

Discussion paper No. 2008–3

An Excel Based Stochastic LP Model for a Dairy and Meat Farm

Leif Jarle Asheim

Norwegian Agricultural Economics Research Institute P.O. Box 8024 Dep, No-0030 Oslo, Norway Corresponding author: leif-jarle.asheim@nilf.no

This version: December 2008 (Please do not quote without permission from the author.) The work with the model started during a research stay at Texas A & M University in 2003– 04 and was based on a deterministic model for dairy and meat farms. Professor James Richardson suggested making the model stochastic in order to better utilize the information in the farm account statistics in risk analysis. The original model was based on average farm accounts while this is based on accounts from individual farms. The model has since been developed further and is also made more general in order to advance its applicability in farm analysis. The stochastic part has been worked out in cooperation with Prof James Richardson at Texas A & M University.

Oslo, December 2008

Leif Jarle Asheim

Copyright © by the Author. All rights reserved. Readers may take verbatim copies of this document for noncommercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Papers in this series are meant for stimulating discussions. The authors would welcome all kinds of responses to this paper. The interpretation and conclusion in this paper are those of the author(s).

This discussion paper may have been submitted to a journal and have entered the journal's review process. Should the journal decide to publish the article the paper no longer will have the status of a NILF Discussion Paper and will be withdrawn from this website. From then on a link will be made to the journal in question referring to the published work and its proper citation.

Copies of this discussion paper are available at http://www.nilf.no/Publikasjoner/DP/En/2008/DP-2008-03.pdf

Outline

Page

SU	JMMARY	4
1	INTRODUCTION	4
2	FARM DATA	6
3	PRICES, QUANTITIES AND INPUT DATA	. 10
4	MACHINERY, MILK PRODUCTION AND LABOUR	. 12
5	FARM CROPS	. 15
6	FEED QUALITY AND PURCHASE OF FEED	. 18
7	FEED UPTAKE BY ANIMALS	. 19
8	RECEIPTS FROM ANIMAL PRODUCTION	. 23
9	MODEL CALIBRATION	. 25
10	STOCHASTIC VARIABLES	. 27
11	THE LP-MATRIX	. 29
12	THE KEY OUTPUT VARIABLES	. 30
R	EFERENCES	. 31

Summary

This paper describes a stochastic linear programming model for farms with a milk and cattle meat production system. This model documentation is worked out using the farm account records for three family farms in Northern Norway. The model is built in Excel using the addin Simetar to analyze risks. The LP model maximizes farm gross margins but the fixed costs of each farm are subtracted in order to compute farm profit and risk in farm profit. Data for the 15 years from 1991–2005 from the farms and from the annual editions of the Handbook of farm planning (NILF, 2000) have been used as a basis for developing the stochastic variables. The following variables have been made stochastic: area and yield of green fodder, yields of leys and pastures, yield of milk per cow, meat price, milk price, fuel costs and costs of concentrate feed. The rate of interest is also made stochastic. In the model the rate of interest is affecting the risk in farm profit through the fixed costs.

Emphasize has been given to build a flexible model allowing for examining effects of changes in several ways e.g. length of grazing period, calving time, or harvesting method for grass. The milk production is restricted by a farm specific milk quota, but otherwise the farming intensity is varied as farmers may choose selling e.g. small calves or up to two years old castrates with extensive use of pasture. Updating the model with data for another year is facilitated by defining prices for one year at a time and by cell referencing all variables. The records may be replaced with records for family farms with similar production systems in other areas in the country. The production is based on grass and pasture roughage. Dairy farms in more central areas also produce cereals, grain and oilseeds and the model has to be developed further for such farms.

The model will be used to carry out different farm economics analysis for Norwegian family farms combining milk and cattle meat production in production systems involving extensive use of pasture.

1 Introduction

The linear programming (LP) model is worked out in Excel using Simetar (www.Simetar.com) an Excel add-in to handle stochasticity. The model may be run in either a deterministic or a stochastic mode using the on or off switch of the ExpectedValue icon in Simetar. In the deterministic mode all the parameters in the matrix, objective function and Right Hand Side (RHS) variables of the LP model will have their expected values and the LP model comes up with an optimum solution. In the stochastic mode i.e. when the ExpectedValue icon is turned off, the model will run with stochastic values for the parameters which are determined after different procedures as outlined in the paper.

The LP maximize farm Gross Margins, defined as the difference between the enterprise output or gross income and the variable costs (See e.g. Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Food, 1977). The variable costs includes farm machinery repair and fuel and oil which are variable depending upon the size of the operation, but are sometimes treated as fixed costs. Labour costs are also treated as variable, this is much relief work and some seasonal labour on shorter term contracts and there is no regular paid labour on such farms. The fixed costs encompass depreciations of machinery and buildings and some land costs (e.g. drainage) and all costs for farm cars, administration, accounting, electricity and phone costs and other fixed costs, but most premiums are paid out on acreage or per head basis or as a price subsidy.

The recorded fixed costs and interest costs are subtracted from the gross margins to arrive at farm profit. This is done in a separate process in the LP, but might as well be done after solving the LP. The risk is thus measured in the farm profit and not in the gross margins. This is important because the ability or willingness to bear the risks on family farms depend upon the fixed costs. There are also risks involved in the fixed costs, of particular importance the risk due to changing interest costs on capital. We have accounted for risk in interest on all capital, both own and borrowed.

A basis for the LP model is Norwegian family farm account records from dairy and meat farms i.e. farms where the cows are kept for both milk and for producing calves to be raised on the farm. Another requirement is that there shall be a substantial share of pasture in the feed ration. Most Norwegian dairy cow farmers raise the calves and the most common breed (Norwegian Red Cattle) is bred for both milk and meat yields. Generally farmers are working full time on such farms, however, the farmer or the spouse may be part-time farmers or have a smaller off-farm or farm business. The farm accounting data used in the model has been obtained from the account statistics of Norwegian Agricultural Economics Research Institute (NILF). The account data for a given year are usually available in the last part of the following year and are on a standardized form that can be pasted into the Excel worksheet where the names of the accounting items have been translated into English.

The three farms used in this documentation come from the counties Nordland, Troms and Finnmark in Northern Norway, and data from these farms can thus be used to examine risk problems associated with dairy and meat farming in sub-arctic areas. The model as such is quite general and will be adapted to dairy and meat farms in different areas and applied for examining different farm problems. The standardized form of the farm accounts facilitates use of account result for any farm with this farming system in the statistics. However, in other areas other farming opportunities has to be modelled, e.g. dairy farmers in Southern Norway generally (unless in mountainous areas) have a longer growing season and may produce other crops such as cereals and oilseeds for sale.

The construction of the model in Excel follows the general principle that each variable or parameter is entered only once in a cell and each time this value is used, there is a reference to the cell. Numbers are never written into a formula. This makes updating of the model easier as each value is changed only in one place. Some basic farm information or assumptions such as farm number and year are coloured dark green. Model values that can be changed or updated due to change of farm or year are coloured yellow, while the formulas or values that are generally not adjusted are uncoloured.

In order to study effects of calving time and length of the grazing period the date format in Excel has been used. The Excel date format operates with a specific date (Jan. 1 1900) as the first day and in order to calculate day number in the year the last day in the year before has to be subtracted so that when calving time or the first and last day of grazing are defined for the year 2000 the day number for the last day in 1999 is subtracted.

The energy content in yields and feed and energy requirements for animals are based on the Norwegian unit FEm (short for Feeding Energy-units milk). One FEm equals 6.9 Mega Joule (MJ) and is the approximate energy content of one kg of barley. For protein we use the AAT (Amino Acid absorbed in Intestine) that is measured in grams or kilograms. The maximum or minimum amounts of dry matter allowed in the feed ration of cattle or the content of dry matter in roughage are measured in kg.

Farmland area is measured in hectares in general, however due to the size of Norwegian farmland we use the unit decare, 1 decare of land equals 1000 square m or 1/10 of a hectare.

2 Farm data

The three records are placed on separate sheets entitled FarmA1991–05, FarmB1991–05 and FarmC1991–05. Each sheet contains the records of one farm for 15 years placed in columns. A few sums not defined in the records are calculated in the lower end of each sheet. The chosen numbers are entering the LP Model sheet in the columns F, G and H. The model also uses specific price data from the annual editions of Handbook of Farm Planning (NILF, 2000). These data are reproduced in time series on the sheet PriceIndicies and are generally on a per kg basis and linked to the same year as the farm data.

The active farm is determined by changing number in the cell "Farm to simulate" in D3 of the LP Model sheet below. By changing farm number, a different set of recorded data will appear in column D and the model can thus be run for another farm. If a scenario instruction is typed in that cell (e.g. =scenario(J3:L3) and with 1, 2 and 3 typed in the cells J3–L3) the model will run all three farms). It is also possible to run the model for another year by typing the year in cell H3 and the recorded data for that year will appear in column D.

The recorded area of cultivated and uncultivated farmland in the lines 6 and 7 are transferred unadjusted to the RHS for <u>constraint 1 and 2</u> of the LP model. These are the numbers recorded each year. The green fodder area in line 8 was recorded until 2001 and the recorded distribution for those years has been extended to the whole period by bootstrapping the values for the years afterwards. Dairy and meat farmers in this part of the country use their land for meadow and pasture and buy all the concentrate feed. Farmers may also buy hay and bales of silage if needed. The recorded average farm roughage yield is displayed in the cell D9. Information about the first and last day on pasture appears in the lines 10–11 and thus the number of days indoor and on pasture can be calculated.

The recorded hours of labour input by the farm family and by hired work are calculated as total labour input in agriculture (The items M810 or M811 in the farm accounts from 2002 and onwards) minus hired labour M39 and M45 (M9 and M65 after 2002)¹. Farmers on family farms quite often hire family members on an hourly basis, mostly for relief work, but in the model it is not distinguish between the categories of hired labour. The numbers are displayed in the lines 14–15 and in line 16 the model calculates the number of h available during the pasture time in accordance with length of the grazing season on each farm. Normally farm families take much of their holydays during the summer but on the other hand a disproportionate share of labour may be hired during the summer. The recorded number of h worked by the family is assumed to be the available labour force and the numbers for the selected farm in column D are transferred to the right hand side of the model for the constraints 10 and 11.

The recorded price per h for hired labour in line 17 is used unadjusted in the objective function of <u>process 29 of the model</u> and the default maximum amount of hired labour in the model is determined in line 18 as the maximum for the 15 accounting years period. Hired labour is considered as a variable cost, this is much relief work and some seasonal labour, on shorter term contracts. The farmer may hire somewhat more or less help for the same price, however a substantial increase in temporary hiring would not be possible and the payment would usually be higher for workers in more permanent hiring.

¹ Part of the data in M39 and M45 were recorded in M9 and M65 from 2002.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
2		used for the individual farms				· ·	· · ·	
3		Farm TO Simulate		1	THE FARM S	URVEY RESUL	TS FOR	2000
4		Last day in the year before		31.12.1999				
5		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Farm A	Farm B	Farm C
6		Cultivated area, decare		241,0	1	241	252	198
7		Pasture area, decare		25,0	2	25		-
8		Green Fodder area, decare		25,0	3	25	30	33
9		Recorded yield, FEm/decare		194,0	4	194	221	165
10		First day grazing		01.07.2000	5	1. jul.	18. jun.	25. jul.
11		Last day grazing		15.09.2000	6		14. sep.	1. sep.
12		Grazing period, days		76,0	7		88	38
13					8			
14		Labor Input, family, h		2500	9	2 500	2 405	3 000
15		Hired labor, h		633	10	633	1 476	447
16		Summer Labor, family, h		521	11	521	580	312
17		Average wage hired labour in agr.	NOK/h	107,7	12	108	121	112
18		Limit on hired labour		1870	13	1870	1599	717
19					14			
20		Fixed Costs, NOK		146775,0	15	146 775	227 259	193 932
21		Interest Rate		0,07	16	0,07	0,07	0,07
22		Interest Costs, NOK		53213,0	17	53 213	85 250	99 285
23		Farm profit		140435	18	140435	-13125	301191
24		•			19			
25		Milk Quota, I		89333	20	89 333	87 578	101 436
26		Milk yield per cow, I		6790	21	6 790	6 4 9 6	5 868
27		Date of calving (seasonal)		10.1.2000	22	10. jan.	20. sep.	1. okt.
28		Local Milk Price NOK/I		3,8	23	3,80	3,81	4,37
29		Local Meat Price Cows, NOK/kg		32,6	24	32,64	27,48	22,31
30		Local Price Bull 18-24 Months, N	IOK/kg	24,5	25	24,53	33,52	32,59
31		Local Price Castrate 24 months	NOK/kg	24,5	26	24,53	33,52	32,59
32		Local Price Intermediate Calves N	OK/kg	38,5	27	38,5	38,5	39,4
33		Cows, animal years		13,3	28	13,30	12,90	18,50
34		Slaughtered (discarded) cows, NC	K per cow	3623	29	3 623	2 558	2 522
35		Other items of use per dairy cows	, NOK/cow	1259	30	1 259	965	787
36		Different expences for animals NC	K/dairy cow	2021	31		1 360	579
37		•	-		32			
38		Basic support for milk production	, NOK/farm	66000	33	66 000	66 000	66 501
39		Extra support for relief for the first		17224	34	17224	17224	17224
40		Bottum deduction of premiums, N	OK/Farm	7000	35		7000	7000
41					36			
42		Farm milk zone		7	37		7	9
43		Farm meat zone		4	38		4	5
44		Area & landscape support NOK/de	ecare (0-200)	440	39	440	440	470
45		Area & landscape support NOK/de		220	40		220	220

The recorded fixed costs of the farms are shown in line 20. The fixed costs consist of costs for maintenance and depreciation of farm buildings and other farm constructions, all costs for farm cars, administration, accounting, electricity and phone costs and other fixed costs. Regarding farm tractors and farm machinery only depreciation has been added as the maintenance is calculated as a variable cost together with costs of fuel elsewhere in the model.

The values of the farm assets are recorded as beginning and end values in the balance and the average number is multiplied with the rate of interest (reproduced in line 21) to arrive at annual interest costs in line 22. The rate of interest is the same for all farms in a given year and the standard rate in the account statistics has been used. Generally there are no ownership charges other than interest on capital in the country. In line 23 is reproduced the actual farm profit. The data for farm profit is used when calibrating the model in part H (see later) but otherwise does not enter the calculations.

The farm milk quota is displayed in line 25 and transferred to the RHS coefficient for constraint 28 of the LP tableau. The recorded milk yield in D26 goes to the matrix for

constraint 28 to determine number of cows within the quota. In line 27 is displayed the time of calving based on additional information from each farm. The information is used for computing the seasonal distribution of milk production in part C.2 and also to calculate seasonal distribution of cow and cattle feed requirements in section F, based on a standardized lactation curve.

The recorded milk price in D28 is, together with the milk yield in D26, used to calculate receipts from milk production (Part G). In this calculation the recorded receipts from cow meat in D34 and the cost for veterinary treatment and medicine and other variable items of use in animal husbandry in D35 and D36 are also considered. The recorded meat prices for bulls or castrates (D30–31) are used to calculate receipts from these activities to be used in their objective function. The price for intermediate calves is not recorded but cell D32 displays the price from the PriceIndices sheet.

Structural premiums and some local premiums or environmental support can sometimes be considered as a fixed income. In the lines 38–40 is displayed the basic support for milk production in the areas, the extra support for the first 8 cows and a bottom deduction of subsidies for the farms. The basic support and the extra relief payments are subtracted from the fixed costs of the model as it is assumed that the farmers will always have more than 8 cows. The bottom deduction amounted to NOK 7000 for 2000. These positive or negative amounts have to be added to the fixed costs, before arriving at the net fixed costs that are transferred to the objective function of process 30 of the LP tableau.

In line 42 is shown the milk zone of each of the farms. The milk zone is decisive for computing the premiums for area and cultural landscape in the lines 44 and 45. The area and cultural landscape support premiums are paid out on an acreage basis and the figures in the cells D44–45 goes directly to the processes 12 and 13. There are seven zones for area and landscape premiums in the country, each with a higher premium for the first 200 decares of farmland. Farm A and B get the rate for zone 7 and farm C the rate for zone 9. The rates are pr decare for farmland and are lowered by 30 percent for permanent pasture (infield) and the LP matrix coefficient is thus 0.7 for permanent pasture.

Norwegian farmers also obtain a supplementary payment per kg of meat produced, depending on region. There are five zones for rural meat production payments. The rate for the three farms is displayed in line 43. One of the farms is situated in zone number 5 and the other two in zone 4. The supplementary payment is added to the ordinary price of meat for intermediate calves above, other meat prices are recorded with the governmental payment included.

3 Prices, quantities and input data

The price, quantity, premium and other input data used in the model are shown on the next page. The repurchasing values for tractors and farm equipment in the cells D51–D66 in part B.1 are prices for the year 2000. The prices are multiplied with a farm machinery price index (NILF, 2008) in cell D49 in order to automatically update the calculations in accordance with the year selected in cell H3. The machinery prices are followed by prices for diesel (in D69) and different artificial fertilizers and lime (D72–D77), variable costs of electricity for barn drying when haymaking and preserving agents (formic acid) for silage in D80–D82. The energy content in one cubic m of silage in traditional silos is assumed to be 140 Fem. The costs for seed and herbicides are displayed in the cells D86–93. The data are taken from Handbook of farm planning (NILF, 2000). All these costs are multiplied with price indexes that are calculated using data from NILF (2008). The value of the price indexes is 1 for 2000. The price indexes are placed on a separate sheet entitled PriceIndices and the LP model input is updated by changing the year.

Baling of silage is normally conducted on a contractual basis on family farms, but the farmer himself may cut the grass and rake it because it is important that the grass is enough pre-dried before it is baled. This strategy will increase flexibility which is particularly important when the weather is changing fast during harvesting. Farmers also transport the bales from the field to the barn. The energy content of one bale of silage is assumed to be 135 Fem (D96). Bales of silage are normally stored outdoor so extra costs of storage need not be considered. In general the bailing alternative will involve increased machinery costs while farmers work during harvesting is reduced compared with traditional silage harvesting. Work with feeding is assumed to be the same for bales as for silage on an energy basis.

Some farmers continue with a traditional harvesting of silage, others are gradually shifting to bales. The data used to calculate the costs for bailing in column D94–D97 are based on the Handbook of Farm Planning (NILF, 2000) and brought in from the PriceIndices sheet. The costs and labour input for bailing and traditional harvesting are weighted together with a different share based on information collected from each farm before entering the objective function of the LP Model. It is possible to assume that all the silage on the farm is bailed (Part H).

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
	Part B. These	e are the price, quantity and standa			2000				
49		Farm machinery price index value (2		1,000					
50		B.1. PURCHACHING PRICES FOR				B.2. RELATIV			
51		Tractor 92 Ehp with loading equipm		406050	NOK				FEm
52		Tractor 52 Ehp with loading equipm	ent	265442	NOK		and more cu		FEm
53		Trailer 10 tonn		42000	NOK		yield, after cut		FEm
54		Trailer wagon		61670	NOK				FEm
55		KVERNLAND reversible 2 share plo		74890	NOK		yield after first		FEm
56		KONGSKILDE harrow 2,1 m workin	g width	24100	NOK		autumn replac		FEm
57		Reel, 4 m working width		30900	NOK		spring replace		FEm
58		BØGEBALLE centrifugal fertilizer di	stributor	27891	NOK				FEm
59		Manure pump, HLR2		51210	NOK		, process 10		FEm
60		Manure tank wagon, MOI GB 6		65100	NOK				FEm
61		HARDI tractorsprayer		12180		Loss for silage		0,9	
62		Seeding machine CK4000, grass		50490		Loss greenfod	der 15%	0,85	
63		JFROTOR grass mover 190 cm		35870	NOK				
64		BYE side revert rake, 240 cm		13300	NOK		OF SEED AN		
65		Crosscut rake		4000	NOK		eys, process 6		Kg/decare
66		JF RAP harvester grass (130cm)		41000	NOK		eds, process		Kg/decare
67		Source: Handbook of farm planning				Pea seed, pr			Kg/decare
68			Index value (20			Barly seed, p			Kg/decare
69		Diesel Price (6,03 in 2000)	1,000	6,03	NOK/I	Ryegrass pro	ocess 9	4	Kg/decare
70									
71		B.4. PRICES 2000 FOR FERTILIZE					OF MANURE		
72		Lime	1,000	780	NOK/ton	Manure for p	rocess 1,7,9,1		tons/decare
73		NPK 21-4-10 incl. freitht -discounts.	1,000		NOK/kg		ntities for proc		tons/decare
74		NPK 18-3-15 incl. freitht -discounts.	1,000		NOK/kg		ntities for proc		tons/decare
75		NPK 11-5-17(18), inc. freitht -discou	1,000		NOK/kg		2-12 process		Kg/decare
76		NPK 22-2-12, incl freight&discounts	1,000		NOK/kg		2-12, process		Kg/decare
77		Calciumnitrate indl f&d	1,000	1,40	NOK/kg		te CaNO3, pro		Kg/decare
78							te CaNO3, pro		Kg/decare
79		B.6. COSTS FOR SILAGE OR HAY	-				te CaNO3, pro		Kg/decare
80		Formic acid (based on of farm accou		0,160	NOK/FEm		3,15, process		Kg/decare
81		Electrisity for drying hay	1,000	0,15	NOK/FEm		3,15, process	45	Kg/decare
82		Plastic for cover of silage	1,000		NOK/cubic m		3,15, process	68	Kg/decare
83		Feeding units for silage		140	FEm/cubic m	,	4,10, process		Kg/decare
84						Lime, proces	s 6,7	400	Kg/decare
85		B.7. PRICES FOR SEED AND HE							
86		Rape seed	1,000		NOK/kg				
87		Meadow seed mixture	1,000		NOK/kg				
88		Barley for cover crop	1,000	3,85	NOK/kg	B.8. LABOUR	COEFFICIEN	TS FOR FIE	DWORK
89		Green fodder peas	1,000	7,00	NOK/kg	Ploughing, 2 p	loughshares	0,4	h/decare
90		Ryegrass	1,000		NOK/kg	Harrowing, kor			h/decare
91		Ariane S		91,8	NOK/I	Number of time	es harrowing,	3	
92						Spreading of a	rtificial fertilize	0,1	h/decare
93		B.9. COSTS FOR BAILING GRASS		-		Prepare storag	e and harvest	0,44	h/decare
94		Energy per bale		135	FEm/Bale	Preparing stor			h/decare
95		Baling of grass costs including net		58	NOK/bale	Preparing stor			h/decare
96		Wrapping of plastic around a bale		50		Harvesting for			h/decare
97		Dry matter per metric ton of predried	grass	760		Harvesting for			h/decare
98			-			Grass cutting (h/decare
99						Raking and co			h/decare
100		B.10 GOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT	FOR ANIMALS	AND RELIEF	PAYMENTS	Raking and co			h/decare
101		Support per cow, less than 16 cows			NOK/animal	Transport of si			h/decare
		Support per calf/young cattle on Jan	1.		NOK/animal	Loading, trans			h/ton dry m
102		,,,,				Covering of bu			h/decare
102				4007	NOK/animal	Pasture renova			h/decare
102 103		Support for relief marginal value for	COWS	1/07					
102 103 104		Support for relief, marginal value, for Marginal support for relief, calf/youn							
102 103 104 105		Support for relief, marginal value, for Marginal support for relief, calf/youn			NOK/animal	Seeding grass	and rape see	0,2	h/decare
102 103 104 105 106		Marginal support for relief, calf/youn				Seeding grass Spraying agair	and rape see	0,2 0,1	h/decare h/decare
102 103 104 105				437		Seeding grass	and rape see	0,2 0,1 0,5	h/decare

The rates for governmental premiums for animals and support for relief payments are displayed in the cells D101–105 of part B.10 of the model. These are standard rates for the whole country. The prices for live animals in D108–09 are taken from the annual editions of the handbook (NILF, 2000).

Standard energy yields for different plant processes are shown in the cells H51–60. The standard yield per decare for the first cut of meadows for silage is 242 FEm, the second cut is assumed to be 118 FEm, making a total yield of 360 FEm if the meadow is cut twice. If the farmer chooses to pasture the re-growth after a first cutting the net pasture yield is assumed to be 40 FEm whereas for hay and silage the loss rates are 10% (from field to mouth) and 15% for green fodder as displayed in H61–62. The losses are subtracted from the standard values

to calculate net production that is utilized by the animals. The energy yields are calibrated (in Part H) by multiplying with a yield calibration factor, specific for each farm, to reach the values transferred to constraint 14 for indoor feed and constraint 20 for pasture feed in the LP matrix. When calibrating the model the number of times the meadow can be cut per season also has to be decided. The yield for the third (or more) cutting is similar to the second and it is assumed that fertilizer has to be applied before each cut.

Further in the cells H65–84 is displayed the quantities of seed and fertilizers including manure used for different plant processes in the LP model. Manure can be used on open fields, i.e. green fodder or meadow replacement area, or in limited amounts spread on meadows. In the latter case manure is spread only once a year on the same field and will replace one treatment with artificial fertilizer on that field. The prices for lime and fertilizers are displayed in the cells D72–77 and updated with separate price indexes. Normally farmers also have to pay for freight, but different discounts have to be subtracted and it is assumed these factors outweigh each other. Lime is assumed added in the year of meadow replacement only. The cost and quantity data are used for computing the costs of the crops processes that are transferred to the objective function with a negative sign.

The cells H89–109 show coefficients for labour use in h per decare (or tons of yield or manure) for different kinds of field work operations. The coefficients are worked out for the tractors and the equipments above. Most field operations like ploughing are conducted only once, however harrowing before sowing is conducted three times. The coefficients have been put together based on information in Handbook of farm planning (NILF, 2000). The coefficient for loading, transport and unloading of pre-dried grass for haymaking is based on Kiel and Sørland (1982). The data are used for computing the labour input coefficients of the crops processes (see plant crop calculations). The field work has to be done during the summer season.

4 Machinery, milk production and labour

The calculations of the hourly <u>machinery costs</u> for each kind of machinery are conducted in part C.1 of the model. The actual costs of machinery will depend on their use which is determined in part E. Starting with the tractors the cost per h is composed of costs of fuel and lube oil plus maintenance of the tractor. Use of diesel is 8.5 and 5.5 l per h respectively (C119–C120), and this is multiplied with the price of diesel and adding 3.7 percent for lube, hydraulic oil and grease (D119–D120). The used coefficients for fuel consumption are based on Mangerud, (1984) assuming a similar rate of flow for the tractor for all kinds of equipment.

Based on the replacement values of farm tractor and equipment the coefficients for purchased maintenance are displayed in column F below and the farmers work share of the replacement

costs in column G. Cost and work with maintenance and repair of the different kinds of tractor equipment is calculated based on studies by Hegrenes (1985), Svensson (1987), Larsson (1983) and Lønnemark (1971). These authors estimate costs of maintenance as depending on repurchase value (i.e. a current list price) (in 1000 NOK) and h of use for each kind of equipment.

	А	В	С	D	E	Е	G	Н	
11/		Model where intermediate CALCU	-	=	<u> </u>		0		
		ACHINERY FUEL, VARIABLE COST					DMACHINE	RY	
116			O AND WORK	Increment		Maintenance co			Maintenance
117			Fuel consum.			Total per 1000			by farmer
118			I diesel/h*	& grease	value	replacem. val.E			per h of use
119		Tractor 92 hp with load equipment	8,50		406050		0.2		1,053
120		Tractor 52 hp with loading equipm.	5,50	1,037	265442	0,091	0,2	60	1,053
121		Trailer 10 tonn			42000	0,07	n.e.	95	0,001
122		Trailer wagon			61670	0,28	0,25	13	0,054
123		KVERNLAND reversible 2 share plo	ough		74890	0,94	0,31	141	0,110
124		KONGSKILDE harrow 2,1 m workin	g width		24100	1,02	0,33		0,143
125		Reel, 4 m working width			30900	0,07	n.e.	95	0,001
126		BØGEBALLE centrifugal fertilizer di	stributor		27891	0,7	n.e.	112	0,167
127		Manure pump, HLR2			51210	0,48	n.e.	85	0,074
128		Manure tank wagon, MOI GB 6			65100	0,44	0,25	114	0,074
129		HARDI tractorsprayer			12180	2,7	0,67	103	0,249
130		Seeding machine CK4000, grass			50490	0,48	0,47	105	0,185
131		JFROTOR grass mover 190 cm			35870	0,65	n.e.	116	0,126
132		BYE side revert rake, 240 cm			13300	1,6	n.e.	114	0,148
133		Crosscut rake			4000	1,02	0,33	95	0,024
134		JF RAP harvester grass (130cm)	**		41000	0,66	0,33	110	0,092

Purchased maintenance amounts to 0.091 NOK per 1000 NOK of repurchase value for both tractors. The smallest tractor will still have the lowest cost of maintenance due to a lower repurchase value. Together with fuel consumption, fuel price and the amendment for lube oil and grease the cost per h are calculated for each kind of machinery (i.e. tractor with equipment) in column H. Farmers work with maintenance of farm machinery is displayed in column I. For each h use of the tractor 0.053 h is added for maintenance and if he uses the tractor for one h together with e.g. a plough another 0.110 h of maintenance work for the plough has to be added. Farmers work with machinery maintenance is added to the field works in the calculations of farm crops in part D of the model.

In part C.2 of the model a <u>seasonal distribution of the farm milk production</u> has been calculated. A standard lactation curve with 6307 kg of milk is defined in the LP Model sheet and displayed below. The standard lactation curve is assuming 365 days between each calving (366 days in leap years)² and the dry period is 61 (62) days before each calving. The curve is adjusted so that it matches the actual milk production on each farm by multiplying with a milk yield calibration factor shown in line 143 below. The calculated milk production is distributed over the months in accordance with calving time and the standard lactation curve. In the outlay below calving take place on January 10 2000 and there is no milk production in December and very little in November. The total raw milk production will be 108 kg. As the cows will be in the dry period during much of the summer there is very little milk production on pasture.

 $^{^{2}}$ The data format in Excel works with 366 days in leap years.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L	М
140	C.2. MONTHL	Y AND PASTURE MILK PRODUCT	TION DEPENDIN	IG ON TIME O	F CALVING				-		-		
141													
142			Kg milk per I o			1,025					d milk produ	ction on mo	onths
143			Milk production			1,077			Calving time				
144			Standard curve	Days (+1 dry d	Augmented		Fetus produ	ction feed	Distribution	Total milk	Pasture	Days with	Dry
145			kg perday	in leap years)			FEm/day			production		milk	days
146		Raw mik	20	5	21,5		0		January	569			
147		Milk	25	15	26,9		0	0	February	843		29	0
148		Milk	27	31	29,1	901	0		March	834			
149		Milk	25	31	26,9		0	0	April	773		30	0
150		Milk	24		25,8		0		May	763			
151		Milk	23		24,8		0	-	June	674	0		0
152		Milk	21	30	22,6		0		July	631	610		0
153		Milk	19	31	20,5		0		August	579			
154		Milk	17,5		18,8		0		September	515			
155		Milk	16		17,2		0		October	496	-		0
156		Milk	15		16,1	501	0,3		November	112			22
157		Milk	13	10	14,0		0,5		December	0	0	0	31
158		Dry	0	20			1,5						
159		Dry	0	31	0,0		2,2			6790	1448	304	62
160		Dry (+1day leap years)	0	11	0,0		2,5	230					
161		Sum production, kg per cow	6307	366		6790							

The milk production figure in each month is used to calculate monthly distribution of feed requirement for milk production by the cows in part F.1 (Chapter 7). In addition the time of calving determines when the cows will need extra feed for growth of the calf foetus. The feed requirement for growth of calf foetus amounts to 2.5, 1.5 and 0.5 FEm and 230, 100, and 50 gram AAT per day in the three last months before calving and is computed in the columns G and H. The monthly feed requirements are further used to calculate the distribution of the feed requirements on the indoor and pasture periods in part F.2 which is transferred to the LP tableau.

Regarding total <u>labour input</u> a regression between labour use in h per day for animal husbandry and the number of animals for the indoor period is displayed in the cells C214–C217 and for the grazing period in the cells E214–E217. The regressions have been calculated by Jerven (1985). In the cells C219–C220 a similar regression has been carried out for other farm work measured in h per year, based on the same source. Other farm work comprises different tasks that are not related to either field works or work with animals. Most important are the maintenance of farm buildings and administration (e.g. accounting) of the farm. The other farm work has been regressed on farm land area with 276.4 h/year as constant and 0.98 h per decare of farm land (H214–H215).

The constant coefficients for daily work with animals are multiplied with the number of days in each period and summarized in D220 for the grazing period and in C220 for the whole year i.e. the indoors and grazing periods together. Other farm work is calculated in line 221, and the sums are displayed in the cells C222 and D222. The marginal labour force is the total labour force minus the constant and the numbers in these cells are therefore transferred to the constraint 10 of process 30 for the grazing period and constraint 11 for the whole year. Regarding the coefficients for daily marginal labour input per cow, calf and other cattle in the indoor and grazing periods are multiplied with length of each season and transferred to their respective cells in the LP tableau for the constraints 10 and 11.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	К	L	М
210	C.3. STANDA	RD LABOUR INPUT FOR HUSBAN	DRY ANIMALS	AND OTHER F	ARM WORK	•						•	
211													
212		Regression between work with anim	als (i.e. care an	d feeding) and r	number of cow	s, calves and off	ner cattles						
213		Work with animals	Indoor feeding	period	Grazing period	d	Other farm	work					
214		Constant	4,59	h/day	3,66	h/day	Constant	276,4	h/year				
215		Calves 0-1 years	0,02	h/day	0,04	h/day	Area factor		h/decare				
216		Other cattle 1-2 years	0,01	h/day	0,03	h/day	Other farm w	ork encompa	ass maintena	ince of building	ngs, administ	ration etc.	
217		Cows	0,14	h/day	0,10	h/day							
218													
219			Total year	Grazing									
220		Work with animals	1605,5	278,4	h/year								
221		Other work	512,3	155,8	h/year	Maintenanc an	d repair of far	m machinery	is computed	on the crop	processes, th	ne factor used	d here is for r
222			2117,8	434,2	h/year								
223													
224		Minimum hired labour to obtain relie	f payments				160	h/year					

In order to qualify for relief payment from the government farmers have to hire relief work for an amount equal to the extra relief payments granted for the first 8 cows (cell D39). The number of h is calculated in cell G224 as the minimum relief payment divided by the hourly wage for hired farm work and amounts to 142 h/year for the farm above. This is transferred to constraint 13 for process 30 of the LP.

5 Farm crops

The crop processes are calculated in the lines 228–365. <u>There are 11 crop processes with</u> <u>numbers from 1 to 11 in the LP tableau</u>. Of these, 10 have been worked out for cultivated farm land and one for uncultivated pasture land. The processes 1 and 2 are for meadows harvested for silage winter feed only, either with (1), or without (2) use of manure. Farmers will use the manure produced by the animals in the indoor period and purchase fertilizers to cover for the rest of their fertilizer need. The yield is the same for both processes and both can be harvested traditionally or bailed. Bailing is worked out in the columns I, J and K on the right hand side and leads to higher costs and lower farm labour input compared to a traditional harvesting in the columns E, F and G. The costs in the cells E239 and I239 are weighted together based on the shares of the meadow that is bailed and transferred to the LP objective function for process 1 and E343 and I343 for process 2. The work requirement coefficients for the year and for the pasture period are transferred to the LP-tableau for constraint 10 and 11 for the respective processes.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
228	Part D. PL	ANT CROP CALCI	JLATIONS		_												_
229	Plant crop	process nr. 1 SIL	SIL		1 cut	2 cut	Sum						Commen	it, docume	entation e	etc.	
	Energy valu	ie of yield, Fem No	. 1		201,2	98,1	299,2										
231										Bailing of							
232				Quantity	Costs	Tot work tin	Summer	vork	Costs	Tot work	Summer w	ork					
		mp out and load, to		5,0		0,27											
		and spreading, tons			-18	0,20											
		PK22-2-12, kg/daa			-138	0,24	0,24										
		torage and harvest				0,2			-43					or with gra			
		age (cut+transp.+ur			-160	1,68			-67					of grass, I			
		essing and cover of	silage, 1 per	son using tra		1,49	1,49		-239					plastic, intr		losing wo	k
	Sum proce	ss 1 No. 1			-446	4,1	4,1		-524	2,6	2,6	Sum sila	ge harves	ting with	bales		
240																	
		SILSIL Without us															
		PK22-2-12, kg/daa		90,0		0,24											
243	Sum proce	ess 2 No. 1			-467	3,6	3,6		-546	2,1	2,1	Sum sila	ge harves	ting with	bales		

A similar procedure is applied for the other crop processes. Process number 3 and 4 are worked out for areas that are harvested once and the re-growth is pastured. If the pasturing takes place in the spring before the cutting, the yields are usually a little higher and the feed quality will also be slightly different. However this can be regulated by a shorter grazing

period during the spring. The costs and labour input are assumed to be the same for spring and late summer grazing. The results are transferred to the LP tableau for process 3 and 4.

_	٨	В	0	D	E	F	C	Ц		-	K		N4	N	0	D	0
	A	5	C	D	E	Г	G	п		J	ĸ	L	IVI	IN	0	F	Q
		process nr. 3 SILI	PASTURE														
246					1 cut	Past2cut	Sum										
	Energy valu	ie of yield, FEm sila	ige + pasturii	ng second cu	201,2	36,9	238,1										
248																	
249				Quantity	Costs	Tot work tim	Summerv	vork									
250	Manure. pu	mp out and load, to	ons	3,0	-11	0,16	0,16										
251	Transport a	ind spreading, tons			-11	0,12	0,12										
252	NPK 22-2-1	2, + limenitrate kg/	0,0	-138	0,24	0,24											
		torage and harvest		nt		0,165	0,165										
		ige (cut+transp.+ur			-124	0,96	0,96		-21	0,24					ssmower)		
		ssing and cover of				0,85	0,85		-33	0,38					hay rake o		
		nsport and unload r	aw grass for	direct feeding	-69	0,72	0,72		-161	0,33					ransport c	osing woi	rk
257	Sum proce	ss 3			-419	3,2	3,2		-445	2,2	2,2	Sum sila	ge harves	sting with	bales		
258																	
259																	
260	Process nr	. 4 SILPASTURE \	Nithout use	of animal m	anure												
261	NPK 18,3,1	5+NPK 21,4,10	58,0	32,0	-198	0,24	0,24										
262	Sum proce	ss 4			-457	2,9	2,9		-483	1,9	1,9	Sum sila	ge harves	sting with	bales		

Hay making is troublesome in Northern Norway and a barn dryer is required. Farmers may decide to purchase hay or do without it. However, calves should preferably have some hay in their feed ration and this has to be supplied one way or another. The process 5 below is worked out for hay making in combination with spring or autumn pasture. The grass is cut, turned and corded into a string, loaded and transported to the barn where it is levelled manually.

	A	В	0	D	F	F	0	ц		K	1	М
	A	U	U	U	E	F	G	п	J	N	L	IVI
		ocess nr. 5 HAYPASTURE										
265					1 cut	Past2cut	Sum					
		of yield, FEm of hay pasturing second	d cut		163,2	40,7	203,9					
267 268												
268				Quantity	Costs	Tot work time	Summer wo	rk				
		o out and load, tons		3,0	-12	0,16	0,16					
270	Transport and	spreading, tons			-11	0,12	0,12					
271	NPK 22-2-12,	+ limenitrate kg/daa no weed spray	60,0	0,0	-139	0,24	0,24					
		age and harvesting equipment				0,44	0,44					
273	Preparation+c	ut(drum mover with stem cracker)			-22	0,24	0,24					
274	Turn, cording	of grass in a string			-68	0,77	0,77					
275	Loading, trans	port and unloading, crosscutrake			-25	0,30	0,30					
		he dryer manual + hayfork (electricity			-24	0,28	0,28					
		port and unload raw grass for direct fe	eeding		-70	0,72	0,72					
278	Sum process	5			-370	3,3	3,3					

Haymaking is quite labour intensive compared to silage and process 5 can only provide the minimum amounts of hay required for constraint 18. Haymaking has decreased in Norwegian agriculture in recent years, in particular since silage bales became common. Silage bales are often based on pre-dried grass and depending on water content can be used almost as hay, and for instance fed in un-insulated farm buildings or outdoor in the snow during the winter. Some farmers still prefer hay in particular for horses and calves. The rainfall is quite high in Northern Norway and haymaking is of little importance compared to silage.

Process 6 is worked out for area that is replaced in the early fall after taking one cut of grass first. This way it is possible to establish a new meadow right after the first cut before the snow. An alternative assuming baling of the grass is to the right. The area has to be ploughed, harrowed three times and lime is added before sowing with grass or a meadow seed mixture. Manure can be added before (or after) ploughing and farmers would use as much as possible to avoid spreading manure on meadows. The default value is 4 tons of manure per decare.

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
281	Plant proc	ess nr. 6 EARLYA	UTUMNSEE	DING													
282					1 cut		Sum										
283	Energy valu	e of yield, FEm			166,2		166,2										
284																	
285				Quantity	Costs	Tot work tim	Summerv	vork									
286	Manure. pu	mp out and load, to	ons	4,0	-15	0,22	0,22										
		ind spreading, tons			-15	0,16	0,16										-
		ige (cut+transp.+ur			-118	0,96	0,96										-
		ssing and cover of	silage, 1 per	son using tra		0,85											
		2 ploughshare			-53	0,47	0,47										
	Harrowing 3				-60	0,63	0,63										-
	Lime 3 spre			400,0		0,37	0,37										
		transport stones			-43	0,53	0,53		-21	0,24	0,24	Grass cu	itting (tract	or with gra	ssmower)		
		h meadowseed, 2.		2,5		0,25	0,25		-33	0,38				of grass, l			
		ainst weeds (0.31)	Ariane S/daa	0,3		0,12			-133	0,33				plastic, inti		losing wor	k
296	Sum proce	ss 6			-882	4,5	4,5		-886	3,7	3,7	Sum sila	ige harves	sting with	bales		

Process 7 is worked out for replacement of the meadow in the springtime. The field is ploughed during springtime and the necessary fieldworks conducted before sowing with a mixture of grass and clover seeds. Costs and work with lime and manure are added and a once time spraying against weeds is assumed. Process 8 is worked out for spring replacement for area that has been exposed to winter damage. It is assumed a little simpler field work procedure to restore such areas without use of lime and manure. A small harvest of silage is possible the same fall and worked out with traditional harvesting or bales for both processes.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
299	Process n	. 7 SPRINGSEEDI	NG with ani	nal manure													
300					Yield 1-2 cut		Sum										
	Energy valu	ie of yield, FEm			172,2		172,2										
302																	
303					Costs	Tot work tim	Summerv	vork									
		s omitted due to us															
		mp out and load, to		5,0		0,27	0,27										
		ind spreading, tons			-18	0,20	0,20										
	Harrowing 3				-60	0,63											
	Lime 3 spre			400,0		0,37	0,37										
		transport stones			-43	0,53	0,53										
		h meadowseed, 2.		2,5		0,25	0,25										
		peas+barley	4,0	7,0		0,25	0,25										
		ainst weeds (0.3 I		0,3		0,12	0,12		-21	0,24				or with gra			
		ige (cut+transp.+ur			-119	0,96			-33	0,38				of grass,			
		ssing and cover of	silage		-66	0,85			-138	0,33				plastic, inti		osing wo	rk
315	Sum proce	ss 7			-948	4,4	4,4		-955	3,6	3,6	Sum sila	ge harves	ting with	bales		
316																	
317																	
		. 8 SPRINGSEEDI	NG without	animal manu													
		2 ploughshare			-53	0,47	0,47					-	L	L			
		5 + limenitrate kg/c	45,0	0,0		0,12	0,12		-21	0,24				or with gra			
	Harrowing				-40	0,42	0,42		-33	0,38				of grass, I			
		as process 7 exce	ptlime		-507	2,95			-138	0,33				plastic, intr		osing wo	rk
323	Sum proce	ss 8			-698	4,0	4,0		-706	3,1	3,1	Sum sila	ge harves	ting with	bales		

Process 9 and 10 are for green fodder. Farmers may use manure when establishing green fodder and sowed with ryegrass that is either pastured or fed directly in the barn (zero grazing). The labour requirement is assumed equal, in case of zero grazing, extra time to feed the cattle may be outweighed by time saved in pasturing for instance arranging strip grazing.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
326	Plant crop	process nr. 9 GRI	ENFODP Gre	en fodder fo	or grazing or z	ero grazing											
327					Yield		Sum										
328	Energy valu	ue of yield, FEm			273,2		273,2										
329																	
330				Quantity		Tot work tin		vork									
		2 ploughshare			-53	0,47	0,47										
		mp out and load, to		5,0		0,27	0,27										
		ind spreading, tons			-18	0,20	0,20										
	Limenitrate			47,0		0,12	0,12										
	Harrowing 3				-60	0,63	0,63										
	Seeding wit			4,0		0,25	0,25										
		age (cut+transp.+ur	load+return)	same when	-92	0,96											
	Sum proce	ess 9			-401	2,9	2,9										
339																	
	Plant proc	ess nr. 10 GRENF	ODI Green fo				-										
341					Winterfeed y	ield	Sum										
342	Energy value	ue of yield, FEm			212,5		212,5										
343				0 11	<u> </u>		0										
	Disustains (ala un ha ha na		Quantity	Costs -53	Tot work time		/ork									
		2 ploughshare mp out and load, to		5,0		0,47	0,47										
		ind spreading, tons		5,0	-19	0,27	0,27										
	Limenitrate			47,0		0,20	0,20										
		, ky 3 times no lime or s	tone gatherir		-76	0,12	0,12										
		th barley/oats 15 kg				0,03			-21	0.24	0.24	Grass out	tting (tract	or with gras	semower)		
		age (cut+transp.+ur		5	0,25			-33	0,24				of grass, h		n tractor		
		essing and cover of			-126 -66	0,85			-170	0,33				plastic, intr			'k
	Sum proce				-558	3.7	3,7		-591	2,9				silage ha			

The process 10 is for green fodder to be used as winterfeed. The only difference compared with process 9 is that the area is sowed with a mixture consisting of barley, oats and peas to be harvested for silage or bailed. The final process 11 is worked out for permanent pasture on farmland. On such area farmers will add artificial fertilizers three times during the season and also have to do up the pasture between each grazing.

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	К	L	M
357	Plant process	s nr. 11 PASTPERM Pasturing on p	ermanent past	ture land									
358					Pasture yield			Comment,	documentati	on etc.			
359	Energy value	of yield, FEm			231,2		231,2	Net pasture	yield				
360													
361				Quantity	Costs	Tot work time	Summer wo	rk					
		limenitrate kg/daa no weed spraying	68,0	26,0	-180	0,37	0,37	3 spreads.					
363	Pasture clean	asture clean up and supplementary work			-62	0,69	0,69						
364	Sum Costs with	th pasture			-242	1,1	1,1						

Support for area and cultural landscape is added in the processes 12 and 13 with 30 percent lower values for permanent pastures.

6 Feed quality and purchase of feed

The protein content of silage, green fodder, hay and pasture in kg of AAT per FEm is displayed in line 369 below and used when calculating the amount of protein in constraint 15 and 21 of the LP tableau for the indoor and pasture periods respectively. In line 370 is shown feed concentration with rates from 0.69 to 0.96 FEm per kg of dry matter. These are used to calculate the amount of roughage dry matter for the different roughage feed and pasture processes. The constraints 16 and 17 of the LP-model are the minimum and maximum amount of roughage dry matter for the indoor period and the constraints 21 and 22 are for the pasture period.

Purchased hay or bales of silage is assumed to have the same quality as the home grown feed. Farmers sometimes buy bales of silage, however the silage quality is varying and there are no regular price quotations for silage bales. Another option for a farmer is to purchase grass "on the root" and organize harvesting himself. He will then pay a price for the raw grass and has a control of the grass quality. Assuming he pays the costs of fertilizer for the raw grass and that harvesting costs are the same as on his own fields, the price per bale of silage is calculated in cell D372 and his labour input per bale is calculated in cell E372. The values are transferred to process 14 of the LP-tableau. The price of hay in cell G371 is per 100 kg and is transferred to process 15. The hay is produced further south in Norway (e.g. in Trøndelag) or imported from Northern Sweden or from Finland. It is also possible to replace hay with (ammonia treated) straw from southern Norway, using the numbers in column H.

Two kinds of concentrate feed are available during the winter, one with low protein content and another with high protein content. The cheapest alternative is also available as supplementary feed during the grazing period together with a medium protein content alternative. In addition farmers purchase a special concentrate for calves. There are five processes for purchase of concentrate feed in the LP model, numbered from 16 to 20.

	٨	В	C	D	F	E	G	н		1	× V	1
	A		U	D	E	F	G			J	n.	L
		QUALITY AND PRICES FOR PURC										
367	E.1. ROUGHA	GE FEED		GRASS SILAG	SE CUT	GREEN FODD	ER		PASTURI		PERMANEN	
368				EARLY	FALL LATE	SILAGE	HAY	STRAW	MEADOW	GREEN FO	INFIELD PA	STURE
369		PROTEIN CONTENT KG AAT/FEm		0,088	0,087	0,095	0,091	0	0,076	0,083	0,076	
370		FEED CONCENTRATION, FEm/KG	DRY MATTER	0,81	0,84	0,7	0,76	0,69	0,93	0,93	0,96	
371		MARKET PRICE KR PER 100FEm		FEm			355	315				
372		PURCHASING SILAGE BALES	NOK/Bale	-220,61	0,86	Hoursper bale						
373												
374	E.2. PURCHA	SED RUMINANT CONCENTRATE	FEED									
375				97 High	105 Low	Extra High	Calf feed					
376		FEED CONCENTRATION, FEm/100		93	100	95	96					
377		PROTEIN CONTENT KG AAT/FEm		0,097	0,105	0,140	0,094					
378		KGAAT		9,021	10,5	13,3	9,024					
379												
380		MARKET PRICE KR PER 100 KG		272,0	268,0	308,0	295,0					

The energy and protein content of the concentrate feeds are displayed in the lines 376 and 377 which are transferred to the LP matrix. There are no feeding requirements regarding dry matter content for concentrates. The prices of the different kinds of concentrates in line 380 are transferred to the objective function of the processes 16–20. The prices of concentrates are for bulk deliveries (which are not always the case) and do not include minerals, freight and losses which can vary between farms. These costs are considered when calibrating the model.

7 Feed uptake by animals

The basic assumptions for calculation of feed intake by cows are displayed in the lines 385–420 in part F.1 of the model. The unit is a milking cow with 1.0 calf per year as displayed in C386. The share of male calves is 0.51 (G386) and the default rate of replacement is 0.35 (G387). These values are transferred to the LP tableau for the constraints 26 and 27. The raw milk period lasts for 5 days and raw milk production is subtracted from total milk production to arrive at sellable milk production which is distributed over months in line 392.

The default live weight of the milking cows is 550 kg (C401) requiring 4.8 FEm of energy and 367 g AAT for maintenance per day (F402–403) according to the Handbook of Farm planning (NILF, 2000). The cows are a little heavier than 2 years old heifers (485 kg, see cell K449 later), and the difference has to be accounted for. It takes 3 FEm, each with 90 gram of AAT, to add one kg of live weight for cows according to the norms and the figures are displayed in I402–403. The number of cow years is calculated as the inverse of the replacement rate and the growth is distributed over the cow years to arrive at daily requirement for growth in the cells J402–403. The feed requirement for milk production is 0.45 FEm and 45 g AAT per kg of milk, displayed in the cells M402–403.

On the basis of the calving time and distribution of milk production the energy and protein requirement for milk and foetus production is calculated for each month of the indoor and pasture periods in the lines 405–413. Together with maintenance (the feed for maintenance will be the same all days) and growth requirements the total energy requirement of the milking cows is calculated in the cells D417–D418 and protein in E417–E418 for the indoor and pasture periods. These numbers go to the LP-tableau for the milking cows feed requirement i.e. the constraint 14–15 and 20–21 for process 21 (milking cows). The maximum amounts of roughage dry matter are 10 kg a day (also depending on cows weights) and

calculated in the cells J417–J418 for the indoors and pasture periods. The minimum amounts are 7.2 kg/day indoors and 2.7 kg/day on pasture and the sums are calculated in the cells K417–K418. The maximum and minimum amounts of roughage dry matter are transferred to the constraints 16–17 and 22–23 for process 21.

Large amounts of low fibre roughage in green fodder may distort the digestion for the milking cows. This will generally not be a problem indoors where farmers have different kinds of roughage, however on pasture the farmer may have to limit green fodder uptake by cows and other cattle. In cell M418 is calculated a maximum amount of low fibre roughage on pasture based on a maximum of 5 FEm of green fodder daily and 35 days of such pasture. The total amount is 175 FEm (cell O418) and it is transferred to the constraint 24 for process 21 (milking cows). As for other animals this is taken care of with a maximum 17.6 percent green fodder share of total pasture feed uptake in cell M420, the same share as for milking cows.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		J	К	L	М	N	0
384	Part F. NUT	RIENT REQUIREMENT IN MILK AND	MEAT PRO	DUCTION	•										
385	F.1 NUTRIE	ENT REQUIREMENT FOR COWS			Date of calvi	ng:	10.jan				Stochastic	vield	6992,8		
386	Number of	calves per calving	1,00		Share of mal	e calves	0,51				Milk yield	rawmilk	6682		
387	Raw milk p	roduction, days	5		Replacement	t rate	0,35								
388			Jan.	Febr.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Okt.	Nov.	Dec.	Sum
389		Days	31	29	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31	30	31	366,0
390		Total milkproduction incl raw milk	569,5	842,9	834,3	772,9	763,3	673,9	630,8	579,2	514,6	496,3	112,0	0,0	6789,6
391		Pasture milk prod incl raw milk	0,0		0,0		0,0		610,4	579,2	258,4	0,0	0,0		
392		Total milkproduction excl raw milk	461,8	842,9	834,3	772,9	763,3	673,9	630,8	579,2	514,6	496,3	112,0		6682
393		Pasture milk prod excl raw milk	0,0		0,0		0,0		610,4	579,2	258,4	0,0	0,0		
		Stochastic sellable milk yield	483,3		873,1	808,9	798,8		21,4	0,0	268,1	519,4	117,2		
		Stochastic sellable milk yield	0,0		0,0		0,0		638,8		270,4	0,0	0,0		
		with milk production	22	29	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31	8		
		ys with milkproduction	0		0		0	0	30	31	15	0	0		
		Dry days	9	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	22		62
	Pasture	Dry days	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
400					kg live weight		L	Gain in wei							
401		Living weight for cows, kg			Per kg value				Pr kg w.ga		feed per da				
402			Energy Protein	1,11	0,01		FEm/day AAT g/da		3 270		FEm/day AAT g/day			FEm/kg n AAT, g/kg	
403 404			Protein	81,42	0,52	367,3	AAT g/da	Protein	270	16,/5	AAT g/day	Protein	45	AAT, g/kg	тік
	FF	Milk on pasture	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	287,5	272.8	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	682
		Milk on pasture	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0			27275.1	121,7	0,0	0,0		68187.6
		Milk indoors	268.2	397.0	392.9	364.0	359.4	317.4	20745,5		12167,3	233.7	52.7	0,0	2516
		Milk indoors	26818.8	39695.9	39290.3	36400.5	35944.3		963.2		12065.9		5272.5		
409	, tri grino.		20010,0		00200,0	00.00,0	00011,0	0.1.00,1	000,2	0,0	.2000,0	2001 1,1	02.12,0	0,0	20.000
	FEm/Mont	Fetus on pasture	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0
		Fetus on pasture	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0	0	0	Ő
		Fetus indoors	20	0	0		0	0.0	0,0	0,0	0,0	10.7	38.3		138
		Fetus indoors	1840	0	0	0	0	0,0	0	0	66,7	1066,7	2773,3	5873,3	11620
414	<u> </u>		1	-			-	.,.		-			,,=		
415			Total nutrie	nt requireme	ent for cows		Uptake of	froughage	Dry Matte	r			Max	low fibre r	roughage
416			Days	FEm	AAT		Maxim kg	Min. share	Min kg/da	Max kg	Min kg		*FEm/da	Days	Total
417		Indoors	290	4097,9	374,6		10		7,0		2024		3	331	993
418		Pasture	76	1060,5	97,4		10		5,8	760	442		5	35	175
419														1	
1419															

The energy and protein requirements for growing animals are calculated in the parts F.2–F.6 below and distributed on the indoor and pasture periods depending on time of calving and length of the grazing period. In general the grazing period is 1–2 weeks longer for young cattle than for cows and an extended period is calculated in the cells F426–G426 in the layout below. In particular castrates and heifers can utilize the cheaper pasture for an extended period. However, there are restrictions on letting bulls out on pasture after an age of one year. In the model neither baby calves nor intermediate calves will use any pasture no matter when they are born.

The default living weight of offspring is 38 kg for females and 42 kg for males and living weights are calculated for baby calves that are sold for feeding after weaning at 4–5 weeks or as intermediate calves for slaughtering at an age of 5–6 months. The daily growth rate for baby calves is displayed in the cell I430 and age when weaned in J430. Regarding the feed requirements for baby calves and intermediate calves it is assumed that all the raw milk

produced during the first days is given to the calf and this requires that some of the raw milk is acidulated. In addition another 70 kg of milk and 4 Fem of concentrate and 3 FEm of hay is required for baby calves, this is displayed in the cells K431–K433 and transferred to the LP-tableau for process 23 (baby calves).

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1		К	1
425		CALVES AND INTERMEDIATE CA		Spring		Pasture perio	-					
426		Extended pasture period for heifers	, young cattle	3	10	28.06.2000	25.09.2000					
427												
428			Raw m	ilk, kg	Calf cor	ncentrate, kg			Growth	Days when		
		Days	per day	Sum	per day	Sum			kg/day	weaned.		
430 431	1	7	4,5	31,5			Baby calf, 4	l-5 weeks	0,5	32		
431	2	7	4,5	31,5			Calf concen	calf, 4-5 weeks 0, oncentrate, minimum			4	FEm
432		7	4,5	31,5			Calf concentrate, minimum Milk in addition to rawmilk, min.o			antities	70	Kg
433		7		5,5	3	21	Minimum qu	alf concentrate, minimum			3	FEm
434	5	7			5	35						
435 436	6	7			5	35						
436	7	7			5	35			Growth	Days when		
437		7			5	35			kg/day	slaughtered	ł	
438 439	9	7			5		Intermediat					
		7			3		Calf concent					FEm
440	11	7			3	21	Milk in addit	iton to rawr	nilk for fee	ding/sucklin	870	
441	12-22	70				12	Minimum qu	antities of	hay		35	FEm
442		Sum		100		250	Other conce	ntrate, min	imum quar	ntities	0	FEm

The growth rate of intermediate calves is displayed in the cell I438 above. This is used to calculate living weight at slaughter and also the slaughter weights in part G. The feed requirements for intermediate calves are shown in K439–K442. Intermediate calves would require a substantial amount of milk in addition to the raw milk. The calculated feed requirements are transferred to the LP tableau for process 24 (intermediate calves).

For heifers the feed requirements are calculated in the lines 445–471 below. Heifers have their first calf at an age of 24 months so the calculations sum up the feed requirement from birth to that age. If calves that are to become heifers are born during the summer they are released on pasture after the baby calf period of 4–5 weeks, and heifers may thus have some grazing in three seasons. Heifers born in January as in the example farm here have two full grazing seasons before their first calf.

-		_		-		_	-								-	-		_	-
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S
		ENT REQUIREMENT FOR HEIF	ERS																L
	Age, month	s	0	3		9	12	15		21		Mated a	at 15 mor	nths, hei	fer weight	t includes	fetus		
	Age, days		0	91,26		274	365	456	548	639									
	Growth, kg/	day		0,6		0,7	0,5	0,7	0,6	0,6	0,6								
	Weight, kg		38	93	148	211	257	321	376	430	485								
	FEm/day		1,6	2,6		3,6	3,8	4,3	4,9	6	8,7								
	Sum FEm		0	192	452	757	1095	1465	1884	2382	3052	2243,6	Indoor fe	eding F	em (Total	l minus p	asture)		
452	AAT, g/day		180	280	300	340	365	400	440	520	770								
453	Sum AAT, g	1	0	20988	47450	76650	108816	143719	182044	225844	284700	210,0	Indoor fe	eding, I	g protein	(Total m	inus pasture	e)	
454			Jan.	Febr.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.			Dec.					
455	Accumulate	ed day	31	60	91	121	152	182	213	244	274	305	335	366					
	Age of heife	er at end of month	21	50	81	111	142	172	203	234	264	295	325	356					
457			Unadju	FIRST	GRAZIN	G SEAS	ON	SECON	D GRAZI	NG SEAS	SON	THIRD	GRAZIN	G SEAS	ON				
458			age, da	Age, da	FEm/da	AAT/g da	ау	Age, day	FEm/day	AAT/g da	av	Age, da	FEm/da	AAT/g d	lay				
459	At pasture r	elease,	170	170	2,76	277,62		536	5,63	506,22		0	1,43	171,44					
460	At pasture e	end	259	259	3,46	333,21		625	6,33	561,81		0	1,43	171,44	808,7	Pasture	FEm		
461	Difference/a	average		89,00	3,11	305,42		89	5,98	534,02		0	0	0	74709,9	Pasture	AAT, gram		
462																			
463			Minimu	First ye	Total fo	r	Minimun	Second	Total for										
464			share	Kg/day	the per	iod	share	Kg/day	the period	bd									
465	Max rougha	ge dry matter, indoors		5	1380			9	2484		3864,0	Max rou	ughage D	M indoo	or feeding				
		ge dry matter, indoors	0,5	1,8	505,1		0,5	3,2	879,9		1385,0	Min rou	ghage D	M indoo	r feeding,	50 % of	the indoor fe	ed from roug	ahage
		ge dry matter for pasture period		5	445			10	890,0				Jghage D						í ř
		ge dry matter for pasture period	0.5	1.6	144.1		0.5	3.1	277.1							of the pas	ture feed fro	om roughage	
		s of hay (also for bulls and castra	ates)		25						,		0.0						
		of calf concentrate			210														
		period of heifers (+raw milk)			250														

The daily weight gains for heifers, depending on age, are displayed in line 448 and live weights at different ages are calculated in line 449. The energy requirements per day at different ages are displayed in line 450 and the accumulated energy requirements in line 451. The protein requirements per day follow in the line 452 and are accumulated in line 453. The accumulated feed requirements from birth to 24 months are distributed on the indoor

(L451and L453) and (O461 and O462) the pasture period. The numbers are transferred to the constraints 14–15 and 20–21 in the LP-tableau for process 22 (heifers). The maximum and minimum dry matter requirements are calculated in the lines 465–468 and the sums in the cells K465–K468 are transferred to the constraints 16–17 and 22–23 of this process. The minimum feed requirements that have to be hay, special calf concentrate or milk supplied in the calf period is displayed in the cells E469–471. The hay for the calf period is also necessary for bulls and for castrates.

Similar calculations are conducted for 18 months old bulls in the lines 473–495. Bulls only use pasture the first year due to difficulties to keep them fenced and to gather them. From the table it is also possible to calculate feeding requirements for 15 months old bulls. However, to reach slaughter maturity at that age bulls would normally require a stronger feeding the first half year, starting with the growth rates and feeding requirements for the intermediate calf.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	Ν
473	F.4. BULLS	ON STRONG FE	EDING, 18 M	ONTHS										
474	Age, month	S	0	3	6	9	12	15	18					
475	Age, days		0	91,26	183	274	365	456	548					
476	Growth, kg/	day		0,6	0,8	1,1	1,2	1,2	1,1					
477	Weight, kg		42	97	170	270	380	489	590					
	FEm/day		1,7	2,7	3,4	5	6,6	8,4	8,6					
	AAT, g/day		200	300	340	480	580	700	720					
	Sum FEm		0	201	479		1392	2076	2852		Sum indoor			
	Sum AAT, g]	0	22813		89425	137788	196188	260975	234,4	Sum indoor	kg protei	1	
482					FEm/day	AAT/g day								
	At pasture r			295	- 1 -						months bulk	S		
484	At pasture e	end		346	6,2	547,77		298,1	Sum pastu	ire FEm				
	Difference/a	average		51	5,85	521,82		26613,0	Sum pastu	ire g prote	ein			
486														
487			Minimum	First year	Total for		Second ye							
488			share	Kg/day	the period		Kg/day	the period						
489	Max rougha	ge dry matter, indo	ors	6	1884		9	1643,0		3527,0	Max rougha	age DM in	door	
490	Min roughag	ge dry matter, indo	0,3	1,3	405,0		3,0	540,7		945,7	Min rougha	ge DM inc	bor	
		ge dry matter for p		6	306		No second	year pastu	re for bulls	306,0	Max rougha	age DM pa	isture	
	Min roughag	ge dry matter for pa	0,3	1,8	93,2		No second	year pastu	re for bulls	93,2	Min rougha	ge DM pa	sture	
493														
		y of calf concentrat	te	210										
495	Milk for calf	(+raw milk)		325										

Another option would be to feed the bulls a little weaker and keep them until they are 24 months before slaughtering. They would then become somewhat larger, and the calculations for this process are shown in the lines 497–519 of the layout below.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
		ON WEAK FEEDING, 24 MONTHS												
498	Age, month	S	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24			
499	Age, days		0	91,26	183	274	365	456	548	639	730			
500	Growth, kg/	day		0,6	0,6	0,8	0,9	1	1	0,9	0,8			
501	Weight, kg	•	42	97	152	225	307	398	489	571	644			
502	FEm/day		1,7	2,7	3	4,1	5,2	6,3	7,5	7,9	8,1			
503	AAT, g/day		200	290	300	400	450	500	600	625	650			
504	Sum FEm		0	201	461	785	1209	1734	2363	3066	3796		Sum indoor F	
	Sum AAT, g	g	0	22356	49275	81213	119994	163338	213525	269416	327588	296,5	Sum indoor k	g protein
506				Age, days	FEm/day	AAT/g da	ay							
	At pasture r			170	3,3	321,3					4 months bi	ills		
	At pasture e			259	4,2	378,2				sture FEm				
	Difference/a	average		89	3,7	349,7		31127,6	Sum pas	sture g pro	otein			
510														
511			Minimum	First year	Total for		Second	Total for						
512			share	Kg/day	the perior	Ł	Kg/day	the period						
513	Max rougha	age dry matter, indoors		6	1656		10	3650			5306,0	Max roug	hage DM indo	or feeding
514	Min rougha	ge dry matter, indoors	0,5	2,0	541,6		5,8	2111,8			2653,4	Min rough	nage DM indo	or feeding
515	Max rougha	age dry matter for pasture period	Same as indoor	6	534		No secor	nd year pas	ture for b	ulls	534,0	Max roug	hage DM past	ure
	Min rougha	ge dry matter for pasture period	Same as indoor	2,0	174,7		No secor	nd year pas	ture for b	ulls	174,7	Min rough	nage DM past	ure
517														
518	Min Quantit	y of calf concentrate		210										
519	Milk for calf	(+raw milk)		250										

The slow growing bulls still can not be held on pasture for more than the first season, but would otherwise utilize the roughage feed resources somewhat better than the faster growing

alternative. For better utilization of ample resources of pasture an alternative with castrates has been worked out in the lines 521–542 below.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	1	к		м	N
521		ATES. 2 PASTURE SEASONS	U U				0			J	K	L	IVI	
	Age, month		0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24			
		3	0	J		274	365		548	639	730			
	Age, days		0	91,26				456						
	Growth, kg/	day		0,6		0,6	0,5	0,6	0,6	0,5	0,8			
	Weight, kg		42	97	152	206	252		361	407	480			
	FEm/day		1,6	2,7	3	3,5	4	4,6	5,2	5,6	6,8			
	AAT, g/day		200	290	300	325	350	400	440	450	550			
528	Sum FEm		0	194	450	743	1080	1467	1908		2952	2183,3	Sum indoor F	Em
529	Sum AAT, g	1		22050	48600	76725	107100	140850	178650	218700	263700	196,0	Sum indoor	kg protein
530			Age, days	FEm/day	AAT/g da	Age, day	FEm/day	AAT/g day	Age, day	FEm/day	AAT/g day			
531	At pasture r	elease	170	2,9	287,61	536	5,2	437,00	0	1,8	218,22	768,7	Sum pasture	FEm
	At pasture e		259	3,4	323,94	625	5,8	473,33	0	1,8	218,22	67723,9	Sum pasture	protein
	Difference/a	average	89	3,1	305,8	89	5,5		0	0	0			
534			Minimum	First year	Total for			Second year	Total for					
535			share	Kg/day	the period	t t		Kg/day	the period	bd				
536	Max rougha	ge dry matter, indoors		6	1656			9	2484			4140,0	Max roughag	e DM indoor
537	Min rougha	ge dry matter, indoors	0,5	1,8	493,7			3,1	854,0			1347,7	Min roughage	DM indoor
538	Max rougha	ge dry matter for pasture period		6	534			11	979			1513,0	Max roughag	e DM pasture
539	Min rougha	ge dry matter for pasture period	0,5	1,6	146,0			2,9	254,4			400,4	Min roughage	DMr pasture
540														
541	Min Quantit	y of calf concentrate		210										
542	Milk for calf	(+raw milk)		250										

Castrates can be kept on pastures for two seasons and can grow fairly well on medium or low quality pastures. However their weights at 24 months are not comparable to that of bulls and in some cases they may have to be kept for up to three years before slaughtered. Castrates are used in rather extensive cattle farming systems as they will require less concentrate feed than bulls and more land such as unfertilized pastures on forest land, mountainous or other outfield land.

8 **Receipts from animal production**

The gross margins for milking cows are calculated in the cells F551–F557 below. The income comes from milk, meat and governmental support and the recorded costs of different animal expenses and other items of use per animal are subtracted based on the recorded values. The amounts of cow's meat depend on the living weight of cows, slaughter percentage and replacement rate for the milking cows. The default slaughter percentage in cell J550 is 52 percent, i.e. the carcass weight of cows is 52 percent of live weight at slaughter. The premiums are calculated per cow and consist of a general premium per animal and a premium per animal for relief payments that are balanced with a requirement to hire labour in constraint 13. The sum of receipts in cell F557 is transferred to the objective function of process 21.

	Δ	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		.1	к		М
548	Part G REC	CEIPTS FROM AN					Ŭ			Ū	N.		141
549					1			1			1		
	G.1. RECEI	PTS FOR MILKIN	GCOWS	January 1				Slaughter	percent, co	0.52			
551		Milk Receipts per o				25053		Cidugittei			work h per	cow.	
552		Slaughtered (disca			100,1	3617					Pasture h		
553		Other items of use			100,1	- 1259		Hours per	COW.	47.0	7.6		
554		Different expences				-2021		riours per		-,0	7,0		
555		Support for relief, r		1207		1207				Per	Indoor		
556		Support per anima				3330				month	period		
557		Sum recipts (object				29927		Manure pr	oduction, to		13,5		
558				1		LOOLI		manare pr			10,0		
559													
	G2 BABY	CALVES 4-5 WE	Support Lan	Living	Price/kg					Work ho	urs per calf		
561	G.Z. DADI	CALVES 4-5 WEI	1 or July 31		liv. weight	Receipts					Pasture pe	riod	
562		Sale of the calf	1 OF JULY 31	56,04	23,96	1342,8		Hours per	calf	0,55	0,00		
563		Support per calf or	660	50,04	23,90	0.00		nouis per		0,00	0,00		
564		Milk for feed	000			-267							
565		Sum recipts (object	tive function	ļ		1075,7		Manura nr	oduction, to		0.1	Indoors al	
566		Sum recipis (objec		<u></u>		1075,7		manure pr		115	0,1	inuouis a	
	C 3 PECEI	PTS FOR INTERM		Slaughter	Price								
568	G.J. RECEI	FISFORINIER		Weight	per kg	Receipts							
569		Sale of intermedia	to colf	113,22	рег ку 38,46			Slaughter	norcont	0,51			
569		Sale of intermedia Support per calf or			30,40	4354,4		Slaughier	percent		urs per calf		
570		Support for relief, r				437				Total	Pasture se		
						437		Linua inte	l .		Pasture se 0,00		
572 573		Extra support from Milk for feed	marketing b	0		-3320		Hours inte	rmediate ca	2,60	0,00		
574						-3320						ladaana al	
575		Sum recipts (object)		1472		Manure pr	oduction, to	ons	0,6	Indoors al	
						Dessints							
		PTS FOR HEIFER		DNI 0-24 MOR	1115)	Receipts							
577 578		Receipts for own p				0					urs per heife		
579		Support per anima	ii (Jani), 2 ye			-100		Listen 04		Total 12.52	Pasture se 5.3		
		Insemination						Heifer, 24	montins	1-	- 1 -		
580		Support for relief, 2	2years			874		N 4	a du ati an da	Total 2 y			
581		Milk for feed				-954			oduction, to		12,0		
582		Sum recipts (object	tive function) apart from ti	ne animai valu	1140,1		6 tons first	year and 1	U tons the	e second ye	ar.	
583													
584				Slaughter	Price	_							
		PTS FOR 18 MON	THS OLD B		per kg	Receipts		Slaughter	percent	0,52			
586		Sale of bull		308,2	24,50						ull 18 mont		
587		Support per anima				660				Total	Pasture se		
588		Support for relief, r	marginal valu	ie		437		BULL 18M	IONTHS	9,5	3,6		
589		Milk for feed	l			- 1240							
590		Sum recipts (object	tive function)		7407				Total 18			
591					-				oduction, to		9,5		
592				Slaughter	Price			6 tons first	year and 5	tons the	second half	f year.	
		PTS FOR 24 MON	THS OLD B		per kg	Receipts							
594		Sale of bull		333,6	24,50						L		
595		Support per anima				1320		Slaughter	percent	0,52			
596		Support for relief, r	marginal valu	le		874					ull 24 mont		
597		Milk for feed				-954				Total	Pasture se		
598		Sum recipts (object	tive function)		9412		BULL24M	ONTHS	10,6	3,6		
599													
600				Slaughter	Price				oduction, to		1-	Indoor se	econd yea
601	G.6. RECEI	PTS FROM CAST	RATES, 24 I	weight	per kg	Receipts		6 tons first	year and 1	0 tons the	e second ye	ar.	
001		Sale of castrate		246,9	24,50								
602			(len1) mer	ginal value		1320		Slaughter	percent	0,51			
		Support per anima	ii (Jani), mai										
602		Support per anima Support for relief, r				874				Work h/c	astrate 24 r	nonths	
602 603						874 -954				Work h/c Total	astrate 24 r Pasture se		
602 603 604		Support for relief, r	narginal valu					Castrate 2	4 months			ason	

The marginal work requirement in number of h per cow is calculated in the cells J553–K553 for the indoor and pasture periods based on coefficients for marginal work requirement in C217 above and length of the indoor and grazing periods. Production of manure during the indoor period is calculated in K557. The model calculates number of months indoor and only the production of manure per month has to be specified.

Baby calves are sold alive at an age of 4–5 weeks. A governmental support premium will be paid out based on number of calves on January 1 and July 31, with half the rate for each date. The premium will only apply for baby calves born in December (or June). For intermediate calves the premium will be paid out unless they are born and sold between those dates. The meat marketing board may pay an extra premium for intermediate calves displayed in cell D572, however this is normally worked into the price. The costs of the milk used during the calf period, based on the amounts of milk fed and the milk price have to be subtracted. The net receipts are transferred to the objective function of the baby calf and intermediate calf

processes. Based on the daily labour requirement and age the marginal work requirement is calculated in cell J562 for baby calves and in J572 for intermediate calves and transferred to the constraints 10 and 11 for the two processes.

Similar calculations have been conducted for heifers, bulls and castrates. Meat production by young cattle, bulls and castrates are depending on the weights at slaughtering and slaughter percentages. The numbers for heifers and castrates are quite similar whereas meat production for bulls depends on the intensity of the feeding. The figures for income from the different animal processes are transferred to the objective function for the processes of the LP tableau below. Manure production of has been calculated for each of the animals considered and is distributed on the indoor and pasture periods in accordance with the length of the grazing period.

9 Model calibration

In part H the meadow and pasture yield modification factor, freight and losses of concentrate, and labour efficiency have all been calibrated to the recorded farm average values for the years 1999, 2000 and 2001. The three years average will normally take out most of the year to year variation. In the calibration run the purchase of roughage feed is set equal to the recorded value, and the yield factor is determined so that average yields equals recorded averages. The calibration factor for costs of concentrates is increased when the LP-solution show less use of concentrate and a higher income than recorded. The number of animals older than one year to be slaughtered also has to be equal to or larger than the recorded numbers. Finally, the labour efficiency factor is altered so that the use of labour matches the recorded values for input of family and hired labour. As for the meadow replacement rate, the share of silage that is bailed and the number of times spreading fertilizer and cutting meadows have not been altered in the calibration, but determined on the basis of information from the farms or used standard values.

The average recorded values are shown in the lines 611–622 for column F, G and H while the same results of the calibration runs are reproduced in the columns J, K and L. The comparison is conducted for <u>farm profit</u>, <u>farm area</u>, <u>roughage yields</u>, <u>purchases of concentrates and roughage</u>, <u>number of cows and other animals</u>, <u>and use of hired and family labour</u>. The model values come fairly close to the recorded, however it is not possible nor necessary to have a 100 percent match, some discrepancies can be accepted.

The yields of leys and pastures are calibrated by multiplying the standard yield of each meadow and pasture process in the LP-tableau with the calibration parameter in line 622. For the farms shown here the model yields have become quite similar to the average yields using a calibration factor of 0.89, 1.03 and 1.19 for the three farms. The default or standard value of the meadow replacement rate in line 624 is 6 percent, resulting in about 16 years as average

duration of life for the meadow. The rate has been kept for the three farms. The number of cuts of silage and the share of the silage that is bailed are based on info from the farms and have not been altered. In Northern Norway farmers usually cut the meadow one or two times during the summer, in lowland areas in southern Norway they may cut from three to five times. Farmers fertilize the meadow a month or so before each cutting. By selecting a higher number of cuts the number of h for fertilizing and grass harvesting is adjusted accordingly. Harvesting is worked out with either a traditional cutting or with bailing and the percentage used for bailing is displayed in line 626, based on information from the farm. It is possible to assume that farmers have 100 percent of the meadow made into bales of silage as this technique is becoming more dominant in recent years.

The number of cows in the model solution is slightly higher than the recorded numbers for the farms A and B and the number of slaughtered animals younger than one year is also higher on farm A and slaughtered animals older than 1 year is larger than the recorded on farm B. On farm C the number of cows and young cattle matched quite well. In order to balance the model use of concentrate to the recorded purchase of concentrates on the farms, between 40 and 80 percent has to be added for losses and freight, minerals etc. This calibration is shown in line 627. The quality of the roughage feed (determined in part E1) is assumed to be similar on all farms, and has not been altered in the model. Generally farmers have to buy more concentrates if the quality of the roughage feed is poorer than assumed.

The actual or recorded input of labour hours may be quite different from one farm to another, depending on efficiency. Labour use is calibrated in line 628 by multiplying with a coefficient of labour efficiency. The labour efficiency rate is lower than 1 for all farms, varying from 0.56 to 0.93. Presumably the efficiency of hired workers would vary as much as that of the farmers. Hiring of labour matched well on Farm A, but had to be lowered on Farm B and increased on Farm C to ensure that the labour requirement is in line with amount of time recorded.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L
608	Part H. Wh	ere some output f	rom the LP i	s compared	with the farm	n data to calil	orate the r	nodel				
609						Averag	e for 1999	-2001		Calibrate	d solutions	
	LP REPRO			FARMDATA		Farm A	Farm B	Farm C		Farm A	Farm B	Farm C
611		Cultivated area, d	ecare	244	673	244	252	198		244	252	198
612	25	Pasture area, dec	are	25	674	25	-	-		25	0	0
613 614	23	Green Fodder are	a, decare	23	675	23	41	36		23	41	36
614	265	Recorded yield, F	Em/decare	263	676	263	269	379		265	269	381
615	639	Hired labor, h		639	677	639	1 340	425		639	938	510
616 617	2452	Family labor, h		2467	678	2 467	2 439	3 000		2452	2439	2990
617	14,5	Cows, animal yea	rs	13,5	679	13,5	13,2	18,5		14,5	14,1	18,8
618	6,7	Animals >1 year s	laughtered	6,7	680		7,3	9,0		6,7	9,2	9,0
618 619 620	2,7	Animals <1 year s	laughtered	1,0	681	1,0	1,0	3,0		2,7	0,0	3,2
620	-11414	Purchase of roug		11414	682	11 414	25 348	21 847		-11414	-25348	-21847
621	155001	Purchase of conc	entrate	158717	683	158 717	122 041	171 481		155001	123526	168086
621 622	118853	Farm profit		124259	684	124 259	3 435	264 860		118853	2494	227957
623		Yield modification f	factor for farn	0,86	685	0,86	1,03	1,20	Modified v	alue		
624		Normal replacement	nt rate for ma	0,06	686	0,06	0,06	0,06	Standard	value		
625		Number of times s	preading ferti		687	2	2	1	Based on	info from	the farms	
626		Share of silage tha			688	0,20	0,40	0,06	Based on	info from	the farms	
627		Concentrate, losse	s and freight	1,80	689	1,80	1,50		Modified v			
628		Labor Efficiency de	epending on f	0,56	690	0,56	0,76	0,93	Modified v	alue		

With these changes the recorded farm profit is somewhat higher than in the model. This may be due to e.g. other farm incomes (minor), but these issues have not been considered.

10 Stochastic variables

The following eight variables have been made stochastic: Greenfodder area, Fodder yield, Milk per cow, Leys yield, Interest costs, Milk price, Meat price, Fuel costs and the prices for concentrates. The data for the stochastic variables for the period are displayed in the cells C638–J652 below.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К		М	Ν
634	Л	0	0	Farm	L.		0			5	N	L	IVI	11
		STORY OF THE R												
636		Farm A		1										
637		farm	Greenfodde	Fodder Vield	Milk/cow KG	Levs Yield K	Interest Co	Meat Price	Milk Price	Fuel Cos	Rum conc	Rum con	Ruminant	Calf feed
638		1991	20.0	175.5	6 485.8	245.5	0.050	47.873	4.784	5.00	367	361	572	405
639		1992	10.0	85,8	6 641,1	237,1	0.050	46.067	4,642	5,20	328	325		322
640		1993	23,0	199,1	6 535,9	294,6	0.050	41.893	4,447	5,30	321	322		318
641		1994	7,0	171,4	5 890,4	286,1	0.080	48.609	4,593	5,40	307	311	392	309
642		1995	100,0	133,0	6 477,8	354,2	0.070	35.875	4,486	5,60	279	287	317	289
643		1996	40,0	245,0	6 562,5	238,5	0,065	43,914	4,333	5,80	275	279	310	303
644		1997	40,0	245,0	6 198,4	232,3	0,060	37,416	4,256	6,00	275	278	295	300
645		1998	45,0	248,9	6 565,9	223,3	0,065	36,027	4,416	6,20	270	273	285	295
646		1999	45,0	155,6	6 675,7	284,2	0,070	37,817	4,355	6,40	266	270	339	290
647		2000	25,0	196,0	6 789,6	351,4	0,070	24,534	4,316	6,20	272	268		295
648		2001	10,0	175,5	7 170,7	276,2	0,070	24,998	4,314	6,10	237	274	312	297
649		2002	20,0	85,8	7 060,4	340,5	0,070	27,871	4,700	6,00	240	288		299
650		2003	20,0	245,0	7 176,1	275,9	0,050	36,318	4,114	6,20	242	278		306
651		2004	45,0	196,0	6 307,3	307,9	0,040	27,976	4,407	6,30	242	272		296
652		2005	23,0	155,6	7 515,3	298,9	0,030	28,435	3,735	7,30	236	275	321	299
653		Year of Forecast	for Yields											
654		2009												
655		Standard no. Hec												
656					Milk/cow KG									
657		Means	31,5	180,9	6670,2	283,1	0,1	36,4	4,4	5,9	277,1	290,7	348,0	308,2
658														
	I.2. CALCU	LATING TRENDS									_	_		
660					Milk/cow KG								Ruminant	
661		Intercept	224,19762	, .	-108177,103	-6615,444	1,62919		- ,	-224,55	16239,73	9574,3	/ -	7443,91
662		Slope	-0,0964286		57,4811278		-0,00079	-1,52298	-0,03729	0,11536	-7,989286			-3,57143
663		R-Square	0,0003475	0,0109653	0,37577277	0,129393	0,065394			0,7939	0,85743			0,31751
664		F-Ratio	0,0045194	0,144129		1	0,909599		9,744093	50,0761	78,18297	19,2708		6,04777
665		Prob(F)	0,9474243	0,7103397					0,008103	8,3E-06	7,35E-07	0,00073		0,02871
666		S.E.	1,4343825	3,2782465		2,48396839	0,000824	-,		0,0163	0,903549	1		1,45226
667		T-Test	-0,0672265	0,3796433	2,79745415	1,39000384	-0,95373	-5,3702	-3,12155	7,07644				-2,45922
668		Prob(T)	0,947352	0,7099069	0,01425155	0,18623442	0,356399	9,88E-05	0,007504	5,5E-06	4,19E-07	0,00062	0,00494	0,02755

It is possible to have more (or fewer) stochastic variables following the same principles as shown here. For each variable the means and standard deviation in the period has been calculated below followed by intercept, slope and R-square and F-ratio of a linear trend curve for each variable. For green fodder area also the minimum value has been calculated. Next follows calculation of output for an empirical distribution of 15 observations as percent deviation from mean for the stochastic variables:

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	Н			K		М	N	0
670 I .	3. OUTPUT FOR EMPI				TIONS AS PE			FROM M	EAN		-			Ŭ
671	1			ibutions with 1										
672	2		eviations from			1								
673	3	Obs.	Greenfodde	Fodder Yield	Milk/cow KG	Levs Yield	Interest Co	Meat Price	Milk Price	Fuel Cost	Rum, cor	Rum con	Ruminant	Calf feed
674	4	1	-11.5	-5.4	-184.4	-37.6		11,499		-0.93		70.2667	224	96.8
675	5	2	-21,5	-95,1	-29,1	-46,0		9,692	0,249	-0,73		34,2667	74	13,8
676	6	3		18,3	-134,3	11,5	-0,009	5,518	0,054	-0,63		31,2667	57	9.8
677	7	4		-9.4	-779,8	3,0	0.021	12,234	0.199	-0.53		20,2667	44	0.8
678	8	5		-47,9	-192.4	71.1	0.011	-0,500	0.093	-0.33		-3,7333	-31	-19.2
679	9	6		64,1	-107,7	-44,6	0,006	7,540	-0,061	-0,13	-2,1333	-11,733	-38	-5,2
680	10	7		64,1	-471,8	-50,8	0,001	1,041	-0,137	0,07	-2,1333	-12,733	-53	-8,2
681	11	8		68,0	-104,3	-59,8	0,006	-0,348	0,022	0,27	-7,1333	-17,733	-63	-13,2
682	12	9		-25,3	5,5	1,1	0,011	1,442	-0,038	0,47	-11,133	-20,733	-9	-18,2
683	13	10		15,1	119.4	68,3	0,011	-11.841	-0,078	0,27	-5,1333	-22.733	-40	-13,2
684	14	11		-5,4	500,5	-6,9	0,011	-11,377	-0,080	0,17	-40,133	-16,733	-36	-11,2
685	15	12		-95,1	390,2	57,4	0,011	-8,504	0,307	0,07	-37,133	-2,7333	-30	-9,2
686	16	13		64,1	505,9	-7,2	-0,009	-0,057	-0,279	0,27	-35,133	-12,733	-33	-2,2
687	17	14		15,1	-362,9	24,8	-0,019	-8,399	0,014	0,37	-35,133	-18,733	-39	-12,2
688	18	15	-8,5	-25,3	845,1	15,8	-0,029	-7,939	-0,658	1,37	-41,133	-15,733	-27	-9,2
689	19	Mean	31,5	180,9	6 670,2	283,1	0,059	36,375	4,393	5,93	277,133	290,733	348	308,2
690	20	St.Dev.	22,348353	51,3500534	405,1315	41,47057	0,013275	7,925516	0,24613	0,559365	37,2771	25,9781	71,757	27,3842
691	21	C.V.	70,872156	28,3894456	6,0737622	14,64865	22,37338	21,78844	5,60261	9,427495	13,451	8,93537	20,6198	8,8852
692	22	Autocorrelat			0,17653779		0,620481	0,619154	0,07425	0,858064		0,92266		0,72661
693	23													
694	24			Mean as a Pe										
695	25	Obs.		Fodder Yield	Milk/cow KG	Leys Yield	Interest Co	Meat Price	Milk Price	Fuel Cost	Rum. con			Calf feed
696	26		-0,3657505	-0,02972882	-0,027638	-0,13277	-0,1573	0,316112	0,08907	-0,157303	0,32427	0,24169	0,64368	0,31408
697	27	2		-0,5256452	-0,0043572	-0,16254		0,266444		-0,123596	0,18355	0,11786	0,21264	0,01110
698	28	3	-0,2706131	0,10091466		0,040692		0,151703		-0,106742		0,10754	0,16379	0,0318
699	29	4	-0,7780127	-0,05223816	-0,1169104	0,010554		0,336342		-0,089888	0,10777	0,06971	0,12644	0,0026
700	30	5		-0,26469477	-0,028849			-0,01375		-0,05618		-0,0128		-0,0623
701	31	6		0,35450963			0,095506			-0,022472		-0,0404		-0,0169
702	32	7	0,2001000	0,35450963			0,011236			0,011236		-0,0438	-0,1523	-0,0266
703	33	8		0,37600978		-0,21139				0,044944		-0,061	-0,18103	-0,0428
704	34	9		-0,13999389			0,179775	0,03964		0,078652		-0,0713		-0,0591
705	35	10		0,0836077			0,179775	-0,32552		0,044944		-0,0782		-0,0428
706	36	11		-0,02972882			0,179775			0,02809		-0,0576		-0,0363
707	37	12		-0,5256452						0,011236		-0,0094		-0,0299
708	38	13	-,	0,35450963		-0,02532		-0,00157		0,044944		-0,0438		-0,0071
709	39	14		0,0836077		0,087475		-0,2309	0,00324	0,061798		-0,0644		-0,0396
710	40	15	-0,2706131	-0,13999389	0,12670135	0,055965	-0,49438	-0,21827	-0,1498	0,230337	-0,1484	-0,0541	-0,07759	-0,0299
711	41													
712	42	Correlation N												
713	43			Fodder Yield									Ruminant	
714	44	Greenfodde	1 .	0,07911255							-0,1428		-0,33827	
715	45	Fodder Yield		1	-0,1888478	-0,43006	-0,04652			0,164932	-0,1198		-0,28233	
716	46	Milk/cow KG			1	0,21276				0,592562		-0,3124		-0,1564
717	47	Leys Yield K				1	0,153641			0,209988		-0,2518		-0,3457
718	48	Interest Cos	1				1	0,071282		-0,284306		-0,1532		-0,2656
719	49	Meat Price						1	0,45368	-0,716019				
720	50 51	Milk Price							1	-0,830012		0,62702		
721 722		Fuel Cost		00 55 (4 00 1-	- 0 70(Dash)					1	-0,836	-0,8009		
	52 53			93 FEm/100 k w (100 fem/kg							1	0,90043	0,8673	
723 724	53					et voare)					-	1	0,95341	
724	54	Calffeed	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I)5 Extra High I	Line pellets fil	or yedisj			-		-		1	0,93384
725	56	Call leed												1
720	56	Sorted Dovir	ations from M	ean as a Perc	ent of Mean									
728	57	F(x)		Fodder Yield		Love Viold	Interest Co	Most Price	Milk Driv	Fuel Cost	Rum. con	Pum con	Ruminant	Calf feed
728 729	58	F(X) 0		-0.52569776		-0,21141		-0,32555					-0,18105	-0,0623
730	60	0,0333333		-0,52569776						-0,157319		-0,0782		-0,0623
730	61		-0,6828753	-0,5256452	-0,0707298	-0,17949				-0,123596		-0.0782	-0,18103	-0,0623
731	61		-0,6828753		-0,0707298	-0,17949	-0,32584			-0,123596		-0,0713		-0.0591
	62	0,1000007				-0,16254		-0,23379		-0,089888		-0,0644		-0.0428
733 734	64	0,2333333				-0,15764	-0,1573	-0,2309		-0,089888		-0,0576		-0,0428
735	65	0,3666667				-0,13277	-0,1573	-0,21827	-0,0177	-0,022472		-0,0576	-0,1092	-0,0396
736	66	0,3666667		-0.02972882	-0,0201393	-0,02532		-0,01375		0,011236	-0,0402	-0.0438	-0,10345	-0.0363
736	67	0,43333333		-0,02972882		-0,02434 0,003883		-0,00956		0,011236		-0,0438		-0,0299
738				0,0836077		0,003883				0,011236		-0,0438		-0,0299
738	68 69	0,5666667		0,0836077	0,00082028	0,010554				0,02809		-0,0404		-0,0266
739	70	0,0333333	0,2684989	0,0030077			0,179775			0,044944		-0,0128		-0,0169
740	70	0,7666667		0,35450963		0.087/75	0,179775			0,044944		0,0094	0,12644	0,0026
741	71	0,8333333		0,35450963			0,179775			0,044944		0,06971		0,0026
	72	0,8333333		0,35450963			0,179775			0,061798		0,10754		
743	(3)													
743		0.06666666												
743 744 745	74 75	0,9666666		0,37600978	0,12670135	0,251031	0,348315	0.336376	0,08907	0,230337 0,23036		0,24169 0,24171		

This calculation starts with the unsorted deviations from the mean of each of the variables, e.g. in year 1 fodder area is 20 and the mean for the period is 31.5 and the deviate is -11.5 (displayed in the cell D674). The percent deviations are then calculated in the cells D696– O710 and the correlation matrix between the percent deviations is calculated in the cells D714–O725. The percent deviates are further sorted in intervals in the cells D729–O745.

The correlation matrix can be factored and the factored matrix multiplied with a vector of Independent Standard Normal Deviates (ISNDs) calculated in Simetar by using the NORM function. The product of the multiplication is the Correlated Standard Normal Deviates CSNDs. The CSNDs above are then made into Correlated Uniform Standard Deviations (CUSDs) by using the NORMSDISTRIBUTION function of Excel which returns a standard

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	K	L	М	N	0
748	I.4. FACTO	R THE CORRELA	TION MATRI												
749				Greenfodde	Fodder Yield	Milk/cow KC	Leys Yiel	Interest C	Meat Pric	Milk Pric	Fuel Cost	Rum. cor	Rum con	Ruminan	Calf feed
750		NEW CUSD() Cnt	CUSDs No.	0,3200129	0,05011761	0,93170765	0,997619	0,801203	0,124983	0,46601	0,474878	0,3822	0,53373	0,65825	0,38062
751		% De	eviates No. 1	-0,366	-0,526	0,100	0,251	0,180	-0,283	-0,003	0,011	-0,037	-0,042	-0,058	-0,035
752				Average	Average	Average	Average	NILF	NILF	NILF	NILF	NILF	NILF	NILF	NILF
753		Forecast of Means	s for 2009	31,53	180,88	6670,19	283,10	0,07	36,37	4,39	5,93	277,13	290,73	348,00	308,20
754			Stoch value	20,0	85,8	7337,4	354,2	0,083	26,074	4,381	6,000	266,932	278,506	327,728	297,418
755															
756 757															
757															
758															
759															
760	1.5. THE ST	OCHASTIC VALU			ULATIONS C	OR DIRECTL	Y TO THE	LP							
761		Conversion Coef		NO. 1											
762		Yield of harvest			=\$D\$620*(1+										
763		Yield of Leys gr			=\$D\$620*(1+										
764		Yield of green f	odder, mult	0,44	=\$D\$620*(1+	E751)									
765 766					000000000000000000000000000000000000000	10.750									
766		Interest Cost Fixed Cost			=\$D\$22*(H75 =\$D\$20+D76										
767		Fixed Cost													
768		Milk Price			=\$D\$69*(K75 =\$D\$28*(J754										
769		Milk/Cow		7337,4		4/37 53)									
771		Mink/Cow Meat Price			=F754 =\$D\$29*(\$I\$7	E4/\$1\$752)									
772		Percentage Chan	go in Most F		=\$D\$29 (\$1\$7 =1754/1753	<u>ט+4/φιφ/53)</u>									
773		Green Fodder are			=MAX(0,D754	1-\$C\$655)									
113		Green Fodder are	arestored	13,0	-IVIAA(0,D754	+-909033)									

cumulative normal distribution with an average of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. In line 750 these operations are conducted directly using the CUSD function in Simetar.

It is then possible to calculate empirical percent deviations of the CUSDs by using the empirical (EMP) function in Simetar for each of the CUSDs. This is done in the cells D751– O751, i.e. to calculate the percent deviation for fodder yield in cell E751 we use the sorted fodder yield percent deviations (in E729–E745) and the CUSD for fodder yield (in E750) to arrive at a stochastic value of -0.526 in this example. When this percentage value is added to the trend value 180.88 we arrive at a stochastic value of 85.8 for fodder yield. The stochastic values for each stochastic variable are reproduced in the box in the cells D754–O754. The stochastic values are calculated below and transferred to the LP matrix or for use elsewhere in the model before entering the LP.

11 The LP-matrix

The layout below shows the LP-tableau. There are 28 constraints and 30 processes in the model. The farm profit is calculated in cell C785. Constraint 1 and 2 are equalities meaning that all the farm area has to be utilized while the other processes are inequalities that normally have to be less than a certain value, quite often zero.

The stochastic values in the LP-matrix are coloured in turquoise. The selection of stochastic variables is related to areas where we think are or will become important that is yields, energy, feed costs, interests. Perhaps if one more variable should have been stochastic it would be costs of fertilizers since they are also related to energy costs.

				-			_		_										_	
779	A Part J. The	B Model		С		D	E		F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
779	Fait J. The	LF WOUEI		1				- 1						1		1	1			
781		MODEL FO	R MIL		IEATPRO	DUCTI		ORTHER	N-NORW	2009								_		
781 782		Process cor			0	0		49834	- 3924	-849	0	0	-8809	-3431	-1923	3 (930	60 0	-87743	0
783		Process size			0,0	0,0		154,9	10,9	2,1	0,0	0,0	15,0	10,8	4,2	2 0,0	198	,0 0,0	549,0	0,0
784		Process no.			1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10) 1 [.]	1	12 13	14	15
785		Maximize Fa	arm Pr	367	729															
786																				
787				Silage	e from all c	uts		e and past	ure	Hay+pastu	E. autumn	Spring rep	lacement	Green fodo	ler for	Permn.	Landsc	ape support		
788		0 1 1 10	01.	manure			manure							pasturing					sil.bale	
789 790		Constraint ? Cultivated a		-	344	-364 1		-322 1	-359 1	-395	-796 1	-849 1	-587	-316	-463	-223	3 4	70 220	-159,83	-355
791		Pasture land				1		- 1								· ·	1	-		
792	2	Norm replace	u nemeni		0,06	0,06		0,06	0.06	0,06	-1	-1	-1				1	-		
793		MeadowRep				0,00		0,00	0,00	0,00	-1	-1	-1	1	1					
794		Restoring a			-							1	1	1	1					
795	6	Animal man	ure, to		-5.0			-3,0		-3,0	-4,0	-5,0		-5,0	-5,0					
796		Landscape			-1	-1		-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-0,1	7	1 1		
797	8	Max landsca	ape su	pport 1														1		
798	9	Max landsca	apesu	pport 2														1		
799	10	Work requin	ment s		2,0	1,5		1,2	0,9	3,3	2,6	2,4	1,9	1,8	1,8	3 0,0			0,27	
800		Total work n			2,0	1,5		1,2	0,9	3,3	2,6	2,4	1,9	1,8	1,8	3 0,0	2		0,27	
801 802		Hired labour Minimum hir														-	1			
802		Energy req i			32,9	-482,9		-324.6	-324,6	-262.9	-268,3	-279.4	-279.4		-344,6			-	-135	- 100
804	14	Protein requ	irm in		42,5	-402,5		-28,2	-324,0	-202,9	-200,3	-26,5	-26,5		-344,0		+		-135	- 100
805		Max dry mai			+2,3 96,2	596,2		386,4	386,4	345,9	319,4	399,1	399,1		492,3		1		166,7	131,6
806		Min dry mat			96,2	-596,2		-386,4	-386,4	-345,9	-319,4	-399,1	-399,1		-492,3			_	-166,7	-131,579
807		Dietfeed hay			,					-262,9	J.J,4	500,1			,		1			-100
808		Min concent													l	1	1			
809	20	Energy req (oasture	e, FEm				-59,6	-59,6	-65,6				-443,1		-372,6	6			
810		Protein requ						-5	-5	-5				-36,8		- 30,9				
811	22	Max dry mai	tter pa	sture				64,1	64,1	70,5				476,4		400,				
812		Min dry mat						-64,1	-64,1	-70,5				-476,4		-400,	۲ <u> </u>			
813		Max low fibe												443,1				_		
814		Min replace																_		
815 816		Number of c																-		
817	27	Number bull Milk quota k	a sicasi	lates														-		
017	20																			
			-																	
	R	S	1	т	U	, I,	v	w	x	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	1	AH
779	R	S	I	τI	U	, I	v	W	X	Υ	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG		AH
780	R	s	 	т	U	, ,	v	W	×	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG		АН
780 781				T		-				Y								AG		AH
780 781 782	-8611	-25539		T 0	-2101		16827	646180	6649	Y	0	0 0	51883	0	34818	-55465	-199806	AG		AH
780 781 782 783	-8611 25,1	-25539		0,0	-2101 5,5	1 - 5	16827 40,2	646180 18,4	0 6649	Y	0 0,0 0	0 0	51883 9,4	0	34818 2,6	-55465 496,6	-199806	AG		AH
780 781 782 783 784	-8611	-25539			-2101	1 - 5	16827	646180	6649	Y	0 0,0 0	0 0	51883	0	34818	-55465	-199806	AG		AH
780 781 782 783 784 785	-8611 25,1	-25539		0,0	-2101 5,5	1 - 5	16827 40,2	646180 18,4	0 6649	Y	0 0,0 0	0 0	51883 9,4	0	34818 2,6	-55465 496,6	-199806	AG		AH
780 781 782 783 784 785 786	-8611 25,1 16	-25539 57,7 17	7	0,0	-2101 5,5	 5 9	16827 40,2 20	646180 18,4 2	0 6649 4 6,4 22	Y	0 0,0 0 23 2	0 0 0 0,0 4 25	51883 9,4	0 0,0 27	34818 2,6 28	-55465 496,6 29	-199806 1,0 30			AH
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 786 787 788	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows	6649 6,4 22 Replm.	Y Calf 4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts	0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	AG Right hand side		
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 785 786 787 788 788	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc	-25539 57,7 17 1000r	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure	Calf	16827 40,2 20	646180 18,4 2 Milk	6649 6,4 22 Replm.	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf	0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts	51883 9,4 26 Bull	0 0,0 27 Castrate	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed	Right hand side	Coinstra	int
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 786 787 788 789 790	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows	6649 6,4 22 Replm.	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts	0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,0	Coinstra	int ed area,chng
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 788 789 790 791	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows	6649 6,4 22 Replm.	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts	0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,0 0,0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture	int ed area,chng land
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 788 789 790 791	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows	6649 6,4 22 Replm.	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts	0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,C 0,C	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re	int ed area,chng land placem. bala
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 791 792 793	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows	6649 6,4 22 Replm.	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts	0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 C C	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re	int ed area,chng land placem. bala Repl/greenf
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows 3516/	Replm. heifer	4-5 wks	0 0,0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0 36	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorii	int ed area,chng land placem. bala Repl/green ng area
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows	Replm. heifer	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,C 0,C C C 386 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Coinstra Cultivate Norm re Meadow Restorii Animal r	int ed area,chng land placem. bala Repl/greenf ng area manure, tons
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Milk cows 3516/	Replm. heifer	4-5 wks	0 0,0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorii Animal r Landsca Max lan	int ed area,chng land placem, bala Repl/greenf ng area manure, tons ups support siscape support
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Mik cows 3516 15,	Replm. heifer 1034	4-5 wks	0 0,0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536	0 0.0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392 13,7	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0,0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Neadow Restorii Animal r Landscz Max lan Max lan	int ed area,chng land placem.ball Repl/greenf ng area manure, tons pe support dscape sup fscape sup
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 2 Milk cows 3516 15, 15,	Replm. heifer 3 13,7	4-5 wks	0 0,0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 0 1,5	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536 15,2	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392 13,7	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 2,5	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -112	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806	Right hand side 198.0 0.0,0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorin Animal r Landsca Max lan Max lan Wark re	int da area,chng land placem. bala Repl/green. Mg area nanure, tons pe support dscape supp dscape supp quiment sus
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 796 797 798 800	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2 Mik cows 3516 15,	Repim. heifer 1034	4-5 wks	0 0,0 23 2 Calf 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 0 1,5	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536 15,2	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392 13,7	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534	-55465 496,6 29 Work -112 -112	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806	Right hand side 198,0,0 0,0 0,0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorin Animal r Landscz Max lan Work re Total wc	int ad area,chng land placem. bala Map/greenf ng area nanure, tons pe support dscape supp dscape supp dscape supp dscape supp dscape supp dscape supp
780 781 782 783 784 785 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 798 800 800	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97	-25539 57,7 17 Joor Nr. 140	Conc	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20	64618(18, 2' Mik cows 3516 15, 15, 3, 45,	Replm. heifer 1034	4-5 wks	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 8,0	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536 15,2 15,2	0 0,0,0 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0	34818 2,6 28 3 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198,0 0,0,0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorina Animal r Landsce Max lan Max lan M	int d area,chng land placem, balaid Repl/greenf Mg area anarure, tons pes support dscape sup gairment suu kr req. famil our maximu
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 790 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 801 802	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25535 57, 17 17 1000 17 17 1000 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20 	64618 18, 2 Milk cows 3516 15, 3, 45, 10,	6649 6,4 6,4 6,4 22 Repim. heifer 1034 5 2,5 0 10,0 8 7,8	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0 0,0 0,5 2 3 3	0 0 0 0 0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 8,0 9 7,8	51883 9,4 26 8ull 24 mnts 5536 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8	0 0,0,0 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8	34818 2.6 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8	-55465 496,6 29 Work -112 -112	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0,0 0 0 0 0 200 200 200 200 200 2	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorii Animal r Landscz Max lan Max lan Work re Total wc Hired lai Minimur	int darea,chng land placem. bal: Rep/greenf garea manure, tons manure, tons manure, tons manure, tons manure, tons scape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape familia scape supp discape familia scape supp discape discape di discape di
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 79	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	-96	64618(18, 2 Milk cows 3516 15, 15, 15, 10, 5016, 5016, 5016, 5016, 5016, 10,	Replm. heifer 1034 3 13,7 5 2,5 10,0 8 7,8 2504,6	4-5 wks	0 0,0 0,0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0 0,5 2 3 7 28	0 0 0 0 0,0 0 0,0 8 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 803 9 7,8 5 2553,4	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8 3554,2	0 0,0 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2442,7	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2504,6	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Norm re Naxian Maxian Max lan Work re Total wo Hired lai Minimur Energy I	int da area,chng land placem. bala Repl/green. bala Repl/green pasuppot pas suppot scape supp discape discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape discape di discape discape discape discape discape discape discape disca
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 786 787 788 788 789 790 791 792 793 793 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 801 803 804	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20 	64618(18, 2' Mik cows 3516/ 15, 3, 45, 10, 5016, 463,	Repim. heifer 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034	4-5 wks	0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1	0 0 0 0 0,00 4 25 Bull 18 mlt 18 mlt 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 8,0 9 7,8 5 2653,4 2 234,4 2 234,4 2 234,4 18 mlt	51883 9,4 26 8 8 9 8 9 15,2 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8 3554,2 306,3 306,3	0 0,0 27 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 13,7 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2504,6 235,2	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0 0 0 0 200 312 3000,0 717.0 717.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorin Animal r Landsca Max lan Max lan Max lan Work re Total wc Hired lai Minimur Energy I	int da area,chng land placem, balg Rep/greenfng area anure, tons pe support scape supp dscape supp dsc
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 795 796 797 798 800 801 802 803 804 804 805	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	-96	64618(18, 2' Mik cows 3516/ 15, 15, 10, 5016, 463, 328(Replm. heifer 1034 13.7 13.7 13.7 13.7 13.7 13.7 13.7 13.7	4-5 wks	0,000 Calf 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0 0,0 0,5 2 33 7 2£ 0,3 3 3,9 46	0 0 0 0 0,0,0 4 25 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 9 7,8,8 9 7,8,8 5 2553,4 2 234,4 1 -3527,0	51883 9,4 26 24 mnts 5536 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8 3554,2 306,3 -5534,0	0 0,0 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 13,7 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 4710,0	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorin Animal r Landscz Max lan Work re Total wc Hired lal Minimur Energy I Protein Max dny	int int darea.chng land placem. bail RepVgreen RepVgreen scape support dscape support dscape support dscape support dscape support dscape support dscape support dscape support dscape support narue, tons teres inter labours requirm. Inder matter indo
780 781 783 783 784 785 786 787 788 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 792 793 794 795 799 799 799 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	-96	64618(18, 2' Mik cows 3516/ 15, 3, 45, 10, 5016, 463,	Replm. heifer 1034 3 13,7 5 2,5 5 2,5 6 2,5 7,8 2 504,6 2 235,2 -4396	4-5 wks	0,0 0,0 0,23 2 Calf 5 mts 248 -111 0,1 0 0,0 0,5 2 0,1 0 0,0 0,5 2 0,3 3 7 222 0,3 3 7 23 0,3 4-66	0 0 0 0 0,0,0 4 25 8 4 8 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 7,8 8,0 9 7,8 9 7,8 9 7,8 2 253,4 2 234,4 1 - 327,0 1 - 345,7 1 - 3	51883 9,4 26 Bull 24 mnts 5536 15,2 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8 3554,2 3554,2 3554,0 2453,3	0 0,0 27 Castrate 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 4,710,0 1507,9	34818 2,6 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2504,6 235,2 -4396 1546	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm restori Animal r Max lan Max lan Max lan Max lan Max lan Max dry Protein	int darea.chng land placem.balg ragarea manure, tons orgarea manure, tons orgarea manure, tons orgarea scape supp discape discape supp discape supp
780 781 783 784 785 786 787 788 788 788 788 788 788 790 791 793 794 793 794 795 793 794 795 796 797 797 798 800 801 802 803 804 806 806 806	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf	16827 40,2 20 	64618(18, 2' Mik cows 3516/ 15, 15, 10, 5016, 463, 328(6649 6649 64222 Replm. heifer 1034 5 2,50 10,00 7,8 2504,6 235,20 -4396 1546	4-5 wks	0 0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 3 3 3,9 46 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0,0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 8,0 9 7,8,8 5 2553,4 2 234,4 1 -3527,0 1 945,7 5 25	51883 9,4 26 8 24 mts 5536 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8 3554,2 306,3 - 3554,2 306,3 2553,0 2453,3 255	0 0.0.0 27 4392 4392 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 -4710,0 1507,9 25	34818 2.6 28 Selling 13534 13534 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2504.6 235,2 4396 1546 235,2	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Norm re Meadow Restorin Landsce Max lan Max lan Work re Total wc Hired lal Minimur Energy I Protein I Max dry Min dry Dietfece	int ad area.chng land placem.bali Repl/greenf ag area manure, tons ge suppot scape sup discape sup the sup ot maxim maxim inde fabors. requirm.inde matter innde matter innde
780 781 783 783 784 785 786 787 788 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 792 793 794 795 799 799 799 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 cent. past	-2101 5,5 19 ure Ir. 105	Calf Conce	-96	64618(18, 2' Mik cows 3516/ 15, 15, 10, 5016, 463, 328(66494 66494 22 Replm. 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 1034 13,7 2004,6 23504,6 24396 1546 252,12	4-5 wks	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0,0,0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 8,0 9 7,8,8 5 2553,4 2 234,4 1 -3527,0 1 945,7 5 25	51883 9,4 26 8 24 mts 5536 15,2 15,2 1,7 8,9 7,8 3554,2 306,3 - 3554,2 306,3 2553,0 2453,3 255	0 0,0,0 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 4710,0 1507,9 25 210	34818 2.6 28 3811111 13534 13544 13544 13544 13546 135666 13566 135666 135666 135666 135666 135666 135666 135666 1	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0	Coinstrate Cultivate Pasture Pasture Restorion Animal r Restorion Max lan Max	int d area.chng land placem. bal Rep/green ps support scape supp dscape supp d
780 781 783 783 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 799 799 799 799 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 806 807 808 808 809 810	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2001. pasts -342	-210' 5.5 1! Ir. 105 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	64618/ 18, 2 Mik cows 3516/ 15, 15, 3, 45, 10, 35016, 463, 328/ 247/ 284, 22,7/	66499 6.4.6.4 222 Replm. heifer 1034 1034 10.0.1 1034 10.0.1 100,000 10.0.1 <	4-5 wks	0 0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 3 3 3,9 46 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 4 25 Bull 18 mts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1.5 4 8,0 9 7,8 5 2553,4 8 20 1 -3527,0 1 -3577,0 1 -357	518833 9.4 26 8ull 24 mmts 5536 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2 2,553.0 2,553.0 2,453.3 2,2554.2 2,10 2,418.2 2,13 2,13 2,15,2,15,	0 0,0,0 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2442,7 4710,0 1507,9 25 210 1507,9 25 210 3509,3 4322	34818 2.6 28 28 3 28 19534 13534 13534 13534 13534 13534 2504.6 255 210 2352.2 210 24356 252 210 244.5	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0	Coinstrat Pasture Pasture Norm re Norm re Animal a Max lan Max lan Max lan Max lan Min drav Dietfeecc Min drav Dietfeecc	int ed area,chng land placem. bal Rep/greenf ga area manure, tons mes support dscape supp dscape supp
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 786 786 786 787 788 789 790 791 793 794 793 794 793 794 795 793 794 795 799 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 804 805 806 807 808 808 808 808 808 811	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2-342 -342 -342	-2101 5.5 15 17 17 105 -382 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	646180 18, 2 Milk cows 3516 15, 10, 45, 10, 5016, 463, -3280 284, 227, -3800	66499 6.4.6.4 222 Replm. heifer 1034 5 2.5.6 2.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.5.2 2.5.5.5.2 2.5.5.5.5	4-5 wks	0 0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 3 3 3,9 46 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0,00 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1,55 4 8,0 9 7,8 5 2653,4 2 234,4 1 -3827,0 1 945,7 5 25 0 210 2 92,1 9 2,8 4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -	518833 9.4 26 5536 5536 5536 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2 15,2	0 0,0 27 27 2 years 4392 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 210 1507,9 25 210 509,3 43,2 43,2	34818 2.6 28 Selling 13534 13,7 7.8 2504.6 250 235,2 2	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0	Coinstrat Pasture Norm re- Animal ri Maxa land Work re- Total wc Hired Ial Max land Work re- Total wc Hired Ial Minimury Protein I Min dry Deiffece Energy I Potein Coinstration Energy I Norm Coinstration I Norm	int int int int int int int int
780 781 782 783 784 785 786 786 787 788 789 790 791 793 793 794 795 795 795 795 795 795 795 795 795 795	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2-342 -342 -342	-2101 5.5 15 17 17 105 -382 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	64618/ 18, 2 Mik cows 3516/ 15, 15, 33 3516/ 10, 5016, 463, 3288/ 2477 284, 227, -388, 118,	6649 6.4. 222 Replm. heifer 1034 3 13,7 3 2,5,5 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0	4-5 wks	0 0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 3 3 3,9 46 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 9 7,8 5 2553,4 2 253,4 2 234,4 1 -3527,0 1 -3527,0 2 298,1 2 266, - 3 0,2 1 -3527,0 2 93,2 2 66, - -306,0 9 3,2 2 6, - 9 9,3,2 9 9,3,2 1 1,5 1 1,5	518833 9.4.4 26 30 5536 5536 15.2 15.2 15.2 3063 23554.2 2010 2418.2 21.3 -3554.0 241.8 21.3 -306.0 97.0	0 0,0,0 27 2 years 4392 4392 13,7 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 24242,7 220,5 4710,0 1507,9 25 210 0,1507,9 25 2,10 1507,9 26,9,3 21 20,9,3 21 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0,0 20,0,0,0 20,0,0,0,	34818 2.6. 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0	Coinstrat Pasture Pasture Norm re Norm re National Naster Mastan Max lan Max din Max d	int ed area,chng land placem. bal Repl/greenf ga area manure, tons mes support dscape supp guiment suu rk req. famil bour maxim n hired labo: erea indoors, requim. ind matter inndo hay/straw o contrate cab retrate cab requiment su retrate rand req pasture, requim. Pas matter past matter past
780 781 782 783 785 785 786 785 788 789 790 791 792 793 793 794 795 796 797 797 797 797 793 795 796 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 806 807 808 806 807 808 806 807 808 807 808 807 808 807 808 807 807	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2-342 -342 -342	-2101 5.5 15 17 17 105 -382 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	646180 18, 2 Milk cows 3516 15, 15, 10, 5016, 463, -328 284, 22, -380, 118, -177	6649 64 222 64 222 8 Replm. heifer 1034 10.0 5 2.5 23504 64.39 5 2.5 2100 7.8 23502 24393 547.7 49.5 2852 285	4-5 wks	0 0 23 2 5 mnts 248 -111 0,1 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 0,0 0,0 0,5 2 3 3 3,9 46 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 0,00 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1,55 4 8,0 9 7,8 5 2653,4 2 234,4 1 -3827,0 1 945,7 5 25 0 210 2 92,1 9 2,8 4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 234,4 -2 2 234,4 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	518833 9.4.4 26 30 5536 5536 15.2 15.2 15.2 3063 23554.2 2010 2418.2 21.3 -3554.0 241.8 21.3 -306.0 97.0	0 0,0,0 27 2 years 4392 4392 13,7 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 24242,7 220,5 4710,0 1507,9 25 210 0,1507,9 25 2,10 1507,9 26,9,3 21 20,9,3 21 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0 20,0,0,0 20,0,0,0 20,0,0,0,	34818 2.6. 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Restoriori Animal r Energy v Protein in Energy v Protein in Energy v Protein in Max day Min dry Restoriori Max day Min dry Restoriori Energy v Animal r Energy v Restoriori Energy v Restori Restor	int int int int int int int int
780 781 782 783 785 785 786 787 788 788 789 790 792 793 794 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2-342 -342 -342	-2101 5.5 15 17 17 105 -382 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	64618/ 18, 2 3516/ 3516/ 15, 45, 45, 45, 463, 3288/ 247; 284, 22, -380, 118, -17; 0,33	6649 6.6.4 222 Repim. heifer 1034 10.3 10.0 10.4 10.2 2.7.9 2.6.2 10.0 10.0 2.7.9 2.6.2 10.0 10.0 10.0 2.7.9 2.25.2 2.01 5.7.76 2.26.6 -96.5 2.26.6 -96.5 1	4-5 wks	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	0 0 0 0 0,00 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 20,0 1,5 4 8,0 0 1,5 4 8,0 0 1,5 5 255,3 4 2,2 3 4,4 1 -352,7 0 2,0 0 1,5 5 255,3 4 2,2 0 1,5 5 255,3 1 -352,7 0 2,0 0 1,5 5 255,3 1 -352,7 0 2,0 0 2,0 1 -352,7 0 2,0 0 2,0 0 2,0 1 -352,7 0 2,0 0 2,0 0,	51883 9.4 26 5536 5536 5536 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 240 245 240 245 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418	0 0.0.0 27 4392 4392 4392 13.7 13.7 13.7 2.5 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10	34818 2.6 28 38 38 13534 13.7 13.7 13.7 13.7 2.5.5 10.0 1546 2552 2100 23522 2406 2552 2100 2547.7 49.6 5 7655 2655	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.000	Coinstrate Pasture Pasture Pasture Restoriotation Cullivela Pasture Pasture Pasture Restoriotation Max lan Work re- Restoriotation Max lan Work re- Protein n Energy I Protein n Energy I Protein n Max dry Min dry Max dry Min dry Max dry Min dry Max dry Min dry Max dry Min dry Max dry Ma	int ed area,chng land placem, bala Repl/greenf ga area manure, Ions manure, Ions manure, Ions ga se supp discape supp disc
780 781 782 783 785 785 786 785 786 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 796 797 798 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2-342 -342 -342	-2101 5.5 15 17 17 105 -382 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	646180 18, 2 Mik cows 3516 15, 15, 10, 5016, 463, -328 247, 23, 463, -328 244, 24, -177 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,3 -17, 0,4	6649 6.6.4 222 6.4.4 1024 7.8.4 1034 7.8.4 2504 7.8.4 2504 7.8.4 2504 7.8.4 2504 7.8.4 2504 7.8.5 765 7.65 765 -9.6,5 11 1	4-5 wks	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	0 0 0 0 0 0 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 10,2 0 1,5 4 8,0 9 7,8 5 2653,4 1 -3527,0 1 945,7 5 265,4 2 254,4 1 -3527,0 1 945,7 5 265,4 2 354,4 1 945,7 5 265,4 1 945,7 5 1 945,7	518833 9.4 26 24 mnts 5536 24 mnts 5536 2536 24 3063 2554.2 210 2413.3 2554.2 210 2413.3 2554.2 210 241.3 306.0 77.0 241.6 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3	0 0,0,0 27 2 years 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 4710,0 1507,9 265,3 34322 	34818 2.6. 28 Selling heifer 13534 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198,0 0,0 0	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Restorioni Animal Restorioni Animal Restorioni Animal Max landsca Protein in Max day Work re Energy uv Protein in Min dry Protein in Min dry Protein Min dry Protein Min dry Min dr	int ed area.chng land placem. bal placem. bal placem. bal placem. bal pe support discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp discape supp reguiment sus reguiment sus
780 781 782 783 785 785 786 787 788 788 789 790 797 792 793 794 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799 799	-8611 25,1 16 Concent. inc Nr. 97 -342	-25538 57.7 17 300r Nr. 140 -442	Conc Nr. 9 2	0,0 18 2-342 -342 -342	-2101 5.5 15 17 17 105 -382 -382	Calf Conce	16827 40,2 20 	64618/ 18, 2 3516/ 3516/ 15, 45, 45, 45, 463, 3288, 247; 284, 22, -380, 118, -17; 0,33	6649 6.4.6 222 Repim. heifer 1034 13.7 1034 236.2 10.0 10.0 10.0 236.2 110.0 547.7 495.7 288.8 -96.5 -1 1	4-5 wks	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	0 0 0 0 0,00 4 25 Bull 18 mnts 5 4883 6 10,2 6 10,2 6 2553,4 2 2 2553,4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	51883 9.4 26 5536 5536 5536 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 15.2 2010 2418 23.554.2 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418 2418 241	0 0 0,0 27 2 years 4392 4392 13,7 13,7 2,5 10,0 10,0 7,8 2442,7 220,5 4710,0 1507,9 270 1507,9 24710,0 1507,9 243,2 4710,0 1507,9 43,2 43,2 43,2 43,2 43,2 43,2 43,2 43,2	34818 2.6 28 38 38 13534 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 13,7 2,5,5 10,0 1544 252,2 10,0 255,2 2010 255,2 2010 255,2 2015 254,6 255,2 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 201	-55465 496,6 29 Hired work -112 -11 -1 -1 1	-199806 1,0 30 Fixed costs -199806 208 2111	Right hand side 198.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0000	Coinstra Cultivate Pasture Restorioni Animal Restorioni Animal Restorioni Animal Max landsca Protein in Max day Work re Energy uv Protein in Min dry Protein in Min dry Protein Min dry Protein Min dry Min dr	int ed area,chng land placem, bali Repl/greenf ga area manure, lons pae suppot skcape supp discape supp disca

12 The Key Output Variables

The key output variables (KOVs) or summary variables of the LP model are reproduced below together with two other alternatives called Base and Stoch. Basically the Base do a stochastic simulation using the data from the farm accounts while the Stoch uses the LP output from a deterministic run for a stochastic simulation. The Base and Stoch outputs are developed elsewhere in the sheet and not explained in this paper.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
833	K.1 STANE	ARD LP VALUES												
834				Base	LP Plan	Stoch		Put Simet	ar in Exp	ected Valu	e			
835	1	Farm Profit	Profit	225 919,6	133 934,3	165 105,7		Solve the	LP and K	eep the so	olution			
836		Cows	Cows	16,0	14,1	14,1		Simulate '	100 iterati	ons with	3 columns	of KOVs		
837			Milkp Prod	105 054,4	92 869,0	92 869,0		Activate In	corporat	e Solver				
838		Meat production		4 746,7	3 822,2	4 199,7								
839		Roughage Produ			65 089,4						Sim Solve	r		
840			PurchRoug		33 611,8			Simulat	ion Eng	ine				<u> </u>
841		PurchConcentrat			20 035,8			Location of Ou			Dender N	and the second	3151	-
842		Milk production p		6 565,9	6 565,9			To The Lef				umber Seed:		
843			Milk Price	4,4	4,4	4,4		Select Output	Variables for A		-1	Iterations:	10	
844		Meat Price	Meat Price	36,3	36,3	36,3		- 1	-	Add Outpu	t Number of	Scenarios:		3
845		Concentrate 97	Concent Pr		272,0	272,0			st of Output ⊻a			eed for Each Sce	mario	
846 847	-	Fodder Yield	Fodder Yiel		175,5	175,5		TNew LP No	rway JR 16 E.>	ds]LP Model'I\$D: ds]LP Model'I\$E!	8: 🗆 Condu	ct Sensitivity An	alysis	
		Greenfodder Lev Yield	Greenfodde	23,0 284.2	23,0 284,2	23,0		(New LP No	rway JR. 16 E.s	de]LP Model'I\$F\$	181 🔽 Incorpu	orate Solver	Set Solver	
848			Ley Yield		139.7	284,2		4						-
849 850			Rough Yiel GFod Area	23.0	23.0	149,4 23.0		1			Worksheet Stoch	t Sampling Type:	Save	
851			Rough DA	441.1	23,0 466.0	23,0 466.0		Delete Select	ed Clear All	Output Variable		cted Value	Cancel	
852		Ley area	Ley Area	165.0	215,2	215,2		Output Works		Ip Output By:	EXpe	cueu rai09		-
853		Ley Replacement			50.8	50,8		-		variable				
854		Perm.Past	Perm.Past	25.0	25.0			SimData	C :	Scenario	SIM	ULATE	Help	
034	14	rennrast	Ferm.Past	25,0	25,0	25,0								- C.

Generally the numbers are developed from the processes in the LP solution like purchase of concentrate that is a summary of the use of the different feed ingredients, or they are the numbers used in calculating the objective function of the LP like the milk price.

References

- Hegrenes, A. 1985. Mekaniseringsøkonomi på enkeltbruk. (Mechanisation economics for individual farms). Norges landbruksøkonomiske institutt, Oslo. Melding F-279-85. (In Norwegian, English summary).
- Jerven, M. (1985): Arbeidsforbruket i mjølkeproduksjonen. (Labour input in dairy milk production). *Norges landbruksøkonomiske institutt, Oslo. Melding F-316-85*. (In Norwegian, English summary).
- Kiel, J. Y. and Sørland, R., 1982. Handteringslinjer for grashøsting. (Handling lines for grass harvesting.) Norges landbruksøkonomiske institutt, Oslo. Melding F-276-82. (In Norwegian).
- Larsson, R. 1983. Kostnader för maskinunderhåll i jordbruket. Större jordbruk och maskinhållare. (Costs of maintenance of agricultural machinery). *Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Institutionen för arbetsmetodik och technik. Rapport 83.* (In Swedish).
- Lønnemark, H. 1971. Kostnader och kostnadsberäkningar för jordbruksmaskiner. (Costs and cost calculations for agricultural machinery). *Jordbrukstekniska institutet, Uppsala. Meddelande nr. 340.* (In Swedish).
- Mangerud, K. (1984). For deg som har dieseløkomani. (For you who have dieselecomania). Artikkelserie in *Norsk Landbruk no 2, 6, 7/84*. Oslo. (In Norwegian).
- Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Food, 1977. Definition of terms used in agricultural business management. *Booklet 2269. MAFF (Publications). Lion House, Willowburn Estate, Alnwick, Northumberland NE66 2PF.* © *Crown copyright 1978.* (Reprinted in 1983).
- NILF, 2008. Budsjettnemnda for jordbruket: *Totalkalkylen for jordbruket. Totalbudsjett for jordbruket.* (Total account for agriculture. Total budget for agriculture). Oslo. (In Norwegian, annual).

(http://www.nilf.no/Totalkalkylen/Bm/2007/BMgrupper/BM_R_AllePoster.shtml) NILF, 2000. *Handbok for driftsplanlegging 2000/2001*. Handbook of Farm planning 2000/2001. Oslo. (In Norwegian, annual.) Svensson, J. (1987): Underhållskostnader för lantbrukets fältmaskiner. (Maintenance costs for agricultural field machinery). *Institutionen för lantbruksteknik, Sveriges Lantbruksuniversitet. Rapport nr. 114.* (In Swedish.)