup>. Seeding density was 14 seeds per m<sup>2</sup> for var. *major* genotypes and 40 seeds per m<sup>2</sup> for var. *minor* genotypes with a seeding depth of 4-6 cm. Seeding time varied between 22<sup>nd</sup> of April (AREI, Latvia; 2015) and 22<sup>nd</sup> of May (NIBIO, Norway; 2015). Crop management (primary tillage, seedbed preparation, fertilization and plant protection) followed respective local practices and national fertilization recommendations.

145

# 146 2.4 Trait measurements

Measurements covered plant phenology, plant height, yield and yield components as well as protein content. Plant phenological stages were assessed following Meier (1997). Flowering date was registered as Julian day when 50 % of the plants had at least one flower open, date of end of flowering as the Julian day when 80 % of the plants no longer had any flowers open, and maturity date as the Julian day when 80 % of the pods were dry. The vegetative phase duration was obtained as the time from sowing to flowering date, flowering duration as the time from flowering date to date of end of flowering, and generative phase duration as the time from the end of flowering to maturity date.

Plant height was measured near maturity (BBCH 71-81) from ground to the tip of the plant for an average of 10 plants in each replication. Yield components comprised number of pods per node, number of seeds per pod and hundred seed weight (HSW). Number of pods per node was defined as average number of pods on the second pod-bearing node of five plants in each replication. Number of seeds per pod was obtained from an average of five dry pods in each replication. HWS was measured for 2 samples of 100 randomly chosen seeds in each replication (BBCH 89). Seed yield from the harvested plots is uniformly given for a seed moisture content of 14 %.

Protein content in seeds was measured for a random sample from each replication by near infrared
 transmittance spectroscopy (Batten, 1998) using an Infratec 1241 Analyser (Foss, Högenäs, Sweden).

163

### 164 2.5 Statistical evaluation

165 Univariate analysis of main effects (genotypes, environments) and their interactions was done by 166 analysis of variance and subsequent comparison of means. We used SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute 167 Inc., Cary, NC, USA) procedures from the META suite for evaluation of multi-environment breeding 168 trials (Vargas et al., 2013). META uses a mixed model ANOVA with REML to estimate variance 169 components. Years and sites were taken as environments, thus the two seasons and four sites resulted 170 in eight distinct environments, considered as random effects, representing Northern European 171 growing conditions. Genotypes were taken as fixed factor, while replicates (blocks) were considered 172 random and nested within environments. Following Cooper et al. (1996), a distance matrix from 173 genetic correlations between testing environments was calculated with META to highlight

174 differentiation among target environments (displayed as dendrogram).

Multivariate methods were applied to identify groups of genotypes sharing common characteristics.
Principal component analysis (PCA; SAS procedure PROC FACTOR) was used to reveal key trait groups
(principal components) underlying distinctiveness. Common groups of genotypes were identified using
Ward clustering method (SAS procedures PROC CLUSTER and PROC TREE) and principal components
as composite clustering variables (Bodner et al., 2013).

180 Mutual relations between single traits are provided as heatmaps derived from correlation analysis 181 (Hemedinger, 2013). The main objective of correlation analysis was to identify selection traits that are 182 potential proxies for yield with lower genetic complexity and/or easy to measure in field plots.

Yield stability of genotypes was assessed using joint regression, i.e. linear regression of single genotype
yield vs. the site mean (Finlay and Wilkinson, 1963; Becker and Leon, 1988; Crossa, 1990). Differences
in regression slopes, as an indicator of stability, were compared with linear contrasts in SAS procedure
PROC GLM following Sawant (2012); relations to the single traits were analysed (SAS procedure PROC
REG).

Finally, the response of yield to environmental influences acting as possible abiotic stresses was assessed via regression analysis (PROC REG with RSQUARE selection option). Meteorological variables tested as causal factor were: rainfall, (mean, maximum and minimum) temperatures, solar radiation, evapotranspiration (ET<sub>0</sub> Penman-Monteith; Allen et al., 1998) and climatic water balance deficit (rainfall minus evapotranspiration). Each factor was tested as monthly averages (sums), averages (sums) over the whole growing season as well as over the critical time of flowering/early pod filling (July-August).

195

### 196 3. Results

*197 3.1 Growing conditions* 

198 The *V. faba* testing environments differed substantially concerning temperature and humidity (Fig. 1).199

200

# <Figure 1>

201

202 On average the 2016 growing season was about 1 °C warmer than 2015, particularly during spring and

203 early summer months including flowering time. In contrast, higher maximum temperatures in the

204 Baltic counties occurred during early grain filling in August 2015.

Rainfall in the oceanic Cfb site in Norway was 1.4x higher compared to the continental Baltic Dfb

climates. The driest site was PHRC in Latvia with an average annual rainfall of only 431 mm. The Baltic

207 environments received between 28.6 % (PHRC) to 40.2 % (AREI) less rainfall during the 2015 vegetation 208 period, while the Norwegian site had a 49.7 % greater precipitation in 2015. Generally, the oceanic 209 environment of NIBIO did not cause any climatic water balance deficit (Figure 1, right panel; difference 210 between rainfall and ET<sub>0</sub>). At the Baltic sites, a cumulative water balance deficit of up to -191 mm (SD 211 ±22 mm) accumulated during the 2015 growing season between May and September. In 2016 a considerable water balance deficit in the Baltic sites occurred only at PHRC (-131 mm), while incoming 212 213 rainfall and ET<sub>0</sub> were balanced at AREI and ECRI. 214 Based on the yield-distance matrix for the eight environments, differentiation among testing sites is 215 shown in a dendrogram (Fig. 2). The Latvian site at AREI and the Norwegian site formed a common 216 cluster, with AREI showing higher distance between the two growing seasons. In 2016 the ECRI and 217 PHRC sites formed a cluster with similar distance as for the AREI-NIBIO group, while PHRC featured the 218 highest distance to all other environments during the dry and warm growing season 2015. 219 220 <Figure 2> 221 222 3.2 Yield components, quality, phenological and morphological traits 223 Table 4 lists the results of multi-environment ANOVA with best linear unbiased estimates of genotype 224 means for yield, yield components and protein content. All traits had significant genotype × 225 environment interactions, further analysed below (3.5). 226 227 Table 4. Results of mixed model multi-environment ANOVA with best linear unbiased estimates, 228 significance of main effects and their interactions and least significant differences (LSD) for yield, yield 229 components and protein content of 18 faba bean genotypes (G) tested in eight environments (E). First 230 part var. minor, second part var. major with respective means and coefficient of variations (CV).

Genotype name	Genotype number	Yield g m <sup>-2</sup>	<b>Pods node</b> ⁻¹ number	Seeds pod⁻¹ number	<b>Seed weight</b> g/100 seeds	Protein %
Vicia faba var. minor						
Fuego	FB01	316.4	1.9	3.2	64.3	29.8

Bauska	FB07	332.9	2.0	3.3	57.9	31.3
Priekulu 32	FB08	304.5	1.7	2.9	77.5	31.3
Lielplatones	FB12	308.0	2.1	3.4	44.9	31.9
Priekulu vietejas	FB15	301.4	1.9	3.3	58.8	31.3
Valmiera	FB16	263.4	1.7	3.2	61.4	31.3
Favel	FB21	78.6	1.5	2.7	95.6	29.9
Jõgeva	FB22	251.8	1.6	2.9	75.6	30.8
Gloria	FB39	236.5	2.1	3.4	52.1	33.3
Mean var. <i>minor</i>		265.9	1.8	3.1	65.3	31.2
CV var. <i>minor</i> (%)		29.0	11.9	8.0	23.4	3.4
<u>Vicia faba var. majo</u>	or					
Džūkstes	FB02	237.0	1.4	2.7	146.1	32.0
Zaigas	FB03	251.8	1.2	2.8	149.4	31.4
Puntuļa tumšās	FB05	225.6	1.4	2.8	125.0	32.1
Cēres	FB06	269.9	1.4	3.3	121.7	31.3
Puntuļa gaišās	FB09	208.5	1.2	2.7	125.6	32.2
Iras	FB10	252.1	1.3	3.0	127.2	30.8
Kučānes Sarmītes	FB226	222.7	1.3	3.3	138.6	32.3
Aguadulce	FB242	32.4	1.2	2.8	127.4	31.2
Gubbestad	FB37	178.2	1.3	2.7	108.1	32.9
Mean var. <i>major</i>		208.7	1.3	2.9	129.9	31.8
CV var. <i>major</i> (%)		34.2	6.7	8.4	9.9	2.1
Canatura		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Genotype		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Environment		0.0398	0.0467	0.0653	0.0557	0.0415
G×E		<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.0065
LSD		56.5	0.3	0.3	11.6	0.73

The var. *minor* genotypes were superior in their average yield level (+24.7 % compared to var. *major*): pods per node were 1.4x and seeds per pod 1.1x those registered in var. *major*. Linear contrasts between *minor* and *major* types were significant for yield (p=0.012) and pods per node (p=0.002), while

seed per pod did not differ significantly (p=0.219).

236 The var. *minor* genotype with the highest yield was the Latvian landrace Bauska, while the cultivar

237 Favel from Portugal failed under the Nordic growing conditions. The genotypes of var. *minor* 

238 differentiated more in the yield components pods per node and hundred seed weight compared to

239 var. *major*. Protein contents were also slightly more variable in var. *minor*—ranging from 29.8 % (min.)

in the German modern cultivar Fuego to 33.3 % (max.) in Gloria (also originating from Germany).

241 Among var. *major* genotypes, the Latvian landrace Ceres had the highest yield, while the Spanish

242 modern cultivar Aguadulce and the Swedish landrace Gubbestand produced substantially less average

243 yields. The Spanish genotype was obviously not adapted to the Northern environments, achieving only 244 marginal yield levels of about one third of the overall genotype mean. Variability in yield components 245 was highest in hundred seed weight with largest seeds in the Latvian landrace Zaigas and smallest in 246 the Swedish genotype Gubbestand. Seeds per pod and pods per node differed also significantly among 247 genotypes; however in absolute terms the ranges of 1.2 to 1.4 for the number of pods per node and 248 of 2.7 to 3.3 for seeds per pod were rather narrow. Protein content was between 30.8 % (Latvian 249 landrace Iras) and 33.1 % (Swedish landrace Gubbestand). As expected, protein content showed the 250 least variability among relevant yield and quality traits.

251 Among phenological traits (Table 5), vegetative and generative duration showed a significant effect of

252 genotype, environment (vegetative duration) and their interaction, while flowering duration was

significant for the interaction term only. Plant height was influenced significantly by both main effects

and their interaction.

255

**Table 5.** Results of mixed model multi-environment ANOVA with best linear unbiased estimates,

257 significance of main effects and their interactions and least significant differences (LSD) for

258 phenological and morphological traits of 18 faba bean genotypes (G) tested in eight environments (E).

259 First part var. *minor*, second part var. *major* with respective means and coefficient of variations (CV).

	_	Duration of phenological stage				
Genotype name	Genotype	Vegetative	Flowering	Generative	Plant height	
	number	d	d	d	cm	
Vicia faba var. min	or					
Fuego	FB01	50	27	51	95.2	
Bauska	FB07	51	30	46	110.7	
Priekulu 32	FB08	48	34	46	100.5	
Lielplatones	FB12	53	30	44	114.3	
Priekulu vietejas	FB15	51	31	47	108.7	
Valmiera	FB16	49	29	51	102.8	
Favel	FB21	52	22	50	75.0	
Jõgeva	FB22	45	29	47	90.2	
Gloria	FB39	53	26	48	96.2	
Mean var. <i>minor</i>		50	29	48	99.3	
CV var. <i>minor</i> (%)		5.2	11.8	5.1	12.1	
Vicia faba var. minor						

Džūkstes	FB02	46	25	49	77.0
Zaigas	FB03	44	26	50	80.7
Puntuļa tumšās	FB05	47	27	47	86.2
Cēres	FB06	45	29	50	89.1
Puntuļa gaišās	FB09	46	27	48	87.0
Iras	FB10	45	26	49	76.7
Kučānes Sarmītes	FB226	48	22	56	86.2
Aguadulce	FB242	53	20	58	62.3
Gubbestad	FB37	46	28	53	77.3
Mean var. <i>major</i>		47	26	51	80.3
CV var. <i>major</i> (%)		5.7	11.3	7.3	10.3
Genotyne		<0.001	0 2153	0 0279	<0.001
Environment		0.001	0.2155	0.1620	0.0276
		0.0525 <0.001	-0.001	0.1039	<0.001
G × E		<0.001	<0.001	0.0004	<0.001
LSD		1.9	9.1	8.6	6.9

The vegetative and flowering duration in var. *minor* genotypes was on average three days longer, while *major* types possessed a longer generative phase. Phenological contrasts between the two groups were significant for vegetative and flowering duration only (p<sub>vegetative</sub>=0.031; p<sub>flowering</sub><0.001;

264 p<sub>generative</sub>=0.467). Average plant height was substantially higher (+23.6 %) in *minor* compared to *major* 265 genotypes.

Among *minor* types, the overall earliest mature genotypes were the cultivars Favel (Portugal) and Jõgeva (Estonia). This was due to substantially shorter flowering duration in Favel compared to Northern genotypes, while Jõgeva had shortest vegetative phase. The German varieties Fuego and Gloria were in the lower quartile of flowering duration, with long generative and vegetative development stages respectively. Favel and Jõgeva had the most compact canopy stature, while plant height of all Latvian landraces was above average (> 100 cm).

Within the var. *major* genotypes, the Southern cultivar Aguadulce presented the latest initiation of flowering and the shortest flowering duration compared to all the other genotypes of this group. With a long generative phase, this genotype was subsequently latest in maturity. Among the other genotypes, phenology was in a similar range, except for a longer generative duration of Gubbestad

276 (Swedish landrace) and Kučānes Sarmītes (Latvian landrace)—being in the upper quartile (≥53 days)

278 Cēres being the tallest and Spanish cultivar Aguadulce the most compact genotype. 279 280 3.3 Trait-based genotype differentiation 281 Multivariate approaches, integrating all traits with potential relevance for yield, revealed distinctive groups of genotypes within the investigated germplasm. Direction and length of trait vectors in the 282 283 PCA biplot (lines in Fig. 3) show inter-trait relations and trait importance. Genotypes are distributed 284 according to their principal component (PC) scores. PC1 captures 55.3 % of overall variability with high 285 loadings for yield components, plant height, and vegetative duration. PC2 explains 19.2 % of variance, 286 with high loadings for protein content and duration of the flowering and generative phases. 287 288 <Figure 3> 289 290 Genotypes belonging to var. minor are located at the right side with positive first PC scores, except the 291 Southern cultivar Favel (FB21) with negative PC1 score (similar to var. *major* accessions). The majority 292 group of var. *major*, located in the lower left quadrant, holds negative PC2 scores; in contrast, Spanish 293 cultivar Aguadulce (FB242) and Swedish landrace Gubbestad (in the upper left quadrant) feature 294 positive PC2 scores. The minor genotypes Jõgeva (FB22; old cultivar from Estonia) and Latvian landrace 295 Priekulu 32 (FB08) have PC2 scores similar to the main *major* group (Fig. 3). 296 297 The dendrogram (Fig. 4) classifies genotypes according to their PC scores. Two main clusters are 298 distinguished at a semi-partial  $R^2$  value >0.36, one containing var. *minor* accessions only, while the 299 second contains var. major genotypes together with three minor types, i.e. two cultivars (FB21 Favel 300 from Portugal, FB22 Jõgeva from Estonia) and the Latvian landrace Priekulu 32 (FB08). 301 302

together with Aguadulce. Plant height varied by 10.3 % around the average, with Latvian landrace

<Figure 4>

303

### 304 3.4. Inter-trait relations

305 Understanding relations among single traits is important to highlight potential phenotyping criteria 306 related to faba bean production targets yield and protein content. In addition, allometric relations 307 between easy-to-measure traits and more complex variables can be estimated. Figure 5 gives an 308 overview on inter-trait correlations in the investigated *Vicia faba* germplasm.

- 309
- 310

#### <Figure 5>

311

312 Inter-trait relations were generally weaker in var. major genotypes (mean |R<sub>Pearson</sub>|=0.35) compared 313 to var. *minor* (mean |R<sub>Pearson</sub>|=0.55). Var. *minor* genotypes showed significant correlations (with 314  $|R_{Pearson}| \ge 0.75$ ) of (i) yield with flowering duration (+, positive) and yield with plant height (+), (ii) pods 315 per node with seeds per pod (+) and pots per node with hundred seed weight (-, negative), (iii) seeds 316 per pod with hundred seed weight (-) and plant height (+) and (iv) hundred seed weight with plant 317 height (-). Significant correlations between  $0.50 \le |R_{Pearson}| < 0.75$  occured between (i) yield and 318 hundred seed weight (-), (ii) pods per node with plant height (+), (iii) hundred seed weight with protein 319 content (-), and (iv) flowering duration with plant height (+).

Within the var. *major* types significant correlations with  $|R_{Pearson}| \ge 0.75$  were found between (i) yield and the duration of the vegetative stage (-) as well as plant height (+). (ii) The length of the vegetative stage correlated negatively with flowering duration. Correlations between  $0.50 \le |R_{Pearson}| < 0.75$  were found between (i) yield and flowering duration (+) as well as generative duration (-). (iii) Vegetative duration correlated positively with generative duration and negatively with plant height (Fig. 5).

325

#### *326 3.5 Sensitivity to environmental conditions*

The Southern European genotypes clearly evidenced a lack of adaptation to Northern growing conditions, particularly the Spanish var. *major* genotype Aguadulce (FB242) featured an unusual response pattern at a very low yield level. Swedish landrace Gubbestand (FB37) was the genotype with the steepest slope, i.e. high yield potential under non-stressed environments with limited stability 331 towards lower yielding environments. Most Latvian landraces had similar yield responses within the 332 tested range of environments, with Ceres (FB06) featuring the best combination of a comparatively 333 high yield potential with adaptability to lower yielding environments—resulting in highest average 334 yield (cf. Table 4). For major type germplasms, slope comparison revealed the narrow range of stability 335 values with statistically significant differences only between Aguadulce and the eight other genotypes. 336 In Vicia faba var. minor germplasm, the Southern cultivar Favel (FB16) differed from seven others, i.e. 337 except the Latvian landrace Valmiera (FB16). In general, the minor genotypes showed more distinct 338 interactions between genotypes and environment (Fig. 6). The old cultivar Lielplatones (FB12) from 339 Latvia possessed the greatest yield under favourable conditions (i.e. non-stressed environments), 340 while being of limited stability, with most severe yield reductions towards low yielding environmental 341 conditions. Valmiera showed the contrary behaviour—least yield potential but greatest yield stability 342 across environments. Statistical comparison of slopes evidenced that Lielplatones and Valmiera 343 constitute the two extreme genotypes of the sample with significantly different yield responses 344 towards adverse environments. A genotype combining comparatively high potential and stability was 345 the Latvian landrace Bauska (FB07) with highest mean yield. 346

347

### <Figure 6>

348

Excluding the non-adapted Southern genotypes, an analysis of causal relations between plant traits
and yield stability quantified via regression slopes indicated a significant relation between yield
potential and yield stability: Higher yielding genotypes tended to have a lower yield stability (higher
slope) in both *minor* and *major* types (R<sup>2</sup><sub>minor</sub>=0.83; p=0.002; R<sup>2</sup><sub>major</sub>=0.86, p<0.001). Among var. *major*genotypes those with higher protein content tended to higher yield stability (R<sup>2</sup>=0.76, p=0.005). The
other traits did not reveal significant influences on yield stability.

Two key environmental factors significantly influenced yield of *Vicia faba* (Fig. 7): high maximum July temperatures caused a decrease in yield with higher sensitivity of var. *minor* compared to var. *major*.

358	The yield decrease was as high as 73.4 % (-56.3 g m <sup>-2</sup> °C <sup>-1</sup> ) for var. <i>minor</i> and 53.0 % (-38.5 g m <sup>-2</sup> °C <sup>-1</sup> )
359	for var. major. Yield of var. minor also responded stronger to cumulative ET <sub>0</sub> during July to August
360	compared to var. <i>major</i> with yield response to $ET_0$ being positive in the Northern environments.
361	

# <Figure 7>

363

# 364 4. Discussion

365 4.1 Northern European target environments for faba bean production

366 According to FAO statistics (FAOStat, 2017) faba bean yield variability in Northern and Eastern Europe 367 ranges from around 1,300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (lower 10 % quantile 2000-2014) to 3,700 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (upper 10 % quantile 368 2000-2014). This range was represented very well in this study with the highest yielding environment 369 at AREI (Latvia) achieving an average yield of 3,796 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2015 and the lowest yielding environment 370 at PHRC (Latvia) with 1,281 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the same year. Yield-based genetic correlations among locations 371 can reveal distinct groups of relevant target environments for cultivar testing (Cooper et al., 1997). In 372 this way three environmental clusters from the sites investigated in this study were identified: one low 373 yielding cluster with PHRC in 2015 representing the most stress prone environments in the region; an 374 intermediate group of environments with similar yield level (average: 2,275 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) comprising ECRI 375 (Estonia) and PHRC in wetter 2016; high yielding environments (average: 2,757 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were 376 represented by the oceanic site of Norway and AREI.

377 Areas with continental climates at above 55° N latitudes are most vulnerable agroecosystems to heat 378 stress; areas include the Eastern part of the Baltic countries (Teixeira et al., 2013). Baltic republics, 379 together with Mediterranean countries, were also identified as European drought hotspots for the period 1991–2010 (Spinoni et al., 2015). During the 2015 growing season all Baltic test environments 380 381 showed a marked water balance deficit between late spring and summer, coinciding with 12-17 days 382 with temperatures >25°C at AREI and PHRC, Latvia respectively, and maximum temperatures up to 383 32.3°C in early August. Furthermore Baltic countries have large areas of comparatively sandy soil with 384 low water storage capacity (Panagos, 2006) accelerating plant water stress during periods of dry spells.

### 386 4.2 Classification and intra-group diversity of faba bean types

387 V. faba taxonomy classifies genotypes according to seed size into three groups (minor, equina, major; 388 Nadal et al., 2010). In practice, however, a continuous variation for most morphological, (eco-) 389 physiological and chemical traits has been observed, making discrete differentiation of varieties 390 challenging. Based on a multivariate trait assessment including yield components, phenology, protein 391 content and plant height the two V. faba varieties minor and major were largely identified as distinctive 392 groups. Genotype groups with separate biplot-location than the core of var. *minor* and *major* 393 accessions emerged due to origin (Spanish and Swedish var. *major* genotypes Aguadulce and 394 Gubbenstad, respectively; Portuguese var. minor genotype Favel) of the varieties. This led to some 395 overlapping in cluster based distinction, where Favel together with the two Baltic var. minor accessions 396 (Jõgeva, old cultivar from Estonia) and Priekulu 32 (Latvian landrace) had similar characteristics to the 397 var. major group, particularly due to earliness. The three most distinctive traits between the studied 398 accessions of var. minor and var. major genotypes were (obviously) seed weight (increased by 50 % in 399 var. major), but also number of pods per node (reduced by 39 % in var. major), and yield (reduced by 400 27 % in var. major). Plants of var. major also had about 24 % shorter shoots. Phenological traits differed 401 by only 8 % on average—with flowering duration being the predominant phenological distinction (12 402 % longer in var. *minor*). The average protein content was similar between both groups (31.2-31.8 %). 403 Overall the genotype classification based on field phenotyping data largely confirmed the genetic 404 identification of two distinct groups of small-seeded vs. large-seeded European faba bean varieties 405 (Link et al., 1995).

406

However, at the level of single traits, variability within the same group (*minor/major*) can be higher
compared to average differences between the two groups resulting in a more continuous variation.
Genotyping of faba bean collections has suggested that geographical origin (Polignano et al., 1999;
Kwon et al., 2010) and breeding history (landraces vs. advanced varieties; Alghamdi et al., 2012)
essentially contribute to high diversity among accessions. This is particularly evident from the distinct

412 position of Southern genotypes per group. Considering the var. *minor* sample only, containing a more 413 balanced number of landraces (n=4) and varieties (n=5), it is demonstrated that the average yield level 414 of landraces is not significantly different from modern varieties (*p*-value of linear contrasts *p*=0.250), 415 even when excluding the non-adapted Southern genotype Favel. Previous evaluations of genetic 416 resources revealed that crops with less intensive breeding history, such as *Vicia faba* landraces, can 417 even have a superior yield potential compared to commercial cultivars (Karaköy et al., 2014).

418

419

## 420 4.3 Phenotypical and phenological characteristics of high yielding faba bean genotypes

Understanding inter-trait-relations is relevant to dissect genetically complex plant characteristics such
as yield to underlying components. Advantages of trait-based breeding are potentially greater
heritability and improved (e.g. earlier, quicker, more precise) screening of single traits (Link et al., 2007;
Reynolds et al., 2009). Thereby relevant determinants underlying superior yield can be identified and
selected in a targeted way. The most important plant characteristics in faba bean breeding according
to Duc (1997) comprise an early flowering phenology as well as high number of pods per node and
seeds per pod as yield components.

428

429 In this experiments, flowering was generally rather early (on average after 650°Cd; 0 °C base 430 temperature; Stützel, 1995; Patrick and Stoddard, 2010) compared to values reported by other studies (e.g. ≈1000°Cd, Ellis et al., 1990). This probably reflects a photoperiodic sensitivity of faba bean towards 431 long-day conditions: at the average sowing date (6<sup>th</sup> May ±8 days SD) day length was already >15 hours 432 433 at the Nordic-Baltic sites. Under long-day conditions, others previously reported vegetative growth 434 durations similar to our values (Cafalone et al., 2010; Catt et al., 2017). Several studies on phenological 435 differentiation within species collections from distinct geographical origin found early flowering and 436 maturity to be characteristic for accessions with Nordic origin. Regulator genes for photoperiod and 437 temperature optimize developmental adaptation to seasonal availability of growth factors (e.g. pea: 438 Vanhala et al., 2016; Arabidopsis: Lewandowska-Sabat et al., 2017). In this context the recent detection

439 of QTLs for flowering time in response to temperature and photoperiod for faba bean (Catt et al., 2017) 440 is very promising for selecting cultivars with phenological adaptation to a given target environment. 441 Our results thus evidenced a consistent yield advantage of those accessions with prolonged flowering 442 duration for both groups of V. faba genotypes. For var. major accessions this was in combination with 443 earliness in vegetative and generative stages, which however was strongly driven by the Southern 444 genotype Aguadulce due to high temperature requirements leading to 10 days later maturity and 445 strong yield depression. De Costa et al. (1997) demonstrated that for an indeterminate growth habit 446 in faba bean, a longer flowering duration is increasing yield under favourable environmental 447 conditions. Occurrence of stress during anthesis strongly decreases flowering duration and yield (Xia, 448 1990). A positive relation between flowering duration and seed yield was reported also for other 449 legumes such as mungo bean (Alam Mondal et al., 2011). Overall, our results thus suggested that under 450 Northern conditions an extended flowering duration with early onset of anthesis, driven by the 451 photothermal conditions at higher 55°N latitude, characterizes high yielding genotypes. Interestingly, 452 an indeterminate habit with longer flowering durations was more frequent in the studied landraces 453 than in the six varieties (*p*-value of linear contrasts *p*<0.001) demonstrating their potential importance 454 as genetic resource for breeding adapted cultivars.

455

456 Plant height is a trait which allows easy and quickly phenotyping in the field (Busemayer et al., 2013). 457 A positive relation between yield and plant height, demonstrated for both var. *minor* and *major*, was 458 also reported earlier for faba bean (Link et al., 1999; Ulukan et al., 2003; Al-Rifaee et al., 2004) and for 459 other legumes (e.g. chickpea: Toker and Ilhan Cagirgan, 2004). This might be related to a link between 460 height and number of pod bearing nodes along the main stem-an essential component of yield 461 formation (Nagel, 1980; Graf and Rowland, 1987). Still selection for taller plants implies a higher risk 462 of lodging (Link et al., 2010). Concerning other yield components, only a slightly negative relation 463 between seed weight and yield was found in var. minor. Previously, Li and Yian (2014) and 464 Neugschwandtner et al. (2015) similarly reported no or only weak correlations of grain yield to seed 465 weight, seeds per pod or pods per plant in faba bean. However, beyond the overall yield effect var.

*minor* genotypes exhibited trade-offs between the single yield components, i.e. a negative relation of
hundred seed weight with both pods per node and seeds per pod; similar results were reported earlier
(López-Bellido et al., 2005; Sadras, 2007). A compensation between seed number and seed size was
also reported by Agung and McDonald (1998).

- 470
- 471

## 472 4.4 Yield potential and yield stability

Yield stability is of high relevance for agriculture owing to the intrinsic uncertainty in meteorological
conditions (Temesgen et al., 2015). Link et al. (1999) showed for faba bean that high yield in favourable
(well-watered) environments is correlated with yield under stress (water-limited) conditions. Such a
relation between yield potential and stress resistance is frequently reported for moderate stress

conditions, while under more severe stress specific resistance traits beyond the generic factors of yield formation become determinant (Blum, 2005). The investigated genotypes in this study showed a significant link between yield potential and stability, pointing to a comparatively narrow environmental adaptation. In terms of yield response, all var. *major* genotypes beside the Southern cultivar Aguadulce showed a similar trend. The best combination of yield potential and yield stability in var. *major* was achieved by Latvian landrace Cēres. The best performing genotype among var. *minor* was the Latvian landrace Bauska, also combining yield potential with yield stability to a certain degree.

484 Focussing on the two extremes in var. *minor* with contrasting environmental sensitivity - being the old 485 Latvian cultivar Lielplatones (with high potential and limited yield stability) and the Latvian cultivar 486 Valmiera (with low potential and high yield stability) – two distinctive patterns in phenology and yield 487 components could be detected: Lielplatones was relatively tall in stature with a short generative 488 duration. While the hundred seed weight was lowest among all genotypes, possibly due to a shorter 489 grain-filling phase, high yield was achieved via a greater number of pods per node and seeds per pod. 490 In addition, the protein content was higher compared to the other genotypes. In contrast, Valmiera 491 had a short main stem, a long generative phase with great hundred seed weight, but was low in the 492 other yield components.

493 Within the range of target environments, less stressful conditions evidenced genotype differentiation 494 of faba bean most clearly. For wheat, favourable conditions were previously shown to maximize the 495 phenotypic variance between genotypes (Richards et al., 2010). The crossover-point between stable 496 (Valmiera) and responsive (Lielplatones) faba bean genotypes was determined at 178.2 g m<sup>-2</sup>, i.e. below the lower yield quartile of all environments (179.8 g m<sup>-2</sup>). This implies that stable/low yielding 497 498 genotypes like Valmiera have an advantage only in a limited number of the target environments and 499 selection of promising accessions should be conducted on higher yielding sites based on traits underlying yield potential as suggested earlier (Ceccarelli, 1989). 500

501

# 502 4.5 Response to environmental constraints

503 Several meteorological variables were considered as potential limiting factors for yield. The two best 504 predictors were average maximum temperatures in July and the sum of evapotranspiration during July 505 and August. While yield decreased with increasing maximum temperatures, evapotranspiration 506 showed a positive influence. The negative effect of high temperatures during flowering is well documented for different crops. For faba bean, Bishop et al. (2016) demonstrated that flowering was 507 508 mostly affected by heat stress during early stages and that yield decreased linearly with increasing 509 temperatures (from 18/10 °C to 34/26 °C day/night). Maximum July air temperatures in the studied 510 Northern environments varied between 20.4 °C and 24.3 °C with extremes up to 30.4 °C (PHRC in 511 2015), resulting in significantly depressed yields (53 % in var. major and 73.4 % in var. minor). In 512 contrast, the positive influence of cumulative evapotranspiration during flowering and early pod filling 513 (July-August) was unexpected. Generally high ET<sub>0</sub> cause water stress if accompanied by low rainfall. 514  $ET_0$  is driven by energy balance and mass transfer (Allen et al., 1998) – thus, high  $ET_0$  is the result of 515 high solar radiation and high gradients in water vapour concentration. As the climatic water balance 516 deficit did not significantly influence yield in this study, it is reasonable to interpret the ET influence as 517 related to the radiation component. Under limited duration of the generative phase in the Nordic-518 Baltic environments, favourable conditions for higher assimilation during early grain filling seem to

519 positively influence yield formation. At the level of yield components, the dual nature of the 520 evapotranspiration effect (water, radiation) was reflected even more clearly. In the earlier var. major 521 accessions (i.e. shorter vegetative and flowering duration compared to var. minor) seeds per pod were 522 significantly decreased ( $R^2$ =0.78, p=0.004) by ET<sub>0</sub> in June and July, while hundred seed weight tended to increase with higher August  $ET_0$  (R<sup>2</sup>=0.62, p=0.020). However, under low rainfall the ET effect can 523 524 become negative (i.e. high ET/P; R<sup>2</sup>=0.75, p=0.010). The var. *minor* genotypes showed similar trends, 525 but yield components were less responsive (regressions not significant); however, a direct effect of 526 solar radiation during July could be detected—increasing the number of pods per node (R<sup>2</sup>=0.51, 527 p=0.052). Further in-depth analysis are required to unravel the complex functional interrelation of ET 528 and yield in the studied agroecosystems.

529

### 530 5. Conclusion

531 High yielding Vicia faba ideotypes adapted to North European cropping systems must be able to cope 532 with the late initiation of vegetation period, long-day conditions from early vegetative phase, and 533 stress from high temperatures/limited water supply during the sensitive flowering to early pod filling 534 stages. High temperature during flowering was identified as single most critical factor limiting yield. 535 Best yielding genotypes were characterized by a tall stature and a phenological pattern combining 536 earliness (var. major) with prolonged duration of anthesis (var. minor and var. major). The V. faba 537 minor types had higher yield than the major group, with Baltic landraces of var. minor outperforming 538 most modern varieties tested. While a negative trade-off between yield potential and yield stability 539 was detected, superior genotypes in high yielding environments performed also better under yield 540 limiting conditions of Nordic target environments. Baltic landraces contained promising accessions, 541 combining yield potential with yield stability, such as Bauska (var. *minor*) and Ceres (var. *major*). These 542 genetic resources provide ideotypes for adapted crop phenology, stature and yield structure to guide 543 crop improvement. Future genotyping of promising accessions from this study should reveal QTLs 544 underling the identified distinctive phenotypic patterns and contribute to effectively exploit V. faba 545 genetic resources to breed adapted varieties for Nordic-Baltic environments.

547

# 548 Acknowledgment

- 549 This project received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Program for research,
- technological development, and demonstration under Grant 613781 (EUROLEGUME). The authors
- thank F. Fogelberg, RISE, for hosting a meeting used for preparation of the data set.

552

#### 554 References

- Agung, S., McDonald, G.K. 1998. Effects of seed size and maturity on the growth and yield of faba bean
  (*Vicia faba* L.). Aus. J. Agric. Res. 49, 79-88.
- 557 Alam Mondal, M.M., Ali Fakir, M.S., Juraimi, A.S., Hakim, M.A., Islam, M.M., Shamsuddoha, A.T.M.
- 558 2011. Effects of flowering behavior and pod maturity synchrony on yield of mungbean [*Vigna*
- 559 *radiate* (L.) Wilczek]. Aus. J. Crop Sci. 5, 945.
- Alghamdi, S.S., Al-Faifi, S.A., Migdadi, H.M., Khan, M.A., El-Harty, E.H., Ammar, M.H. 2012. Molecular
   Diversity Assessment Using Sequence Related Amplified Polymorphism (SRAP) Markers in *Vicia faba* L. Int. J. Molec. Sci. 13, 16457-16471.
- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing
- 564 crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO, Rome, 300(9), D05109.
- Al-Rifaee, M.O.H.D., Turk, M.A., Tawaha, A.R.M. 2004. Effect of seed size and plant population density
  on yield and yield components of local faba bean (*Vicia faba* L. *major*). Int. J. Agric. Biol. 6, 294299.
- 568Annicchiarico, P. 2002. Defining adaptation strategies and yield stability targets in breeding569programmes. in: Kang, M.S. (Ed.), Quantitative genetics, genomics, and plant breeding. CABI
- 570 Publishing, Oxon, UK, pp. 365-383.
- Annicchiarico, P., Bellah, F., Chiari, T. 2005. Defining sub-regions and estimating benefits for a specificadaptation strategy by breeding programs. Crop Sci. 45, 1741-1749.
- Batten G. D. 1998. Plant analysis using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy: the potential and the
  limitations. Aus. J. Exp. Agri. 38, 697-706.
- 575 Becker, H.C., Leon, J., 1988. Stability analysis in plant breeding. Plant Breeding 101, 1-23.
- 576 Bishop, J., Potts, S.G., Jones, H.E. 2016. Susceptibility of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to heat stress during
- 577 floral development and anthesis. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 202, 508-517.
- 578 Blum, A. 1996. Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation. Plant Growth Reg. 20,
- 579 135-148.

- 580 Blum, A. 2005. Drought resistance, water-use efficiency, and yield potential—are they compatible,
- 581 dissonant, or mutually exclusive? Aus. J. Agric. Res. 56, 1159-1168.
- Bodner, G., Leitner, D., Nakhforoosh, A., Sobotik, M., Moder, K., Kaul, H.-P., 2013. A statistical approach
  to root system classification. Frontiers Plant Sci. 4.
- 584 Braun, H. J., Rajaram, S., van Ginkel, M. 1996. CIMMYT's approach to breeding for wide adaptation.
- 585 Euphytica 92, 175-183.
- 586 Brisson, N., Gate, P., Gouache, D., Charmet, G., Oury, F. X., Huard, F. 2010) Why are wheat yields
- 587 stagnating in Europe? A comprehensive data analysis for France. Field Crops Res. 119, 201-212.
- 588 Busemeyer, L., Mentrup, D., Möller, K., Wunder, E., Alheit, K., Hahn, V., Maurer, H.P., Reif, J.C.,
- 589 Würschum, T., Müller, J., Rahe, F., Ruckelshausen, A. 2013. Breedvision—A multi-sensor platform
- 590 for non-destructive field-based phenotyping in plant breeding. Sensors 13, 2830-2847.
- Bussel, L. G. J., Stehfest, E., Siebert, S., Müller, C., Ewert, F. 2015. Simulation of the phenological
  development of wheat and maize at the global scale. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 24, 1018-1029.
- 593 Catt, S.C., Braich, S., Kaur, S., Paull, J.G. 2017. QTL detection for flowering time in faba bean and the
- responses to ambient temperature and photoperiod. Euphytica 213, 125.
- 595 Ceccarelli, S. 1989. Wide adaptation: how wide?. Euphytica 40, 197-205.
- 596 Cleland, E. E., Chuine, I., Menzel, A., Mooney, H. A., Schwartz, M. D. 2007. Shifting plant phenology in
- 597 response to global change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 357-365.
- 598 Confalone, A., Lizaso, J.I., Ruiz-Nogueira, B., López-Cedrón, F.X., Sau, F. 2010. Growth, PAR use 599 efficiency, and yield components of field-grown *Vicia faba* L. under different temperature and
- 600 photoperiod regimes. Field Crops Res. 115, 140-148.
- 601 Cooper, M., Stucker, R. E., DeLacy, I. H., & Harch, B. D., 1997. Wheat breeding nurseries, target
- 602 environments, and indirect selection for grain yield. Crop Sci. 37, 1168-1176.
- 603 Crossa, J., 1990. Statistical analyses of multilocation trials. Adv. Agron. 44, 55–85.
- De Costa, W.A.J.M., Dennett, M.D., Ratnaweera, U., Nyalemegbe, K. 1997. Effects of different water
- regimes on field-grown determinate and indeterminate faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). I. Canopy growth
- and biomass production. Field Crops Res. 49, 83-93.

- 607 Donald, C.T. 1968. The breeding of crop ideotypes. Euphytica 17, 385-403.
- 608 Duc, G. 1997. Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Field Crops Res. 53, 99-109.
- Duc, G., Bao, S., Baum, M., Redden, B., Sadiki, M., Suso, M. J., Vishniakova, M., Zong, X. 2010. Diversity
   maintenance and use of *Vicia faba* L. genetic resources. Field Crops Res. 115, 270-278.
- 611 Ellis, R.H., Summerfield, R.J., Roberts, E.H. 1990 Flowering in faba bean: genotypic differences in
- 612 photoperiod sensitivity, similarities in temperature sensitivity, and implications for screening
- 613 germplasm. Ann. Bot. 65, 129-138.
- FAO, 2011. Save and grow. A policymaker's guide to the sustainable intensification of smallholder crop
  production. FAO, Rome.
- 616 FAO, 2014. Genebank Standards for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rev. ed. Rome
- 617 FAOStat, 2017. Food and agriculture data. <u>www.fao.org/faostat/en/</u> (accessed: 23. November 2017).
- Finlay, K.W., Wilkinson, G.N., 1963. The analysis of adaptation in a plant breeding programme. Aust. J.
  Agric. Res. 14, 742-754.
- Furbank, R. T., Tester, M. 2011. Phenomics-technologies to relieve the phenotyping bottleneck. Trends
  Plant Sci. 16, 635-644.
- Gerstengarbe, F. W., Werner, P. C. 2009. A short update on Koeppen climate shifts in Europe between
  1901 and 2003. Climatic Change 92, 99-107.
- Graf, R.J., Rowland, G.G. 1987. Effect of plant density on yield and components of yield of faba bean.
  Can. J. Plant Sci. 67, 1-10.
- 626 Hemedinger, C., 2013. How to build a correlations matrix heat map with SAS.
- 627 https://blogs.sas.com/content/sasdummy/2013/06/12/correlations-matrix-heatmap-with-sas/
- 628 (accessed: 17. November 2017).
- Jensen, E. S., Peoples, M. B., Hauggaard-Nielsen, H. 2010. Faba bean in cropping systems. Field Crops
  Res. 115, 203-216.
- Jones, A., Montanarella, L., Jones, R. 2005. Soil atlas of Europe. European Soil Bureau Network,
  European Commission. Luxembourg, 128 pp.

- Karaköy, T., Baloch, F.S., Toklu, F., Özkan, H. 2014. Variation for selected morphological and quality-
- related traits among 178 faba bean landraces collected from Turkey. Plant Gen. Res. 12, 5-13.
- Khan, H.R., Link, W., Hocking, T. J., Stoddard, F. L. 2007. Evaluation of physiological traits for improving
  drought tolerance in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Plant Soil 292, 205-217.
- 637 Kwon, S. J., Hu, J., Coyne, C. J. 2010. Genetic diversity and relationship among faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.)
- 638 germplasm entries as revealed by TRAP markers. Plant Gen. Res. 8, 204-213.
- Latef, A. A. H. A., and P. Ahmad. 2015. Legumes and breeding under abiotic stress. Pages 1-20 in M.
- Azooz and P. Ahmad, editors. Legumes under Environmental Stress. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,
  Chichester, UK.
- 642 Lewandowska-Sabat, A.M., Fjellheim, S., Olsen, J.E., Rognli, O.A. 2017. Local populations of Arabidopsis
- 643 *thaliana* show clear relationship between photoperiodic sensitivity of flowering time and altitude.
- 644 Frontiers Plant Sci. 8, 1046.
- Li, X., Yang, Y. 2014. A novel perspective on seed yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.): differences
  resulting from pod characteristics. Sci. Rep. 4, 6859.
- Link, W., Abdelmula, A. A., Kittlitz, E. V., Bruns, S., Riemer, H., Stelling, D. 1999. Genotypic variation for
  drought tolerance in *Vicia faba*. Plant Breeding 118, 477-484.
- Link, W., Dixkens, C., Singh, M., Schwall, M. M. A. E., Melchinger, A. E. 1995. Genetic diversity in
  European and Mediterranean faba bean germ plasm revealed by RAPD markers. Theor. Appl.
  Genet. 90, 27-32.
- López-Bellido, F.J., Lopez-Bellido, L.O., López-Bellido, R.J. 2005. Competition, growth and yield of faba
  bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Eur. J. Agron. 23, 359-378.
- 654 McDonald, G. K., Paulsen, G. M. 1997. High temperature effects on photosynthesis and water relations
- of grain legumes. Plant Soil 196, 47-58.
- 656 Meier, U. 1997. Growth stages of mono- and dicotyledonous plants. BBCH Monograph, Blackwell
- 657 Wissenschafts-Verlag, Berlin-Wien (quadrilingual version: English, français, deutsch, español)
- 658 Metzger, M.J., Bunce, R.G.H, Jongman, R.H.G, Mücher, C.A., Watkins, J.W. 2005. A climatic
- 659 stratification of the environment of Europe. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 14, 549-563.

- Mwanamwenge, J., Loss, S. P., Siddique, K. H. M., Cocks, P. S. 1999. Effect of water stress during floral
  initiation, flowering and podding on the growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Europ. J.
  Agron. 11, 1-11.
- 663 Nadal, S., Suso, M. J., Moreno, M. T. 2003. Management of *Vicia faba* genetic resources: changes
- associated to the selfing process in the major, equina and minor groups. Gen. Res. Crop Evol. 50,
- 665 183-192.
- 666 Nagel, K. 1980. Some reflections and data about useful plant types in *Vicia faba* breeding. In:
- 667 Thompson, R. (Ed). *Vicia faba*: physiology and breeding. World Crops: Production, Utilization and
- 668 Description series Vol. 4. Kluwer, Dordrecht NL, p. 193-209.
- 669 Neugschwandtner, R.W., Ziegler, K.V., Kriegner, S., Kaul, H.P. 2015. Limited winter survival and
- 670 compensation mechanisms of yield components constrain winter faba bean production in Central
- 671 Europe. Acta Agric. Scand., Section B—Soil Plant Sci. 65, 496-505.
- 672 Panagos, P. 2006. The European soil database. GEO: connexion 5, 32-33.
- Patrick, J. W., Stoddard, F. L. 2010. Physiology of flowering and grain filling in faba bean. Field Crops
  Res. 115, 234-242.
- Polignano, G. B., Alba, E., Uggenti, P., Scippa, G. 1999. Geographical patterns of variation in Bari faba
  bean germplasm collection. Gen. Res. Crop Evol. 46, 183-192.
- Ranalli, P., Cubero, J.I. 1997. Bases for genetic improvement of grain legumes. Field Crops Res. 53, 69-82.
- Reynolds, M., Manes, Y., Izanloo, A., Langridge, P. 2009. Phenotyping approaches for physiological
  breeding and gene discovery in wheat. Ann. Appl. Biol. 155, 309-320.
- 681 Richards, R. A., Rebetzke, G. J., Watt, M., Condon, A. T., Spielmeyer, W., Dolferus, R. 2010. Breeding
- 682 for improved water productivity in temperate cereals: phenotyping, quantitative trait loci,
- 683 markers and the selection environment. Funct. Plant Biol. 37, 85-97.
- 684 Saarikko, R. A., Carter, T. R. 1996. Phenological development in spring cereals: response to
- temperature and photoperiod under northern conditions. Eur. J. Agron. 5, 59-70.

- Sadras, V.O. 2007. Evolutionary aspects of the trade-off between seed size and number in crops. Field
  Crops Res. 100, 125-138.
- Sallam, A., Martsch, R., Moursi, Y.S. 2015. Genetic variation in morpho-physiological traits associated
  with frost tolerance in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Euphytica. 205, 395-408.
- 690 Sawat, S., 2012. Algorithm to compare the slopes (Regression coefficients) between the subgroups in
- Simple/Multiple Regression using PROC REG. PharmaSUG 2012 Conference Proceedings, Paper
   PO04.
- Spinoni, J., Naumann, G., Vogt, J., Barbosa, P. 2015. European drought climatologies and trends based
  on a multi-indicator approach. Global Planet. Change 127, 50-57.
- 695 Stützel, H. 1995. A simple model for simulation of growth and development in faba beans (Vicia faba
- 696 L.) 1. Model description. Europ. J. Agron. 4, 175-185.
- 697 Sultana, R., A. K. Choudhary, A. K. Pal, K. B. Saxena, B. D. Prasad, and R. Singh. 2014. Abiotic Stresses in
- Major Pulses: Current Status and Strategies. Pages 173-190 in R. K. Gaur and P. Sharma, editors.
  Approaches to Plant Stress and their Management. Springer India, New Delhi.
- 700 Teixeira, E. I., Fischer, G., van Velthuizen, H., Walter, C., Ewert, F. 2013. Global hot-spots of heat stress
- on agricultural crops due to climate change. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 170, 206-215.
- Temesgen, T., Keneni, G., Sefera, T., Jarso, M. 2015. Yield stability and relationships among stability
   parameters in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genotypes. The Crop J. 3, 258-268.
- 704Toker, C., Ilhan Cagirgan, M. 2004. The use of phenotypic correlations and factor analysis in705determining characters for grain yield selection in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Hereditas 140,
- 706 226-228.
- 707 Ulukan, H., Guler, M., Keskin, S.D.D.K. 2003. A path coefficient analysis some yield and yield
  708 components in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genotypes. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 6, 1951-1955.
- Vanhala, T., Normann, K.R., Lundström, M., Weller, J.L., Leino, M.W., Hagenblad, J. 2016. Flowering
  time adaption in Swedish landrace pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). BMC Gen. 17, 117.
- 711 Vargas, M., Combs, E., Alvarado, G., Atlin, G., Mathews, K., Crossa, J., 2013. META: a suite of SAS
- programs to analyze multienvironment breeding trials. Agron. J. 105, 11-19.

- 713 Varshney, R. K., Close, T. J., Singh, N. K., Hoisington, D. A., Cook, D. R. 2009. Orphan legume crops enter
- the genomics eral. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol 12, 202-210.
- Xia, M. Z. 1990. Physiological effects of water stress during the flowering and podding stages of Vicia
  faba. Plant Physiol. Comm. 1, 14-19.
- 717 Zeven, A.C. 1998. Landraces: a review of definitions and classifications. Euphytica 104, 127-139.
- 718 Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., Schaaf, C. B., Strahler, A. H. 2004. Climate controls on vegetation phenological
- patterns in northern mid-and high latitudes inferred from MODIS data. Global Change Biol. 10,
- 720 1133-1145.