

1 [This is a post-print version of an article published in Plant Pathology. And original version can be](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13071)
2 [found here:](https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13071)
3 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13071>

Formatert: Engelsk (USA)

4
5 **Multiplex real-time PCR assays for the detection and identification of *Heterobasidion* species**
6 **attacking conifers in Europe.**

7
8 R. Ios¹, P. Chrétien¹, J. Perrault¹, C. Jeandel¹, C. Dutech², P. Gonthier³, F. Sillo³, A.M. Hietala⁴, H.
9 Solheim^{5,4} and J. Hubert¹.

10
11 ¹ ANSES Plant Health Laboratory, Mycology Unit. Domaine de Pixérécourt, 54220 Malzéville, France

12 ² INRA, University of Bordeaux, UMR 1202 BIOGECO, F33610 Cestas

13 ³ University of Torino, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA), Largo Paolo
14 Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy

15 ⁴[NIBIO, Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Steinkjer 7734, Norway](#)

16 ⁵[NIBIO, Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Ås, Norway](#)

17
18 **Summary.**

19 Four species of the destructive forest pathogen *Heterobasidion annosum sensu lato* (*s.l.*) are present
20 in Europe: *H. annosum sensu stricto* (*s.s.*), *H. abietinum*, and *H. parviporum* are native species, while
21 *H. irregulare* is a non-native invasive species currently reported only in Italy, yet recommended for
22 regulation throughout Europe. In this study, we developed real-time PCR detection tests for each of
23 the four species, which can be used simultaneously or individually thanks to probes labeled with
24 species-specific fluorescent dyes. We evaluated the different performance criteria of each assay, and
25 determined that they were theoretically capable of detecting amounts of DNA corresponding to 311,
26 29 and 29 cell nuclei in *H. annosum s.s.*, *H. irregulare*, and *H. parviporum*, respectively. The specificity
27 of each assay was assessed with a wide set of strains, ~~and the observation of a few *H. parviporum*~~
28 ~~strains showing unexpected positive signals with the *H. abietinum* assay was discussed.~~ Real-time PCR
29 tests successfully detected *Heterobasidion* species from 36 fruiting bodies taken from the forest, as
30 well as from artificially inoculated or naturally infected wood samples. The multiplex real-time PCR

Formatert: Ikke Utheving

31 assays developed in this study could have practical applications both in forest management and in
32 phytosanitary monitoring.

33

34

35 Introduction

36 Many cryptic species (i.e. species from the same genus that are difficult to identify by morphological
37 criteria) are described in fungi, and our knowledge on the number, biology and evolution of such
38 species is still limited (Hawksworth & Lücking, 2017). However, the rapid and recent development of
39 various molecular tools has considerably improved species identification, and consequently shed light
40 on their geographic distribution and ecology (Desprez-Loustau et al., 2018, Boutigny et al., 2013, Fitt
41 et al., 2006, Schena et al., 2002). The genus *Heterobasidion* encompasses 13 fungal species, of which
42 12 can be grouped into two major species complexes. The first species complex is *H. insulare sensu*
43 *lato* (s.l.), comprising the saprotrophic species *H. amyloideum*, *H. australe*, *H. ecrustosum*, *H. insulare*
44 *sensu typi*, *H. linzhiense*, *H. orientale*, and *H. tibeticum* (Chen et al., 2015). The complex *H. annosum*
45 s.l. comprises the phytopathogenetic species *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum sensu stricto* (s.s.), *H.*
46 *irregulare*, *H. parviporum*, and *H. occidentale*, which are responsible for severe economic and
47 environmental losses, and are deemed major threats for conifers in the Northern Hemisphere
48 (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013, Lind et al., 2014). Depending on the host species, losses caused by *H.*
49 *annosum s.l.* are associated either with roots rot leading to tree mortality or with the development of
50 heartwood decay in the roots, bole and stem, impairing the quality of wood and the stability of trees
51 (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). The different *Heterobasidion* species have been reported to show host
52 preferences (Korhonen et al., 1998, Gonthier et al., 2001, Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). However,
53 their ecology and geographic distribution overlap to a large extent (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013) and
54 can co-occur in the same stands (Gonthier, 2019, Gonthier et al., 2001, Sedlák & Tomšovský, 2014). In
55 addition, several studies have shown incomplete species barriers between some species with rare
56 hybrids being found in forests (Sedlák & Tomšovský, 2014, Garbelotto et al., 1996, Gonthier &
57 Garbelotto, 2011, Sillo et al., 2019).

58 In Europe, only species from *H. annosum s.l.* are present. *H. annosum s.s.* attacks mostly pines (*Pinus*
59 spp.), although it may also be found on other hosts, including Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) and even
60 occasionally broadleaves (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). *H. parviporum* and *H. abietinum* are mostly
61 found associated with Norway spruce and firs (*Abies* spp.) respectively (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013).
62 These three Eurasian species have been present for a long time in European forests (Dalman et al.,
63 2010). Several *Heterobasidion* species are able to infect certain tree species like *Larix decidua*, *Pinus*
64 spp., *Picea abies* and *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). Occasionally, co-infections
65 of a tree by two *Heterobasidion* species have even been observed (Gonthier et al., 2003). The fungi's
66 host specificity of these fungi is not as pronounced during saprobic growth is not as manifest as when
67 they infects living trees, and several *Heterobasidion* species are able to colonize the stumps of a given

Feltkode endret

Formatert: Italiensk (Italia)

Kommentert [A1]: I wonder if should be 'they infect'

68 tree species (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). ~~In addition, several studies have also demonstrated~~
69 ~~incomplete species barriers between certain species through the finding of rare hybrids in forests~~
70 ~~(Garbelotto et al., 1996, Sedláč & Tomšovsky, 2014).~~ Besides these indigenous *Heterobasidion* species,
71 the North American *H. irregulare* was introduced into a single site in Europe, probably during World
72 War II (Gonthier et al., 2004, Garbelotto et al., 2013). Since then it has become invasive, spreading to
73 both pine and oak stands along the Tyrrhenian coast in central Italy, often in association with significant
74 mortality in Italian stone pine (*P. pinea*) (Gonthier et al., 2007, Gonthier et al., 2014, Gonthier et al.
75 2012). Furthermore, *H. irregulare* has been documented to hybridize with *H. annosum* s.s. in the
76 central Italian invasion area (Gonthier & Garbelotto, 2011). In 2015, the threat posed by this species
77 led the European Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) to add *H. irregulare* to the A2 list of pests
78 recommended for regulation, needing the development of a robust, rapid and accurate method of
79 detection in the field.

80 To our knowledge, several molecular tools have already been designed to target pathogenic species or
81 groups of species in the *Heterobasidion* genus. Gonthier et al. (2015) designed an ITS rDNA-based
82 primer pair to be used in conventional end point PCR or in a SYBR-Green real-time PCR, that enabled
83 direct detection of all five species of *H. annosum* s.l. from environmental samples but that did not
84 discriminate between the species. Hietala et al. (2003) developed a real-time assay to study the
85 colonization of *H. annosum* s.l. in spruce based on polymorphisms occurring in a gene coding laccase.
86 Their assay targeted indistinctly *H. parviporum* and *H. abietinum*, but this test also amplified DNA from
87 *H. annosum* s.s., *H. araucariae* and *H. insulare*, although with higher Ct values. In addition, PCR-based
88 assays targeting mitochondrial DNA have been developed to discriminate European species *H.*
89 *abietinum*, *H. annosum* s.s. and *H. parviporum* (Gonthier et al., 2001, Gonthier et al., 2003). PCR-based
90 assays based on the nuclear genome have also been developed to distinguish between *H. annosum*
91 *s.s.* and *H. irregulare* (Gonthier et al., 2007). The ITS region was selected by Lamarche et al. (2016) to
92 design a set of real-time PCR assays using hydrolysis probes with different levels of specificity to detect
93 the species of *H. annosum* s.l. occurring in North America, namely *H. irregulare* and *H. occidentale*, and
94 the *H. annosum* s.s. species. They also developed an additional test to amplify DNA from all five species
95 of the *H. annosum* species complex. This tool has been used on some samples, such as airborne
96 particles captured by silicone-made spore traps for monitoring purposes but not on woody samples
97 (Lamarche et al., 2016). Recently, Sillo et al. (2018) focused on *H. irregulare*, which is emerging in
98 Europe, and developed a LAMP assay that targets a specific region identified through comparative
99 genomics, and that is not only suitable for a wide range of sample materials but it is also fit for direct
100 use in field.

Kommenter [A2]: This sentence should be removed because redundant with that starting at line 49

101 Most of the above assays can be used for typing isolates and fruiting bodies, but are either unsuitable
102 for or not validated on environmental (wood) samples. When *Heterobasidion* fruiting bodies are
103 present, which is seldom the case when the trees are still alive (Giordano et al., 2015), macro- and
104 micromorphological features overlap among species and hamper reliable species identification
105 (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). It is therefore necessary to isolate ~~of~~ the fungus from woody tissues or
106 fruiting bodies for identification, which is usually done by combining analyses of microscopic features
107 in pure culture and barcode sequence analysis. However, isolating and culturing *Heterobasidion* from
108 these types of tissue is time-consuming and not always successful, ~~thises they~~ depend~~ing~~ on the
109 freshness of the sample and on the presence of culture-competing and fast-growing saprotrophic
110 species. Therefore, the development of species-specific molecular markers that can be directly applied
111 to environmental samples would be of great help for the rapid characterization of *Heterobasidion*
112 populations. It should be noted that the identification of *Heterobasidion* species is of practical
113 importance. After logging an infested forest, *Heterobasidion* species can persist in stumps for several
114 decades and infect the next generation of trees when their root systems come into contact with the
115 infected roots of a tree from ~~former~~ the previous generation (Piri, 2003). ~~The only measure~~measure
116 strategy deemed ~~considered~~ effective in stopping the accumulation of *Heterobasidion* inoculum in
117 heavily-infested stands consists in changing the tree species, i.e. replacing susceptible species by a
118 resistant one upon regeneration (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). For this and other control strategies
119 to be implemented, *Heterobasidion* spp. must be accurately diagnosed. ~~In addition, there was n~~No
120 single assay allowing species-specific detection of all four *Heterobasidion* species present in Europe
121 has been available until our study. Indeed, we have now developed and validated on a range of sample
122 materials a new set of real-time PCR primers and probes to simultaneously and individually detect all
123 four species of *Heterobasidion* found on the European continent.

124

125

126 **Materials and methods**

127 **Fungal isolates**

128 A total of 108 *Heterobasidion* isolates, representing seven distinct species and two interspecific
129 hybrids, were included in this study (Table 1). The first set of 67 isolates was cultured in ANSES on
130 Potato Dextrose Broth (Difco) for 10 days at 21°C under constant shaking. The pellet of mycelium was
131 then blotted onto sterile Whatman paper to eliminate the broth before being transferred into a 2-mL
132 lysing matrix A microtube (MP Biomedicals) with 400 µL of lysing buffer AP1 (DNeasy Plant mini kit,
133 Qiagen) and ground by shaking using a FastPrep 24 5G orbital shaker (MP Biomedicals) at 6.5 unit for

Kommentert [AH3]: The term lysing buffer is rather rarely used in relation to DNA, perhaps change it to lysis buffer?

Formatert: Ikke Utheving

134 20 sec. The DNA was extracted following the manufacturer's recommendations and eluted in 100 µL
135 of AE buffer (Qiagen). The DNA concentration of each extract was measured using a Nanodrop 2000
136 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), adjusted to 0.5 ng µL⁻¹ ~~and these work solutions were~~ then
137 kept at -20°C until analysis. The identity of the *Heterobasidion* isolates was confirmed by partial *RPB1*
138 gene sequencing according to Chen et al. (2015) in addition to BLAST analysis with reference sequences
139 of each of the four species available in GenBank.

140 A second set of 17 *Heterobasidion* isolates was tested by the University of Torino (Table 1).
141 Approximately 200 mg of mycelium for each isolate, previously grown in 2% malt extract liquid medium
142 at 25°C for seven days, was collected using a vacuum pump, lyophilized overnight and ground using
143 two glass beads 0.4 mm in diameter in a FastPrep™ Cell Disrupter (FP220-Qbiogene). DNA was then
144 extracted from mycelia using the EZNA Stool DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA) according to Gonthier et
145 al. (2015).

146 A third set of 24 *Heterobasidion* isolates was tested by the NIBIO-Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy
147 Research (Table 1). Approximately 20 mg of mycelium for each isolate, previously grown for ten days
148 at 21°C on cellophane-coated 2% malt extract agar in Petri dish conditions, was harvested with a sterile
149 surgical knife and ground manually in an Eppendorf tube with the aid of a plastic pestle and quartz
150 sand. DNA was extracted from mycelia using protocol #8 ("Isolation of DNA from Mouse Tails") of the
151 Easy-DNA Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
152 The DNA concentration of each extract was measured using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer
153 (Thermo Scientific), adjusted to 0.5 ng µL⁻¹, then kept at -20°C until analysis.

154 Nine fungal species that are frequently isolated from conifer roots and the collar were also included
155 (Table 1). DNA was extracted from the mycelia of these isolates using the EZNA Stool DNA Kit (Omega
156 Bio-Tek, USA) according to Gonthier et al. (2015).

157

158 **Environmental samples**

159 Fruiting bodies were collected in different regions of France and Italy. The DNA from French fruiting
160 bodies was extracted using the DNEasy Plant minikit as described above for fungal isolates. The DNA
161 from Italian fruiting bodies was extracted using the EZNA Stool DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA), as
162 described by Sillo et al. (2018).

163 Environmental-like samples, composed of wood chips from three artificially inoculated *Pinus sylvestris*
164 logs, were included in the study: one had been inoculated with *H. annosum* (isolate 49SA), one with
165 *H. irregulare* (isolate CP15) and one had not been inoculated (control). ~~Two biological replicates per~~

166 ~~log were used.~~ Wood chips were obtained by drilling logs ~~after~~ eight weeks post-inoculation (Sillo et
167 al., 2018), two biological replicates were prepared for each treatment.

168

169 Design of primers and hydrolysis probes

170 Several phylogenetic markers useful for discriminating between *Heterobasidion* species were assessed,
171 such as *RPB1*, *GAPDH*, *ITS*, *EFA*, *GST1*, *ATP5* and *mtSSU* (Chen et al., 2015). Other single-copy genes
172 with high potential in fungal phylogenetics were also evaluated, such as *Mcm7* and *Tsr1* (Schmitt et
173 al., 2009) or *GH63* (Pérez-Izquierdo et al., 2017). Orthologous sequences for all the genes used by Chen
174 et al. (2015) and for the four target species were retrieved from GenBank and aligned using Muscle
175 (Edgar, 2004) implemented in Geneious software version R9 (<https://www.geneious.com>). A search
176 for regions rich in species-specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and thus potentially
177 suitable for the design of species-specific primers and probes, was conducted. Sequences of *Mcm7*,
178 *RPB1*, *Tsr1* and *GH63* were generated by PCR using the sequencing primers described in Table 2, for a
179 representative panel of *H. abietinum* (four isolates), *H. annosum* s.s. (five isolates), *H. irregulare* (six
180 isolates) and *H. parviporum* (four isolates), as indicated in Table 1. Partial gene sequences were
181 amplified by PCR in the conditions described by Matheny et al. (2002) for *RPB1*, Schmitt et al. (2009)
182 for *Mcm7* and *Tsr1*, and Pérez-Izquierdo et al. (2017) for *GH63*. The sequences of the partial *Mcm7*
183 and *RPB1* genes generated during this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

Kommentert [AH4]: Consider writing out the gene names when they are first mentioned

184 A series of tentative primers/-probe sets specific to each of the four target species was designed from
185 polymorphic *DNA* regions using PRIMER 3 in Geneious software. The melting temperature, potential
186 formation of secondary structures, and interactions among the oligonucleotide sequences were
187 evaluated *in silico* using the same software and PriDimerCheking software (Shen et al., 2010). A BLASTN
188 analysis of the NCBI database was used to evaluate primer and probe specificity against other closely
189 related genome sequences.

190 Candidate primers and probes were first assessed using DNA extracts from a restricted panel of
191 isolates, i.e. a representative set of four isolates of the four target species from different geographic
192 origins (see Table 1), then retained for further validation using the whole set of DNA extracts available.

193

194 Construction of stabilized plasmid controls and limit of detection

195 To obtain stabilized positive controls for the real-time PCR reactions, the specific amplicon of each of
196 the four target species was inserted in a plasmid using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen) according

Kommentert [IR5]: Ari: actually the *H. parviporum* whose *RPB1* gene was sequenced here are not the same than the ones that yielded unexpected positive results with Habi test.

Ari:OK

197 to the manufacturer's recommendations. For *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum s.s.*, and *H. irregulare*, the
198 amplicon targeting a specific region of *Mcm7* was inserted, whereas for *H. parviporum*, the *RPB1* region
199 was ~~cloned~~ used as DNA insert. The plasmid solutions were purified, and the DNA concentration was
200 measured using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). The
201 number of plasmid copies (pc) of DNA inserts could be determined from the DNA concentration
202 measured and the molecular weight of the amplicon plus the plasmid sequence. For each specific
203 plasmid, the raw plasmid solution was diluted in a ten-fold series, and the limit of detection for each
204 test was determined as the lowest concentration of pc consistently yielding a positive result in a real-
205 time PCR replicated ten times.

206

207 **Verification of test specificity**

208 Specificity, i.e. the ability to generate positive results with DNA from target species and negative results
209 with DNA from non-target species, was assessed with the panel of strains from all *Heterobasidion*
210 species presented in Table 1. Preliminary attempts to use all four primer/probe sets in a single PCR
211 tube (quadruplex PCR) showed unacceptable loss of sensitivity for one or more of the species-specific
212 tests. However, a triplex real-time PCR of *H. irregulare* and *H. parviporum* plus the Fungi-Quant test
213 targeting the 18S rDNA of a broad range of fungal species (Liu et al., 2012), and a duplex real-time PCR
214 of *H. abietinum* and *H. annosum s.s.* could be successfully achieved without compromising the
215 sensitivity of each assay (data not shown). In France, the tests were conducted according to the
216 following conditions using triplicate reactions for each template DNA. For *H. abietinum* ([Cy5] reporting
217 dye) and *H. annosum s.s.* ([FAM] reporting dye), the duplex real-time PCR were performed in a final
218 volume of 20 μL using the Core kit No Rox (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) and containing 1 \times
219 Polymerase buffer, 5 mM MgCl_2 , 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 μM of each forward and reverse primer,
220 0.1 μM of probe, 0.025 U/ μL of HotGold Star DNA polymerase (Eurogentec), 2 μL of template DNA (0.5
221 $\text{ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$), and molecular-grade water to 20 μL . For *H. parviporum* ([ROX] reporting dye) and *H. irregulare*
222 ([JOE] reporting dye), the test included the FungiQuant primers/probe combination to be used as a
223 DNA quality control ([FAM] reporting dye). The triplex real-time reactions were performed as
224 described above for duplex, with the addition of the FungiQuant F/-R/-Prb primers/probe at the same
225 concentration as for the target *Heterobasidion* species. Primer and probe characteristics are indicated
226 in Table 2.

227 Real-time PCR was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min
228 followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, and annealing/elongation at 65°C for 55 s. In

Kommenter [FS6]: "Cloned" and "inserted" can be confused

229 each reaction, the cycle threshold (Ct) value was determined using Rotor-Gene software version
230 1.7.75, and the threshold line was fixed manually at 0.02.

231 In Italy, a singleplex real-time PCR assay was performed. Primers and probes were synthesized by
232 Eurofins Genomics. All the probes were labeled with [FAM] and [BHQ1]. The reaction mixture for real-
233 time PCR was as described above in France except that another brand of master mix was used (GoTaq®
234 Probe qPCR Master Mix, Promega). The final concentration of species-specific primers and probes was
235 0.3 μM and 0.1 μM, respectively, with the exception of the primers and probe for the FungiQuant
236 assay, for which the concentration was 2 μM and 0.2 μM respectively. Real-time amplifications were
237 carried out in a Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using the CFX
238 manager software (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with the same PCR cycling parameters described above. Ct
239 values are the mean of two technical replicates.

240 In Norway, all the real-time PCR assays were conducted in singleplex conditions with primers and
241 probes synthesized by Eurogentec. Probes were labeled with reporter dyes [FAM] (*H. annosum*,
242 FungiQuant), [TAMRA] (*H. parviporum*, *H. irregulare*) or [ROX] (*H. abietinum*) and appropriate
243 quenchers, [BHQ1] or [BHQ2]. The reaction mixture for real-time PCR was as described above in France
244 except that Takyon Low Rox Probe MasterMix dTTP Blue (Eurogentec) was used for assays with FAM-
245 or TAMRA-labeled probes and the qPCR Core kit No ROX (Eurogentec) was used for the ROX labeled
246 assay. Real-time amplifications were carried out in an Applied Biosystems ViiA 7 system (ThermoFisher)
247 with standard₁ instead of fast₂ cycling and the same PCR cycling parameters as described above. Two
248 technical replicates were prepared for each sample.

249

250 **Assessment of performance criteria.**

251 ANSES assessed the performance criteria for the real-time PCR assays in duplex for *H. abietinum*/*H.*
252 *annosum* s.s. and in triplex for *H. irregulare*/*H. parviporum*/FungiQuant.

253 The analytical sensitivity was assessed using a 10-fold dilution series of the plasmid DNA (pDNA)
254 positive controls diluted in 1× Tris-EDTA. The dilution series ranged from 112 10⁶ to 112 pc mL⁻¹, 112
255 10⁶ to 112 pc mL⁻¹, 88 10⁶ to 88 pc mL⁻¹, and 82 10⁶ to 82 pc mL⁻¹ for *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum* s.s., *H.*
256 *irregulare*, and *H. parviporum*, respectively. Each target was tested alone in the TE matrix. The limit of
257 detection (LOD) was determined as the minimal quantity of target DNA that could be consistently
258 amplified by PCR or real-time PCR. For each real-time PCR assay, a standard curve was constructed and
259 the corresponding amplification efficiency was computed. The limit of detection was also determined
260 with ten-fold serial dilutions starting from 0.5 ng μL⁻¹ genomic DNA extracts from strains 1987-1661/4

261 (*H. parviporum*), FOM0132 (*H. irregulare*), LSVM975 (*H. abietinum*), and 1960/56/4 (*H. annosum s.s.*).
262 The genome size of *H. annosum s.s.* and *H. irregulare* was estimated to be approximately 31 and 33
263 Mb respectively (Choi et al., 2017) and 33 Mb on average for *H. parviporum* (Zeng et al., 2018), whereas
264 the genome size of *H. abietinum* remains to be determined. Since one pg of DNA corresponds to 965
265 Mpb (Bennett & Smith, 1976), it is therefore possible to estimate the quantity of DNA in one nucleus
266 of *H. annosum s.s.* (0.0321 pg), *H. irregulare*, and *H. parviporum* (0.0341 pg).

267 The repeatability of each species-specific real-time PCR assay was evaluated with 10 replicates of
268 different pDNA concentrations set at 10× LOD and 100× LOD, as well as a 1 ng mL⁻¹ solution of the
269 target species gDNA, all diluted in TE. The reproducibility was tested with one replicate of the same
270 DNA concentrations during an individual run, plus three different runs over 2 weeks by three different
271 operators and using two Rotor-Gene thermal cyclers. For each combination, the intra- and interassay
272 coefficient of variation (CV) was computed.

273 To examine the robustness of the real-time PCR assay, several reaction parameters were deliberately
274 modified and the assay was carried out using 10 replicates of different pDNA concentrations close to
275 the limit of detection, i.e. 10× LOD and 100× LOD, as well as with 10 replicates of 1 ng mL⁻¹ target
276 species gDNA diluted in TE. To verify the effect on specificity, gDNA from the different target species
277 was also included for testing. The robustness of the real-time PCR assay was challenged with a ±10%
278 variation in the reaction volume or DNA template volume, and slight variations in the hybridization
279 temperature (±2°C).

280 Statistical analyses were performed with R version 3.5.2. The normal distribution of the Ct values was
281 tested by the Shapiro-Wilks normality test. Data were subjected to an ANOVA and pairwise differences
282 between the mean Ct values were compared using Tukey's honestly significant difference test.
283 Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.01.

284

285

286 **Results**

287 **Design of species-specific oligonucleotides**

288 Eleven phylogenetic markers were assessed for their interspecific polymorphisms, and alignments
289 were scrutinized for the design of species-specific primers and probe. Based on *in silico* predictions
290 and analyses, regions *Tsr1*, *GH63*, *RPB2*, *GST1* and *GAPDH* were promising, but wet lab analyses using
291 the restricted set of isolates showed either cross-amplification of non-target DNA or non-specific PCR
292 products, and these regions were therefore discarded. Partial sequences of the *Mcm7* gene and of the

293 *RPB1* gene showed numerous polymorphic regions for all four target species. For *RPB1* and *Mcm7*, the
294 levels of intraspecific similarity observed were above 98.2% and 98.5 %, respectively, while the levels
295 of interspecific similarity ranged from 95.7 to 98.4% and from 94.4 to 97.1%, respectively
296 (Supplementary Table 1).

297 In addition, some of the single nucleotide polymorphisms observed were concentrated in certain
298 regions of these genes making them of particular interest for the design of species-specific primers and
299 probes. The design of primers and probes was manually adjusted to amplify short fragments (ca 150-
300 300 pb) and the melting temperature, potential secondary structures, and interhybridization within
301 and between all primers and probes were evaluated *in silico* using Geneious software. Finally, regions
302 within *Mcm7* were chosen to design three primers/probe combinations specific to *H. abietinum*, *H.*
303 *annosum* s.s. and *H. irregulare*, whereas a region within the *RPB1* gene was retained to design a
304 primers/probe set specific to *H. parviporum* (Table 2). According to the sequencing results, the DNA
305 target regions for each primer and probe were 100% conserved within the target species.

306

307 **Verification of assay specificity**

308 The assessment of specificity with a wide panel of DNA from target and non-target species yielded
309 similar results in real-time PCR assays run in multiplex format or when performed individually by the
310 University of Torino and NIBIO (Table 1).

311 The tests targeting *H. annosum* s.s., *H. irregulare* and *H. parviporum* yielded positive results with DNA
312 from all the target strains included in the study (33, 14, and 29 strains tested, respectively), thus
313 supporting their inclusivity and specificity. A double positive signal was also obtained with the two
314 hybrid *H. annosum* s.s. × *H. irregulare* strains from Italy, as expected (Table 1). These three assays
315 neither cross-reacted with DNA from *Heterobasidion occidentale*, *H. araucariae* or *H. insulare*, nor with
316 the nine other fungal species frequently associated with conifers (Table 1).

317 The test targeting *H. abietinum* successfully amplified DNA from the 24 target strains, regardless of
318 origin (Table 1). For this test, negative results were obtained with DNA from all but one of the non-
319 target *Heterobasidion* species. However, DNA from seven *H. parviporum* strains, i.e. isolates P162r and
320 2004-714 from Italy; 2004-676 from Montenegro; and 1998-1616/1, 1998-1622/2, 2004-676, and
321 2007/166/1 from Norway yielded unexpected positive results with the *H. abietinum* assay. These
322 results were not anticipated based on the initial *Mcm7* sequencing since. Although the *H. parviporum*
323 *Mcm7* sequence displayed two, three to five, and two SNPs in the regions targeted by the *H. abietinum*
324 specific forward primer (Habi For 4) , reverse primer (Habi Rev 14), and probe (Habi P 7), respectively,

Kommentert [AH7]: As far as I understand, it was *H. parviporum* test where *H. occidentale* scored positive but with a high Ct value. Note that *H. occidentale* was, prior to taxonomic revision of the genus, referred to as the S type or *H. parviporum*. This positive score is possibly analogous to some *H. parviporum* strains being detected by the *H. abietinum* set, this reflecting the close phylogenetic relation of the species and short time since speciation. Consider adding a note about this to the discussion section.

325 - yet the cross-detection results were consistent and confirmed after repetitions. Following these
326 results, genomic DNA was extracted from isolates P162r and 1998-1616/1, and the *RPB1* and *Mcm7*
327 genes were sequenced using the primers sets described in Table 2. Sequencing results showed that
328 the two isolates harbored an *RPB1* sequence 100% consistent with *H. parviporum*, whereas the *Mcm7*
329 sequence showed double peaks at the interspecific polymorphic sites, suggesting the presence of two
330 different alleles related to *H. parviporum* and *H. abietinum*.

331 Lastly, a very late Ct value (39.33) was recorded with DNA from *H. occidentale* isolate II1A, despite the
332 occurrence of five mismatches between *H. parviporum* specific primers and probe, and the *H.*
333 *occidentale RPB1* sequence (data not shown).

334

335 Performance values

336 The real-time PCR tests successfully yielded 100% repeatable positive results with as little as 112, 112,
337 88 and 82 plasmid copies of target DNA per reaction for *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum s.s.*, *H. irregulare*,
338 and *H. parviporum*, respectively. Therefore, these DNA concentrations were considered as the LOD for
339 each test and target species, and were subsequently used as benchmarks for the experiments
340 addressing repeatability, reproducibility, and robustness of the real-time assay. The R^2 computed
341 showed that all Ct values followed a linear regression when plotted against the target concentration.
342 The R^2 values for *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum s.s.*, *H. irregulare*, and *H. parviporum* were all 0.99, while
343 the PCR efficiency (% E) calculated from the slope ranged between 94.0 and 100.1%. The intra-assay
344 and interassay CVs indicated that the duplex (*H. annosum s.s.* and *H. abietinum*) and triplex (*H.*
345 *irregulare*, *H. parviporum*, and FungiQuant) real-time PCRs were highly repeatable and reproducible
346 with a mean Ct value coefficient of variation always below 3.5% (Table 3). The limit of detection with
347 genomic DNA from target species was estimated at 1, 10, 1, and 1 pg per PCR tube for *H. abietinum*,
348 *H. annosum s.s.*, *H. irregulare*, and *H. parviporum* respectively. According to the genome size of the
349 latter three latter fungi, the limit of detection corresponds to 311 (*H. annosum s.s.*), 29 (*H. irregulare*),
350 and 29 (*H. parviporum*) nuclei per PCR tube. Unfortunately, the limit of detection could not be
351 computed for *H. abietinum*, since the genome size of this species remains unknown.

352 The robustness of all four real-time tests was supported by the little variation in mean Ct values
353 observed with the modified volume and temperature settings. For each of the four targets, the mean
354 Ct values were sometimes significantly affected by a deliberate $\pm 10\%$ variation in the reaction volume
355 or the template DNA volumes, or by a $\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ of the hybridization temperature (Supplementary Table 2).
356 However, under our experimental conditions, artificially modifying the PCR parameters never
357 increased the Ct values by more than 3.5 cycles, while the maximum mean Ct values that were reached

358 by modifying the real-time PCR parameters always stayed below 40. These results meant that each
359 pathogen would still be detected under these disturbed conditions, even at the lowest concentration
360 levels. In addition, for each primer/probe combination, no amplification was observed with DNA from
361 the other three non-target *Heterobasidion* species tested, regardless of the modified conditions. No
362 cross-reactions were observed between any of the four target species primer-probe combinations
363 (data not shown) even under the conditions potentially decreasing specificity (10% reduction in
364 reaction volume, 10% increase in template DNA volume, or -2°C decrease in hybridization
365 temperature).

366 Lastly, when testing 0.5 ng L⁻¹ genomic DNA extracts for the specificity assays (Table 1), variation in Ct
367 values were observed within each species. Although it was not possible to assess the differences
368 statistically because the sample size was too small and **uneven**, mean Ct values differed between
369 laboratories: the delay was up to 7.5 cycles for *H. abietinum*, 7.7 cycles for *H. annosum* s.s., 10.5 cycles
370 for *H. irregulare*, and 11.2 cycles for *H. parviporum* (data not shown). This delay in Ct value was **even**
371 **higher** with the FungiQuant assay, with mean Ct values varying by up to 14.3 cycles between
372 laboratories, thus suggesting a combined effect of the DNA extraction procedure, PCR master mix
373 brand or real-time PCR platform on assay sensitivity.

374

375 **Detection / identification of *Heterobasidion* species from fruiting bodies and from wood**

376 It was possible to detect and identify the *Heterobasidion* species with all the types of material tested
377 (Table 4). The expected species were successfully detected by real-time PCR for all four wood logs
378 artificially inoculated with either *H. irregulare* (two) or *H. annosum* s.s. (two). In addition, *H. irregulare*
379 and *H. annosum* s.s. were successfully detected in respectively three and two wood chip samples taken
380 from symptomatic trees in Italy. A total of 36 fruiting bodies collected in French and Italian pine stands
381 were also tested, and it was possible to identify the presence of either *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum* s.s.
382 or *H. irregulare* for all of them. *H. abietinum* was identified from five fruiting bodies from France (four
383 sampled on *P. abies* and one on *Pseudotsuga menzeii*), whereas *H. annosum* s.s. was identified from
384 23 fruiting bodies from France (all of them except one sampled on *P. abies*). The eight fruiting bodies
385 from Italy that were previously assigned to *H. irregulare* by the LAMP test of Sillo et al. (2018) were
386 confirmed by the real-time PCR developed in our study.

387

388

389

Kommentert [A8]: Do you mean 'uneven' ?

Kommentert [FS9]: Copy number ITS?

390 **Discussion**

391 To the best of our knowledge, no test was previously available to specifically and individually detect
392 the four *Heterobasidion* species attacking conifers in Europe, including the invasive *H. irregulare*. In
393 this work, ten phylogenetic markers were screened to find polymorphisms that were specific to each
394 of the four species of *Heterobasidion*. Two genes were finally found to be suitable for the design of
395 primers and probes to be used in real-time PCR, targeting DNA from *H. annosum* s.s., *H. irregulare*, and
396 *H. abietinum* (*Mcm7*) on the one hand, and from *H. parviporum* (*RPB1*) on the other hand. The tests
397 worked well either in singleplex or multiplex reactions. They were validated on a variety of biological
398 material: pure fungal cultures, wood chips from colonized trees and fruiting bodies. The *RPB1* gene
399 was used by Chen et al. (2015) as a nuclear phylogenetic marker for *Heterobasidion*. Although the
400 present study confirmed its potential for species identification, it only enabled the successful design
401 of oligonucleotides specific to *H. parviporum*. The design of real-time PCR primers and probes specific
402 to *H. annosum* s.s., *H. irregulare*, and *H. abietinum* was possible using *Mcm7*, another single-copy
403 nuclear gene that was not previously used to study *Heterobasidion*. However, *Mcm7*, referred to
404 previously as *MS456*, had already proved to be a good discriminator for fungal phylogeny (Aguileta et
405 al., 2008). Schmitt et al. (2009) confirmed the potential of this *Mcm7* gene for designing PCR or real-
406 time PCR primers for a wide range of fungi, especially in Ascomycota and it was recently used to design
407 molecular tools targeting closely related plant pathogenic species (Ahmed et al., 2018). Our study
408 confirmed the great potential of this gene for the discrimination of species complexes from the phylum
409 Basidiomycota. This gene also features clusters of single-nucleotide polymorphisms that are required
410 for the design of specific primers and probes used in real-time PCR.

411 The two regions targeted by the multiplex real-time PCR assay developed here are present as single
412 copy genes in the *Heterobasidion* genome. Standard curve interpolation may be used to quantify the
413 DNA pools of each *Heterobasidion* species, which serve as a proxy for their biomass in environmental
414 samples. The analysis of a DNA extract from a wood sample, for instance, would provide quantitative
415 data and help us to study the competition between the different species when they co-occur on a
416 similar substrate. However, we sometimes noticed a large variation between mean Ct values with DNA
417 templates obtained from pure fungal cultures and tested at a standardized DNA concentration by the
418 different laboratories involved in this work. This variation may be due to several factors, such as a non-
419 standardized master mix chemistry, or differences in the real-time PCR platform and associated
420 analysis software influencing assay sensitivity (Grosdidier et al., 2017). Other contributing factors could
421 be the imprecise quantification of total DNA in the template solution, or a variation in the quality of
422 DNA obtained with different DNA extraction kits (Bustin & Huggett, 2017, Ebentier et al., 2013). The
423 quantitative values should therefore only be used and compared all other things being equal, which

424 requires using an identical analysis protocol from DNA extraction to real-time PCR data analysis,
425 coupled with the application of standard curves established by the same analytical chemistry and
426 equipment.

427 The assays developed in this study can also be useful for detection of heterokaryotic hybrids between
428 different species, since they can simultaneously detect DNA from different species of *H. annosum*
429 *sensu lato*. ~~It is worth noting that some *Heterobasidion* species have retained high levels of~~
430 ~~interfertility, and hybridization has been documented in the literature (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013,~~
431 ~~Sedlák & Tomšovský, 2014).~~ As expected therefore, DNA from the two artificial *H. annosum* s.s. × *H.*
432 *irregulare* hybrids generated by Giordano et al. (2018) yielded positive results with both the *H.*
433 *annosum* s.s. and *H. irregulare* real-time PCR assays. Interestingly, in our analyses, a few *H. parviporum*
434 isolates previously identified as ~~*H. parviporum*~~ pure genotypes of this species tested positive in both
435 *H. parviporum* and *H. abietinum* real-time PCR assays. The *RPB1* sequence obtained from two of these
436 isolates confirmed that they shared 100% identity with a *H. parviporum RPB1*. Still, their genome also
437 harbors at least a partial *H. abietinum RPB1* and *Mcm7* sequence, according to the results of our tests
438 and sequencing. Considering that isolate P162r was isolated from wood disks exposed in the forest in
439 Charvensod (north western Italy) (Gonthier et al., 2001), where spores of *H. abietinum* may also be
440 present, we could have been dealing with a hybrid heterokaryotic hybrid isolate harboring nuclei from
441 both species. The interfertility rate between strains from sympatric populations of *H. parviporum* and
442 *H. abietinum* actually ranges between 0.20-0.25 in this part of Europe (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013)
443 but it has been proved that under laboratory conditions, the interfertility between *H. parviporum* and
444 *H. abietinum* can be as high as 0.75 when pairing strains from allopatric populations (Korhonen et al.,
445 1997). Therefore, some of the *H. parviporum* isolates showing signs of *H. abietinum* DNA_r may either
446 be heterokaryotic isolates or offspring of interspecific crosses with traces of introgression. As a little
447 introgression may naturally occur within European *Heterobasidion* populations, our new molecular
448 tools ~~should could~~ help to clearly identify first-generation hybrids and accurately quantify their
449 frequency within populations. Future studies should therefore clarify the frequency of hybridization
450 among *Heterobasidion* species and shed some light on their viability in natural populations, with the
451 help of other nuclear markers, such as the ones used for recently described *H. occidentale* × *H.*
452 *irregulare* hybrids (Sillo et al., 2019). However, the observation of double positive signals following the
453 *H. abietinum* and *H. parviporum* real-time PCR assays with some DNA from some of our *H. parviporum*
454 pure cultures suggests caution, and we recommend running all four species-specific assays for the
455 analysis of environmental samples. In practice, double signals with DNA extracts from fruiting body or
456 wood samples collected in the field, should be interpreted with care, although such cases were never
457 encountered during our proof-of-concept experiments.

Kommentert [A10]: I would remove that sentence because it sounds redundant with the introduction.

458 These new species-specific assays targeting each of the four European *Heterobasidion* species will have
459 relevant practical applications, because they may be used to discriminate between fungal pathogens
460 that are virtually indistinguishable from a macro- and micro-morphological perspective in the field
461 (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). Our multiplex tool may therefore have applications in forest
462 management when considering change in tree species for stands heavily infested by *Heterobasidion*.
463 These new species-specific assays may also be useful for studying the ecology of the different
464 *Heterobasidion* species in sympatric areas, without needing any prior and sometimes uncertain
465 isolation of the fungi. All the fruiting bodies or naturally infected wood chips from infected trees ~~in this~~
466 ~~study~~ were successfully analysed and the causal species identified in this study, showing that the
467 sensitivity of the assays was sufficient for working with real-life samples. The assays have been
468 successfully used by ANSES over the last few months and have been shown to work with environmental
469 samples of poor quality ~~and~~ unsuitable for morphological identification. As a ~~proof-of-~~concept, the
470 multiplex real-time PCR assays are currently being used in France as part of a project aiming to obtain
471 a clear and reliable picture of the current situation by mapping the natural distribution of each species
472 in mainland France. We detected in this first sampling mostly *H. annosum* s.s. on *P. abies*, which is not
473 usually the main host for this *Heterobasidion* species, *P. abies* being more often infected by *H.*
474 *parviporum* (Gonthier et al., 2001, Prieto-Recio et al., 2012). We also recently identified fruiting bodies
475 of *H. abietinum* on *Betula pendula*, surrounded by severely infected Douglas firs, and probably
476 reflecting a saprobic association (J. Hubert, ANSES, unpublished). This preliminary study illustrated the
477 potential of the molecular tools developed here for screening the host range of each *Heterobasidion*
478 species in forests formed by different tree species. At the distribution margin, or under atypical or
479 disturbed environments, fungal pathogens may colonize new hosts, and extend their ecological niches
480 (Ennos, 2014, Fodor, 2011). Central France - where most of the *Heterobasidion* fruiting bodies were
481 sampled during our proof-of-concept study - is an interesting area where a change of forest
482 composition has occurred as a result of, ~~with the~~ intensive plantation of conifer species during the
483 1950s (Koerner et al., 2000). This human impact on forest ecosystem may explain the results observed
484 here. Among the native European species, *H. annosum* s.s. is the most polyphagous and the most
485 aggressive on ~~pinus~~ *Pinus*, *Pinus xx* having been one of the dominant conifer species in this French area
486 before these massive plantations. Results obtained from environmental samples in Italy also showed
487 the potential for describing the frequency of each species within stands without depending on
488 fructification, which is less frequent during the first stages after infection and while the trees are still
489 alive. Finally, with respect to the emerging species *H. irregulare*, our test will be of great benefit for
490 quickly ~~identification of~~ foci of infection, and ~~for~~ adoption of eradication and containment measures
491 to prevent the further spread of this pathogen that is currently recommended for regulation in Europe.

Kommentert [AH11]: Can you provide here the name/s of pine species historically present in this area

492

493

494 **Acknowledgments**

495 The authors would like to thank Dr E. Vainio (LUKE, Finland) for providing the *Heterobasidion* strains
496 from Finland, [Dr M. Garbelotto \(UC Berkeley\)](#) and [Dr W. Otrosina](#) for providing DNA strains from North
497 America, and Darko Dubak (Biotechnical Faculty, University of Montenegro) for collecting strains from
498 Montenegro. [Some DNA samples from North America were from Dr M. Garbelotto and Dr W. Otrosina,](#)
499 [who are kindly acknowledged. They Authors](#) are also grateful to Dr J. Aguayo (ANSES) for critical review
500 of the manuscript, and to M. Pagniez (ANSES) for technical assistance.

501 This work was funded by a grant from the French Ministry of Agriculture, DGAL-Département de la
502 Santé des Forêts (2018-2019). ANSES Plant Health Laboratory is supported by a grant overseen by the
503 French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the Investissements d’Avenir programme (ANR-11-
504 LABX-0002-01, Laboratory of Excellence ARBRE). This work was also supported by the European
505 Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 634179
506 (EMPHASIS). [The participation of Ari M. Hietala and Halvor Solheim was supported by the Research](#)
507 [Council of Norway through the NFR project #281140 - Precision forestry.](#)

508

509

510 **References**

- 511 Aguilera G, Marthey S, Chiapello H, *et al.*, 2008. Assessing the Performance of Single-Copy Genes for
512 Recovering Robust Phylogenies. *Syst Biol* **57**, 613-27.
- 513 Ahmed Y, Hubert J, Fourrier-Jeandel C, Dewdney MM, Aguayo J, Iosifidis R, 2018. A Set of Conventional
514 and Multiplex Real-Time PCR Assays for Direct Detection of *Elsinoë fawcettii*, *E. australis*, and
515 *Pseudocercospora angolensis* in Citrus Fruits. *Plant Disease*, PDIS-05-18-0798-RE.
- 516 Bennett M, Smith JB, 1976. Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
517 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **274**, 479-84.
- 518 Boutigny A-L, Guinet C, Vialle A, *et al.*, 2013. Optimization of a real-time PCR assay for the detection of
519 the quarantine pathogen *Melampsora medusae* f. sp. *deltoidea*. *Fungal Biology* **117**, 389-98.
- 520 Bustin S, Huggett J, 2017. qPCR primer design revisited. *Biomolecular Detection and Quantification* **14**,
521 19-28.
- 522 Chen J-J, Cui B-K, Zhou L-W, Korhonen K, Dai Y-C, 2015. Phylogeny, divergence time estimation, and
523 biogeography of the genus *Heterobasidion* (Basidiomycota, Russulales). *Fungal Diversity* **71**, 185-200.
- 524 Choi J, Lee G-W, Kim K-T, *et al.*, 2017. Comparative analysis of genome sequences of the conifer tree
525 pathogen, *Heterobasidion annosum* s.s. *Genomics Data* **14**, 106-13.
- 526 Dalman K, Olson Å, Stenlid J, 2010. Evolutionary history of the conifer root rot fungus *Heterobasidion*
527 *annosum* sensu lato. *Molecular Ecology* **19**, 4979-93.
- 528 Desprez-Loustau M-L, Massot M, Toigo M, *et al.*, 2018. From leaf to continent: The multi-scale
529 distribution of an invasive cryptic pathogen complex on oak. *Fungal Ecology* **36**, 39-50.

530 Ebentier DL, Hanley KT, Cao Y, *et al.*, 2013. Evaluation of the repeatability and reproducibility of a suite
531 of qPCR-based microbial source tracking methods. *Water Research* **47**, 6839-48.

532 Edgar RC, 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucl.*
533 *Acids Res.* **32**, 1792-7.

534 Ennos RA, 2014. Resilience of forests to pathogens: an evolutionary ecology perspective. *Forestry: An*
535 *International Journal of Forest Research* **88**, 41-52.

536 Fitt BD, Huang YJ, Van Den Bosch F, West JS, 2006. Coexistence of related pathogen species on arable
537 crops in space and time. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **44**, 163-82.

538 Fodor E, 2011. *Ecological niche of plant pathogens.*

539 Garbelotto M, Gonthier P, 2013. Biology, Epidemiology, and Control of Heterobasidion Species
540 Worldwide. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **51**, 39-59.

541 Garbelotto M, Guglielmo F, Mascheretti S, Croucher PJP, Gonthier P, 2013. Population genetic analyses
542 provide insights on the introduction pathway and spread patterns of the North American forest
543 pathogen Heterobasidion irregulare in Italy. *Molecular Ecology* **22**, 4855-69.

544 Garbelotto M, Popenuck T, Ratcliff A, Cobb FW, Bruns TD, 1996. Host selection against SP hybrids of
545 Heterobasidion annosum: implications for speciation. *Phytopathology* **86**, S28-S9.

546 Giordano L, Guglielmo F, Sillo F, Gonthier P, 2015. Comparing visual inspection of trees and molecular
547 analysis of internal wood tissues for the diagnosis of wood decay fungi. *Forestry: An International*
548 *Journal of Forest Research* **88**, 465-70.

549 Giordano L, Sillo F, Garbelotto M, Gonthier P, 2018. Mitonuclear interactions may contribute to fitness
550 of fungal hybrids. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 1706.

551 Gonthier P, 2019. Frequency of stump infections by Heterobasidion annosum s.l. and benefits from
552 urea treatments vary with tree species and season in European Alpine forests. *Forest Ecology and*
553 *Management* **434**, 76-86.

554 Gonthier P, Anselmi N, Capretti P, *et al.*, 2014. An integrated approach to control the introduced forest
555 pathogen Heterobasidion irregulare in Europe. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*
556 **87**, 471-81.

557 Gonthier P, Garbelotto M, 2011. Amplified fragment length polymorphism and sequence analyses
558 reveal massive gene introgression from the European fungal pathogen Heterobasidion annosum into
559 its introduced congener H. irregulare. *Molecular Ecology* **20**, 2756-70.

560 Gonthier P, Garbelotto M, Nicolotti G, 2003. Swiss stone pine trees and spruce stumps represent an
561 important habitat for Heterobasidion spp. in subalpine forests. *Forest Pathology* **33**, 191-203.

562 Gonthier P, Garbelotto M, Varese GC, Nicolotti G, 2001. Relative abundance and potential dispersal
563 range of intersterility groups of Heterobasidion annosum in pure and mixed forests. *Can. J. Bot.* **79**,
564 1057-65.

565 Gonthier P, Guglielmo F, Sillo F, Giordano L, Garbelotto M, 2015. A molecular diagnostic assay for the
566 detection and identification of wood decay fungi of conifers. *Forest Pathology* **45**, 89-101.

567 [Gonthier P, Leone G, Giordano L, Garbelotto M, 2012. The American forest pathogen Heterobasidion](#)
568 [irregulare colonizes unexpected habitats after its introduction in Italy. Ecological Applications 22, 2135-](#)
569 [2143.](#)

570 Gonthier P, Nicolotti G, Linzer R, Guglielmo F, Garbelotto M, 2007. Invasion of European pine stands
571 by a North American forest pathogen and its hybridization with a native interfertile taxon. *Molecular*
572 *Ecology* **16**, 1389-400.

573 Gonthier P, Warner R, Nicolotti G, Mazzaglia A, Garbelotto MM, 2004. Pathogen introduction as a
574 collateral effect of military activity. *Mycological Research* **108**, 468-70.

575 Grosdidier M, Aguayo J, Marçais B, Ios R, 2017. Detection of plant pathogens using real-time PCR:
576 how reliable are late Ct values? *Plant Pathology* **66**, 359-67.

577 Hawksworth DL, Lücking R, 2017. Fungal Diversity Revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 Million Species. In: Heitman J
578 HB, Crous P, Stukenbrock E, James T, Gow N. , ed. *The Fungal Kingdom*. Washington, DC: American
579 Society of Microbiology, 79-95.

580 Hietala AM, Eikenes M, Kvaalen H, Solheim H, Fossdal CG, 2003. Multiplex Real-Time PCR for
581 Monitoring *Heterobasidion annosum* Colonization in Norway Spruce Clones That Differ in Disease
582 Resistance. *Applied And Environmental Microbiology* **69**, 4413-20.

583 Koerner W, Cinotti B, Jussy J-H, Benoît M, 2000. Évolution des surfaces boisées en France depuis le
584 début du XIXe siècle:identification et localisation des boisements des territoires agricoles abandonnés.
585 *Revue Forestière Française* **LII**, 249-69.

586 Korhonen K, Capretti P, Karjalainen R, Stenlid J, 1998. Distribution of *Heterobasidion annosum*
587 intersterility groups in Europe. In: S. Woodward JS, R. Karjalainen, and A. Hüttermann, ed.
588 *Heterobasidion annosum, biology, ecology, impact and control*. Oxon, U.K. : CAB International, 93–104.

589 Korhonen K, Fedorov NI, Porta NL, Kovbasa NP, 1997. *Abies sibirica* in the Ural region is attacked by
590 the S type of *Heterobasidion annosum*. *European Journal of Forest Pathology* **27**, 273-81.

591 Lamarche J, Potvin A, Stewart D, *et al.*, 2016. Real-time PCR assays for the detection of *Heterobasidion*
592 *irregulare*, *H. occidentale*, *H. annosum sensu stricto* and the *Heterobasidion annosum* complex. *Forest*
593 *Pathology*, n/a-n/a.

594 Lind M, Stenlid J, Olson Å, 2014. Chapter Twelve - *Heterobasidion annosum* s.l. Genomics. In: Martin
595 FM, ed. *Advances in Botanical Research*. Academic Press, 371-96. (70.)

596 Liu CM, Kachur S, Dwan MG, *et al.*, 2012. FungiQuant: A broad-coverage fungal quantitative real-time
597 PCR assay. *BMC Microbiology* **12**, 255.

598 Matheny PB, Liu YJ, Ammirati JF, Hall BD, 2002. Using RPB1 sequences to improve phylogenetic
599 inference among mushrooms (Inocybe, Agaricales). *American Journal of Botany* **89**, 688-98.

600 Pérez-Izquierdo L, Morin E, Maurice JP, Martin F, Rincón A, Buée M, 2017. A new promising
601 phylogenetic marker to study the diversity of fungal communities: The Glycoside Hydrolase 63 gene.
602 *Molecular Ecology Resources* **17**, e1-e11.

603 Piri T, 2003. Early development of root rot in young Norway spruce planted on sites infected by
604 *Heterobasidion* in southern Finland. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **33**, 604-11.

605 Prieto-Recio C, Romeralo C, Bezos D, *et al.*, 2012. First Report of *Heterobasidion annosum* on *Pinus*
606 *pinaster* in Spain. *Plant Disease* **96**, 770-.

607 Schena L, Nigro F, Ippolito A, 2002. Identification and detection of *Rosellinia necatrix* by conventional
608 and real-time Scorpion-PCR. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **108**, 355-66.

609 Schmitt I, Crespo A, Divakar PK, *et al.*, 2009. New primers for promising single-copy genes in fungal
610 phylogenetics and systematics. *Persoonia - Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution of Fungi* **23**, 35-40.

611 Sedlák P, Tomšovský M, 2014. Species distribution, host affinity and genetic variability of
612 *Heterobasidion annosum sensu lato* in the Czech Republic. *Forest Pathology* **44**, 310-9.

613 Shen Z, Qu W, Wang W, *et al.*, 2010. MPprimer: a program for reliable multiplex PCR primer design.
614 *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 143.

615 Sillo F, Giordano L, Gonthier P, 2018. Fast and specific detection of the invasive forest pathogen
616 *Heterobasidion irregulare* through a Loop-mediated isothermal AMplification (LAMP) assay. *Forest*
617 *Pathology* **48**, e12396.

618 Sillo F, Gonthier P, Lockman B, Kasuga T, Garbelotto M, 2019. Molecular analyses identify
619 hybridization-mediated nuclear evolution in newly discovered fungal hybrids. *Ecology and Evolution* **0**.

620 Zeng Z, Sun H, Vainio EJ, *et al.*, 2018. Intraspecific comparative genomics of isolates of the Norway
621 spruce pathogen (*Heterobasidion parviporum*) and identification of its potential virulence factors. *BMC*
622 *Genomics* **19**, 220.

623

Table 1: Characteristics of the fungal strains used in the study and results of the real-time PCR tests carried out on the DNA extracts (Habi, *H. abietinum*; Hann, *H. annosum* s.s.; Hirr, *H. irregulare*; Hpar, *H. parviporum*, FQ, FungiQuant). For each strain, a standardized 0.5 ng μL^{-1} DNA concentration was used as a template for all experiments.

Species	Code	Origin	Real-time PCR test (mean Ct value \pm SD)					GenBank accession		
			Habi	Hann	Hirr	Hpar	FQ	RPB1	Mcm7	
<i>Heterobasidion abietinum</i>	16-562/3 ^{Fa}	-	22,33 \pm 0,27	>40	>40	>40	>40	15,16 \pm 0,10		
	2002-146 ^{ad}	Austria	22,17 \pm 0,03	>40	>40	>40	>40	15,73 \pm 0,05	MK654910	MK729093
	17/058 ^a	France ^{France}	22,02 \pm 0,36	>40	>40	>40	>40	14,74 \pm 0,32	MK654910	
	17/059 ^a	France ^{France}	22,87 \pm 0,66	>40	>40	>40	>40	15,72 \pm 0,23	MK654910	
	Cou067 ^a	France ^{France}	21,51 \pm 0,32	>40	>40	>40	>40	13,85 \pm 0,15		
	FOM195 ^a	France ^{France}	21,54 \pm 0,41	>40	>40	>40	>40	14,23 \pm 0,36		
	LSV M975 ^{ad}	France ^{France}	20,96 \pm 0,41	>40	>40	>40	>40	13,09 \pm 0,13	MK654910	MK729093
	2004-729 ^a	Italy	21,64 \pm 0,64	>40	>40	>40	>40	13,38 \pm 0,05	MK654913	
	2004-722 ^a	Italy	22,84 \pm 0,71	>40	>40	>40	>40	16,03 \pm 0,19	MK654911	
	38ef ^a	Italy	29,01 \pm 0,22	>40	>40	>40	>40	21,09 \pm 0,03	MK654910	
	B9A ^a	Italy	28,04 \pm 0,08	>40	>40	>40	>40	21,77 \pm 0,08	MK654909	
	P137r ^a	Italy	27,49 \pm 0,26	>40	>40	>40	>40	19,53 \pm 0,01	MK654910	
	RB1A ^a	Italy	27,43 \pm 0,55	>40	>40	>40	>40	20,14 \pm 0,25	MK654911	
	SvA9i ^a	Italy	21,05 \pm 0,04	>40	>40	>40	>40	11,49 \pm 0,15	MK654912	
	SvB3a ^d	Italy	23,87 \pm 0,41	>40	>40	>40	>40	10,89 \pm 0,66	MK654910	
	Val2S1 ^{ad}	Italy	23,08 \pm 0,27	>40	>40	>40	>40	13,45 \pm 0,15		MK729093
	VPS (V2) ^a	Italy	34,22 \pm 0,46	>40	>40	>40	>40	28,11 \pm 0,31		
	2003-185 ^a	Montenegro	22,63 \pm 0,35	>40	>40	>40	>40	14,33 \pm 0,19	MK654910	
	2002-143 ^a	Poland	23,25 \pm 0,71	>40	>40	>40	>40	14,66 \pm 0,15	MK654914	
	CF_9_3 ^a	Italy	23,74 \pm 0,23	>40	>40	>40	>40	10,08 \pm 0,02		
	NUS 1_8_D ^b	Italy	26,11 \pm 0,31	>40	>40	>40	>40	11,32 \pm 0,15		
	CF_15_1 ^b	Italy	20,01 \pm 0,03	>40	>40	>40	>40	9,63 \pm 0,70		
	2004-673 ^c	Montenegro	30,80 \pm 0,39	>40	>40	>40	>40	26,09 \pm 0,05		
	2004-692 ^c	Montenegro	29,80 \pm 0,10	>40	>40	>40	>40	25,05 \pm 0,22		
	1960/56/4 ^a	UK	>40	20,62 \pm 0,27	>40	>40	>40	14,43 \pm 0,07	MK688980	
	Läy1 ^{ad}	Finland	>40	21,31 \pm 0,16	>40	>40	>40	15,31 \pm 0,33		MK729095
	Cou06S2 ^a	France	>40	21,80 \pm 0,16	>40	>40	>40	14,43 \pm 0,07		
	LSV M1138 ^{ad}	France	>40	19,72 \pm 0,04	>40	>40	>40	15,31 \pm 0,33	MK688978	MK729097
	LSV M344 ^{ad}	France	>40	21,20 \pm 0,25	>40	>40	>40	15,11 \pm 0,09	MK688978	MK729096
	LSV M345 ^a	France	>40	22,71 \pm 0,27	>40	>40	>40	14,07 \pm 0,30	MK688978	
LSV M346 ^a	France	>40	21,15 \pm 0,20	>40	>40	>40	13,61 \pm 0,31	MK688981		
LSV M347 ^a	France	>40	21,53 \pm 0,17	>40	>40	>40	14,21 \pm 0,10	MK688978		
LSV M394 ^a	France	>40	21,20 \pm 0,48	>40	>40	>40	13,61 \pm 0,31	MK688978		
TRE09W1 ^a	France	>40	20,93 \pm 0,49	>40	>40	>40	13,21 \pm 0,03			
1960-156 ^a	Italy	>40	21,09 \pm 0,22	>40	>40	>40	13,84 \pm 0,15	MK688978		
1095A (V2) ^a	Italy	>40	28,08 \pm 0,25	>40	>40	>40	20,81 \pm 0,39	MK688984		
B32a ^a	Italy	>40	27,92 \pm 0,54	>40	>40	>40	21,82 \pm 0,29	MK688978		

	BM42NG ^a	Italy	> 40	29,29 ± 0,64	> 40	> 40	22,47 ± 0,10	MK688978	
	BM42NG(V2) ³	Italy	> 40	26,59 ± 0,24	> 40	> 40	20,03 ± 0,42		
	CAL1 ^a	Italy	> 40	29,59 ± 0,09	> 40	> 40	23,19 ± 0,31	MK688978	
	Con2S2 ^{2d}	Italy	> 40	20,65 ± 0,13	> 40	> 40	13,32 ± 0,19		MK729094
	FOM131 ^a	Italy	> 40	21,16 ± 0,20	> 40	> 40	13,71 ± 0,04		
	SvA5d ^a	Italy	> 40	26,91 ± 0,28	> 40	> 40	20,59 ± 0,29	MK688979	
	1961/44/22 ^a	Norway	> 40	20,37 ± 0,11	> 40	> 40	14,05 ± 0,10	MK688979	
	1937/1080/1 ^a	Norway	> 40	21,82 ± 0,21	> 40	> 40	14,42 ± 0,09	MK688983	
	1993-334/6 ^a	Norway	> 40	22,22 ± 0,12	> 40	> 40	16,02 ± 0,14	MK688982	
	1991-345/1 ^a	Norway	> 40	21,40 ± 0,38	> 40	> 40	14,44 ± 0,08	MK688978	
	Ha carp ^b	Italy	> 40	30,08 ± 0,49	> 40	> 40	9,36 ± 0,76		
	St.D.alta 4.2b ^b	Italy	> 40	23,97 ± 0,21	> 40	> 40	11,40 ± 0,76		
	3A HET 31 ^b	Italy	> 40	31,40 ± 0,04	> 40	> 40	10,81 ± 0,36		
	1370C ^b	Italy	> 40	28,26 ± 0,66	> 40	> 40	9,00 ± 0,22		
	1993-375/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	29,94 ± 0,41	> 40	> 40	24,89 ± 0,07		
	2003-9/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	29,12 ± 0,20	> 40	> 40	25,21 ± 0,11		
	2003-10/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	30,42 ± 0,10	> 40	> 40	26,22 ± 0,13		
	2014-167 ^c	Norway	> 40	30,71 ± 0,27	> 40	> 40	26,25 ± 0,14		
	2004-684 ^c	Montenegro	> 40	31,82 ± 0,22	> 40	> 40	26,54 ± 0,04		
	2004-689 ^c	Montenegro	> 40	32,24 ± 0,23	> 40	> 40	27,50 ± 0,10		
<i>H. irregulare</i>	FOM0132 ^{2d}	-	> 40	> 40	18,75 ± 0,11	> 40	13,03 ± 0,05		MK729099
	1961-2 ^a	Canada	> 40	> 40	22,79 ± 0,08	> 40	16,70 ± 0,07	MK688987	MK729100
	2008-21 ^a	USA	> 40	> 40	21,59 ± 0,31	> 40	15,27 ± 0,28	MK688988	MK729100
	38NA ^a	Italy	> 40	> 40	27,48 ± 0,04	> 40	21,67 ± 0,16	MK688985	MK729098
	48NB ^{2d}	Italy	> 40	> 40	26,85 ± 0,36	> 40	18,96 ± 0,53	MK688985	
	91NA ^{2d}	Italy	> 40	> 40	25,61 ± 0,11	> 40	20,68 ± 0,20	MK688986	
	LSV M1121 ^a	Italy	> 40	> 40	20,40 ± 0,28	> 40	15,49 ± 0,10		MK729100
	RF3 ^{2d}	Italy	> 40	> 40	20,73 ± 0,16	> 40	15,19 ± 0,09		MK729100
	1116-1 ^b	USA	> 40	> 40	19,83 ± 0,04	> 40	10,89 ± 0,39		
	CP15 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	22,99 ± 0,05	> 40	14,09 ± 0,20		
	CONK1 ^b	USA	> 40	> 40	21,69 ± 0,18	> 40	13,17 ± 0,99		
	90A ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	24,76 ± 0,01	> 40	11,41 ± 0,33		
	1960-152 ^c	USA	> 40	> 40	32,63 ± 0,66	> 40	26,63 ± 0,30		
<i>H. parviporum</i>	1961-3 ^c	Canada	> 40	> 40	33,02 ± 0,11	> 40	27,06 ± 0,20		
	16-562/2F ^a	-	> 40	> 40	> 40	17,44 ± 0,13	13,49 ± 0,17		
	FOM190 ^a	-	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,02 ± 0,05	14,11 ± 0,05		
	FOM191 ^a	-	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,28 ± 0,05	14,65 ± 0,10		
	5/A ^{2d}	Finland	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,40 ± 0,06	15,48 ± 0,25		MK729103
	K7R39 ^a	Finland	> 40	> 40	> 40	17,52 ± 0,11	14,62 ± 0,14		
	16-596/F ^a	France	> 40	> 40	> 40	17,61 ± 0,34	14,04 ± 0,18		
	2004-721 ^a	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,34 ± 0,10	14,65 ± 0,14	MK729090	
	CEP7 ^a	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	26,50 ± 0,46	24,49 ± 0,33		
	LSV M1155 ^{2d}	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	17,93 ± 0,10	15,61 ± 0,53		MK729104
	P162r ^{2d}	Italy	35,3 ± 0,16	> 40	> 40	24,65 ± 0,88	14,57 ± 0,09	MK729090	
	SvA1c ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	24,29 ± 0,06	10,78 ± 0,23	MK729090	
	SvA5a ^a	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	23,47 ± 0,12	19,95 ± 0,07	MK729090	
	1987-164/2 ^a	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,62 ± 0,19	14,46 ± 0,28	MK729090	
	1987-1661/4 ^a	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,53 ± 0,16	14,86 ± 0,17	MK729090	
	1992-523/10 ^{2d}	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,51 ± 0,04	14,82 ± 0,28	MK729090	MK729102
	1998-1616/1 ^a	Norway	21,86 ± 0,28	> 40	> 40	17,60 ± 0,20	13,34 ± 0,06	MK729090	MK729101
	13A HET 32 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	36,80 ± 0,08	14,45 ± 0,59		
	7.3 HET 54 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	26,41 ± 0,04	16,04 ± 0,04		
	1987-1675/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	30,75 ± 0,21	24,38 ± 0,20		

	1998-1616/1 ^c	Norway	30,35 ± 0,33	> 40	> 40	28,19 ± 0,21	23,99 ± 0,04	
	1998-1622/2 ^c	Norway	31,26 ± 0,11	> 40	> 40	28,97 ± 0,36	24,63 ± 0,02	
	2004-352/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	30,49 ± 0,22	25,05 ± 0,04	
	2004-353/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	30,77 ± 0,23	25,44 ± 0,04	
	2004-676 ^c	Montenegro	34,19 ± 0,98	> 40	> 40	31,81 ± 0,39	26,54 ± 0,16	
	2004-714 ^c	Italy	32,94 ± 0,99	> 40	> 40	31,55 ± 0,02	27,67 ± 0,22	
	2005-842/2 ^c	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	29,72 ± 0,02	25,05 ± 0,06	
	2005-851/1 ^c	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	30,52 ± 0,04	27,91 ± 0,13	
	2007-166/1 ^c	Norway	32,66 ± 0,16	> 40	> 40	28,99 ± 0,20	27,13 ± 0,11	
	2014-44 ^c	Norway	> 40	> 40	> 40	31,84 ± 0,04	29,33 ± 0,60	
<i>H. irregulare</i> × <i>H. annosum</i> s.s. ^e	MUT00005668 ^b	Italy	> 40	20,25 ± 0,04	19,01 ± 0,43	> 40	14,35 ± 0,46	
	MUT00005669 ^b	Italy	> 40	18,93 ± 0,47	19,56 ± 0,44	> 40	13,88 ± 0,25	
<i>H. occidentale</i>	I11A ^b	USA	> 40	> 40	> 40	39,33 ± 0,25	19,98 ± 0,06	
<i>H. araucariae</i>	1965-13 ^c	New Zealand	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	29,26 ± 0,19	MK729092
<i>H. insulare</i>	2002-149 ^a	Japan	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	13,42 ± 0,34	
	2002-152 ^a	China	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	14,53 ± 0,26	MK729091
	2002-148 ^c	Japan	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	24,85 ± 0,20	
	2002-154 ^c	China	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	24,11 ± 0,18	
<i>Echinodontium tinctorium</i>	Aho-60-88-R ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	28,99 ± 0,88	
<i>Armillaria ostoyae</i>	Vald ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	13,90 ± 0,23	
<i>Stereum sanguinolentum</i>	12 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	15,23 ± 0,12	
<i>Phaelous schweinitzii</i>	574 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	24,71 ± 0,08	
<i>Onnia tomentosa</i>	OT-Slu ^b	Sweden	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	13,66 ± 0,08	
<i>Fuscoporia torulosa</i>	DP39 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	11,16 ± 0,33	
<i>Fomitopsis pinicola</i>	C-Joux ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	10,60 ± 0,56	
<i>Parodaedalea pini</i>	14 ^b	Italy	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	22,22 ± 0,59	
<i>Phellinus sulphurascens</i>	Pa-22r ^b	USA	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	21,00 ± 1,24	

Formatert: Italiensk (Italia)

627
628
629
630
631
632

^a DNA sample analyzed by ANSES.

^b DNA sample analyzed by the University of Torino.

^c DNA sample analyzed by NIBIO

^d Isolate included in the panel for the first screening step of candidate species-specific PCR primers

^e Artificial heterokaryotic F1 hybrids generated by Giordano et al. (2018)

633

634 Table 2: Primers and probes used in the study

635

Target (gene)	Name	Sequence (5' – 3')	Product size
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp. (RPB1)	RPB1-AF ^a	GAR TGY CCD GGD CAY TTY GG	1393 bp
	RPB1-CF ^b	CCN GCD ATN TCR TTR TCC ATR TA	
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp. (Mcm7)	Mcm7-709for ^b	ACN MGN GTN TCV GAY GTH AAR CC	640 bp
	Mcm7-1348rev ^b	GAY TTD GCN ACN CCN GGR TCW CCC AT	
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp. (Tsr1)	Tsr1-1453for ^b	GAR TTC CCN GAY GAR ATY GAR CT	750 bp
	Tsr1-2308rev ^b	CTT RAA RTA NCC RTG NGT NCC	
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp. (GH63)	GH63IF ^c	AGG GAY GAR GGN TTC CAY YT	400-650 bp
	GH63IR ^c	CGN CGG AAC CAN TCR TAR TG	
<i>H. abietinum</i> (Mcm7)	Habi For 4	TCG TTT CAG CCC TTT CCA A	291 bp
	Habi Rev 14	TTG ATG AAT ATA GTG CGC CTC G	
	Habi P 7	Cy5- GG TGC GTC GTC GCC TTC ATT ATT TT-BHQ2	
<i>H. annosum</i> s.s. (Mcm7)	Hann For 14	CGT CGC CTT AAT GAT TTC ATA AG	267 bp
	Hann Rev 10	TGT CAC TGT ACT GTT TCT TTA GC	
	Hann P 11	FAM-ACC ATA CAY GTT GGC GGG AAC CTC-BHQ1	
<i>H. irregulare</i> (Mcm7)	Hirr For 1	CGT CGT CTC CAT GAT CTC AA	202 bp
	Hirr Rev 5	TTG ATG AAT ATA GTG CGC TTC A	
	Hirr P 5	JOE-CCA TWC ACG TTG GCG GGA ACC TT-BHQ1	
<i>H. parviporum</i> (RPB1)	Hpar For 4	CAA TCG TAT GGG GTC ATT GTA A	110 bp
	Hpar Rev 6	CAC ATC CGC CAT GTC CC	
	Hpar P 8	ROX-GAT CTG CGA GCC CGA CGA ACC G-BHQ2	
Any fungal genus (18S rDNA)	FungiQuant-F ^d	GGR AAA CTC ACC AGG TCC AG	
	FungiQuant-R ^d	GSW CTA TCC CCA KCA CGA	
	FungiQuant-Prb ^d	FAM-TGG TGC ATG GCC GTT-BHQ1	

Formatert: Fransk (Frankrike)

Formatert: Fransk (Frankrike)

Formatert: Fransk (Frankrike)

Formatert: Fransk (Frankrike)

Formatert: Fransk (Frankrike)

Formatert: Fransk (Frankrike)

Formatert: Spansk (Spania)

636

637 ^a (MATHENY et al. 2002)638 ^b (SCHMITT et al. 2009)639 ^c (PÉREZ-IZQUIERDO et al. 2017)640 ^d (LIU et al. 2012)

641

642

Table 3: Repeatability and reproducibility for the *Heterobasidion* real-time assays

643

Target	Concentration	Intra-assay		Inter-assay	
		Mean Ct ± SD ^a	CV (%)	Mean Ct ± SD	CV (%)
<i>H. abietinum</i>	100× LOD ^b	28.3±0.1	0,1	28.4±0.5	1.7
	10× LOD	30.5±0.2	0,3	32.1±0.9	2.8
	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^c	31.5±0.4	0,5	31.7±1.1	3.5
<i>H. annosum</i>	100× LOD	25.4±0.2	2.0	25.8±0.4	1.7
	10× LOD	29.7±0.5	2.2	29.2±0.8	2.7
	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^d	22.9±0.5	2.8	22.5±0.7	3.1
<i>H. irregulare</i>	100× LOD	23,2 ± 0,4	1,6	22.6±0.3	1.2
	10× LOD	25,9 ± 0,3	1,2	25.4±0.8	3.2
	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^e	19,9 ± 0,6	3,2	19.5±0.1	0.3
<i>H. parviporum</i>	100× LOD	21,1 ± 0,2	1,2	21.0±0.5	2.6
	10× LOD	24,4 ± 0,1	0,4	24.2±0.1	0.5
	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^f	17,7 ± 0,3	1,5	17.7±0.2	1.1

644

^a The mean Ct value and standard deviation were calculated with 10 replicates

645

^b LOD (Limit Of Detection) corresponds to 112, 112, 88 and 82 of pc µL⁻¹ for *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum*, *H. irregulare*, and *H. parviporum* respectively.

646

^c *H. abietinum* strain 17/058

647

^d *H. annosum* s.s. strain LSVM1138

648

^e *H. irregulare* strain 38NA

649

^f *H. parviporum* strain LSVM1155

650

651

Formatert: Skrift: Ikke Kursiv

652

653

654

655

Table 4: Environmental samples tested during the study (Habi, *H. abietinum*; Hann, *H. annosum* s.s.; Hirr, *H. irregulare*; Hpar, *H. parviporum*, FQ, FungiQuant).

Species	Code	Origin	Host	Real-time PCR test (mean Ct value ± SD)				
				Habi	Hann	Hirr	Hpar	FQ
Wood chips from artificially-inoculated logs								
Logs inoculated with <i>H. irregulare</i>	LOG1-CP15/a	Italy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	> 40	> 40	37.43±1.54	> 40	26.22±0.46
Logs inoculated with <i>H. annosum</i> s.s.	LOG2-49SA/a	Italy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	> 40	34.82±2.94	> 40	> 40	23.59±0.57
Logs inoculated with <i>H. irregulare</i>	LOG1-CP15/b	Italy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	> 40	> 40	37.06±0.24	> 40	24.52±0.25
Logs inoculated with <i>H. annosum</i> s.s.	LOG2-49SA/b	Italy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	> 40	30.24±0.31	> 40	> 40	23.32±0.83
Control log	LOG5-mock/a	Italy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	29.56±0.6
Control log	LOG5-mock/b	Italy	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	> 40	> 40	> 40	> 40	27.11±1.1
Wood chips from infected trees								
Wood chips from tree infected by <i>H. irregulare</i> *	PT15S	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	36.99±0.14	> 40	33.33±1.5
Wood chips from tree infected by <i>Heterobasidion</i> spp.*	PT17S	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	31.36±0.06	> 40	> 40	29.32±0.95
Wood chips from tree infected by <i>Heterobasidion</i> spp.*	PT20S	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	35.70±0.74	> 40	> 40	29.01±0.48
Wood chips from tree infected by <i>H. irregulare</i> *	7_50	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	33.94±0.86	> 40	24.17±1.18
Wood chips from tree infected by <i>H. irregulare</i> *	14_50	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	32.28±0.37	> 40	29.75±0.52
Fruiting bodies								
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IV1	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	32.12±0.08	> 40	19.24±0.07
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IV2	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	23.70±0.09	> 40	14.50±0.38
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IV3	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	21.30±0.08	> 40	13.52±0.58
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IV5	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	21.28±0.06	> 40	13.13±0.18
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IV-Dep	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	21.41±0.06	> 40	13.11±0.35
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IVX	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	23.53±0.66	> 40	14.26±0.01
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	IVY	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	20.81±0.08	> 40	13.42±0.42
<i>Heterobasidion irregulare</i> *	Gan-Gall	Italy	<i>Pinus pinea</i>	> 40	> 40	23.53±0.15	> 40	13.92±0.22
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00500	France	<i>Pseudotsuga</i> sp.	> 40	16,17±0,01	> 40	> 40	9,02±0,03
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	10701							
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00501	France	<i>Pseudotsuga</i> sp.	15,93±0,08	> 40	> 40	> 40	9,57±0,01
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	10695							
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/1 dil FOMORCAAA	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	20,31±0,08	> 40	> 40	16,51±0,02
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/2 dil FOMORCBBB	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,48±0,09	> 40	> 40	17,46±0,03
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/3 dil FOMORC001	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	17,97±0,03	> 40	> 40	14,71±0,03
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/4 dil FOMORC002	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	18,38±0,15	> 40	> 40	15,23±0,19
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/5 dil FOMORC003	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,53±0,12	> 40	> 40	15,10±0,42
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/6 dil FOMORC004	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,02±0,12	> 40	> 40	15,43±0,07
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/7 dil FOMORC005	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,12±0,75	> 40	> 40	16,29±0,38
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/8 dil FOMORC006	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,53±0,10	> 40	> 40	16,85±0,02
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00571/9 dil FOMORC007	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,52±0,01	> 40	> 40	17,15±0,81
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00573/1 dil FOMCLM008	France	<i>Abies grandis</i>	20,94±0,29	> 40	> 40	> 40	16,07±0,08
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00573/2 dil FOMCLM009	France	<i>Abies grandis</i>	20,72±0,62	> 40	> 40	> 40	18,16±0,52
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	17-00573/3 dil FOMCLM010	France	<i>Abies grandis</i>	20,20±0,23	> 40	> 40	> 40	17,69±0,25
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00068/1 dil	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	18,71±0,34	> 40	> 40	12,32±0,11
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00068/2 dil	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	30,60±0,49	> 40	> 40	23,56±0,10
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00068/3 dil	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	25,35±0,13	> 40	> 40	18,36±0,20
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00104 dil 10985/1	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	19,00±0,23	> 40	> 40	11,14±0,00

<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00104 dil 10985/2	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	21,11±0,04	> 40	> 40	13,61±0,02
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00104 dil 10985/3	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	23,33±0,07	> 40	> 40	16,26±0,04
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00105 dil 10987	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	32,00±0,34	> 40	> 40	20,07±0,01
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00244/1 dil 11175	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	23,39±0,02	> 40	> 40	18,27±0,00
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00244/2 dil 11176	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	21,04±0,08	> 40	> 40	15,61±0,40
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00397/1 dil 11427A	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	27,88±0,20	> 40	> 40	20,94±0,10
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00397/2 dil 11427B	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	17,80±0,18	> 40	> 40	12,96±0,61
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00397/3 dil 11427C	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	20,74±0,22	> 40	> 40	24,50±1,21
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00397/4 dil 11427D	France	<i>Picea abies</i>	> 40	17,02±0,02	> 40	> 40	10,65±0,19
<i>Heterobasidion</i> sp.	18-00582 dil 11635	France	<i>Abies alba</i>	20,37±0,39	> 40	> 40	> 40	14,60±0,12

656

*based on outcomes of molecular analyses performed by Sillo et al. (2018); DNA extracted and tested by the University of Torino

657

658
659

Supplementary Table 1: Intraspecific and interspecific levels of similarity observed for *Mcm7* and *RPB1* genes sequences (%).

	<i>H. abietinum</i>	<i>H. annosum</i> s.s.	<i>H. irregulare</i>	<i>H. parviporum</i>
<i>Mcm7</i> gene (700 bp)				
<i>H. abietinum</i> (1/3) ^a	100	/	/	/
<i>H. annosum</i> s.s. (4/4)	94.4-94.9	99.3-99.9	/	/
<i>H. irregulare</i> (3/6)	94.4-94.5	96.7-97.1	99.4-99.1	/
<i>H. parviporum</i> (4/4)	97.6-97.7	94.4-95.8	94.7-95.4	98.5-99.1
<i>RPB1</i> gene (1344 bp)				
<i>H. abietinum</i> (6/14)	98.2-99.9	/	/	/
<i>H. annosum</i> s.s. (7/17)	95.7-97.0	99.5-100	/	/
<i>H. irregulare</i> (4/5)	95.5-96.8	97.8-98.4	99.8-100	/
<i>H. parviporum</i> (1/8)	96.8-98.0	96.3-97.0	96.1-96.9	100

660 ^aNumber of sequence variants observed / number of isolates studied by gene sequencing

661

662

Supplementary Table 2: Evaluation of robustness of the *Heterobasidion* real-time assays using mean Ct values.

Target	Concentration	DNA template volume ^a			Reaction volume ^a			Hybridization temperature ^a		
		1.8 µL	2 µL	2.2 µL	18 µL	20 µL	22 µL	63°C	65°C	67°C
<i>H. abietinum</i>	100× LOD	29.9 ^e	27.7 ^c	25.6 ^a	27.9 ^c	28.2 ^c	27.8 ^c	28.4 ^c	27.0 ^b	29.2 ^d
	10× LOD	29.4 ^a	30.4 ^{ab}	32.1 ^{cd}	32.0 ^{cd}	32.1 ^{cd}	32.9 ^d	31.1 ^{bc}	30.1 ^{ab}	32.9 ^d
	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^c	30.4 ^{ab}	31.6 ^c	30.1 ^a	31.1 ^{bc}	31.1 ^{bc}	30.8 ^{bc}	30.0 ^a	30.2 ^a	33.4 ^d
<i>H. annosum</i> s.s.	100× LOD	24.7 ^{bc}	22.4 ^a	24.2 ^b	24.9 ^{bd}	25.2 ^{cd}	26.0 ^e	25.1 ^{cd}	25.5 ^d	28.3 ^f
	10× LOD	26.2 ^a	29.1 ^{de}	26.8 ^{ab}	29.7 ^e	29.2 ^{de}	29.9 ^e	27.8 ^{bc}	28.3 ^{cd}	30.8 ^f
<i>H. irregulare</i>	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^d	22.3 ^c	21.8 ^b	23.3 ^d	22.3 ^c	22.2 ^c	22.5 ^c	21.1 ^a	22.2 ^c	25.7 ^e
	100× LOD	22.5 ^{bc}	22.5 ^{bc}	22.1 ^a	23.0 ^d	22.6 ^c	23.8 ^e	22.1 ^{ab}	22.5 ^{bc}	22.7 ^{bc}
	10× LOD	25.3 ^b	25.4 ^b	25.2 ^b	24.6 ^a	24.6 ^a	24.8 ^a	25.4 ^b	25.2 ^b	25.5 ^b
<i>H. parviporum</i>	1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^e	19.8 ^{cd}	20.4 ^e	19.7 ^{cd}	19.3 ^{abc}	19.0 ^a	19.6 ^{bd}	19.1 ^{ab}	19.0 ^{ab}	20.0 ^{de}
	100× LOD	23.1 ^c	21.8 ^e	20.7 ^b	21.4 ^d	21.5 ^d	21.7 ^e	20.2 ^a	20.3 ^a	20.7 ^b
	10× LOD	24.9 ^e	24.9 ^e	24.6 ^d	24.4 ^c	24.6 ^{cd}	24.5 ^d	23.4 ^a	23.9 ^b	23.8 ^b
1 ng µL ⁻¹ gDNA ^f	19.2 ^f	19.9 ^e	18.8 ^e	17.4 ^{de}	17.5 ^{bc}	17.8 ^{de}	17.4 ^{ab}	17.3 ^a	17.6 ^{cd}	

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

^a Mean values followed by the same letter on the same line are not significantly different according to Tuckey's test (p >0.01)^b LOD (Limit Of Detection) corresponds to 112, 112, 82 and 88 of pc per PCR reaction for *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum* s.s., *H. irregulare*, and *H. parviporum*, respectively.^c *H. abietinum* strain 17/058^d *H. annosum* s.s. strain LSVM1138^e *H. irregulare* strain 38NA^f *H. parviporum* strain LSVM1155

Formatert: Engelsk (USA)